



Comparison Between Tooth-Derived Matrix and DBBM Granules on an Enhanced Bone Healing

Victor Cirfeda¹ · Rita Fidalgo-Pereira¹ · Bruno Henriques^{2,3,4} · Wim Teughels⁵ · Mutlu Özcan⁶ · Júlio C. M. Souza^{1,3,4}

Accepted: 13 March 2025
© The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

Purpose of the Review The purpose of this study was to perform a scoping review on the comparison between tooth-derived matrix and demineralized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) granules for enhanced bone healing.

Recent Findings Previous studies reported micro-scale pores in dentin-matrix granules due to the remnant dentin that promote the adsorption of proteins and bioactive molecules. It should be highlighted the remnant dentin is an intrinsic source of collagen and growth factors such as TGF-1, BMP-2, VEGF, and PDGF. The DBBM showed macro-scale interconnected pores which enhances the adhesion of cells and formation of new blood vessels. DBBM also revealed a porosity of around 80% and a lower resorption rate when compared to tooth-derived granules. On in vivo models, dentin-matrix granules induced quite similar results for bone growth when compared to grafting with DBBM as confirmed by histological analyses.

Summary The dentin-derived matrix granules showed morphological aspects and chemical composition suitable for enhancing bone repair comparable to the effects of deproteinized bovine bone mineral granules. The adequate space among particles and size of pores plays a key role in the events of bone formation since the protein adsorption towards the bone growth. Also, a well-adjusted content of DBBM and dentin-derived granules in the mixture maintains the bone volume over the bone healing. Thus, autologous dentin-derived granules are alternative materials for bone healing without any adverse inflammatory reactions.

Keywords Dentin graft · Deproteinized bovine bone material · DBBM · Bone healing

✉ Mutlu Özcan
mutluozcan@hotmail.com

✉ Júlio C. M. Souza
jsouza@dem.uminho.pt; jsouza@ucp.pt

¹ Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Health (CIIS), Faculty of Dental Medicine (FMD), Universidade Católica Portuguesa (UCP), 3504-505 Viseu, Portugal

² Ceramic and Composite Materials Research Group (CERMAT), Dept. of Mechanical Engineering (EMC), Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis/SC 88040-900, Brazil

³ Center for Microelectromechanical Systems (CMEMS), University of Minho, 4800-058 Guimarães, Portugal

⁴ Associate Laboratory (LABBELS), University of Minho, Guimarães, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

⁵ Department of Oral Health Sciences, University Hospitals Leuven, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 3000 Periodontology Louvain, Dentistry, Belgium

⁶ Division of Dental Biomaterials, Center of Dental Medicine, Clinic of Reconstructive Dentistry, University of Zurich, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland

Introduction

After tooth loss, morphological changes occur on bone ridge leading to volumetric bone loss and therefore to clinical issues for further oral rehabilitation [1–3]. Bone grafting materials acts as a support for osteogenic cell differentiation and clot stabilisation decreasing the risks of soft tissue collapse in bone defects [1, 4–6]. Autogenous bone grafts are traditionally utilized although some limitations have been reported such as the need of a donor site for bone harvesting, increased surgical morbidity, and high rates of bone remodelling [1, 4, 5, 7] [2, 4, 5, 7–9]. In fact, the autogenous material harvesting is often a highly invasive procedure. The use of other bone substitutes such as allografts, xenografts and alloplasts can be considered as alternative approaches for atrophic alveolar ridge treatment [1–3, 9]. Among xenografts, deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) has been well-reported in literature and its clinical application has widely increased in the last year. DBBM is gathered from bovine bone sources through an extraction processing

using chemical and thermal treatment leading to a complete removal of organic matter, while preserving the hydroxyapatite integrity of the original bone architecture. The porous three-dimensional (3D) structure of DBBM granules stimulate growth, migration, and differentiation of human cells for bone healing (1). In vivo studies have validated a faster blood vessels' formation and bone growth after bone grafting with DBBM [1, 4–6]. Thus, DBBM granules fulfil certain requirements for enhanced bone healing, such as: (i) interconnected macro-scale pores with size from 100 up to 400 μm to allow the integration and vascularization of bone tissue; (ii) a high wettability of the surface inducing cell adsorption and proliferation; (iii) balanced bio-integration and bio-absorption; (iv) adequate compressive strength ranging from 2 up to 10 MPa (comparable to cancellous bone); and (v) an industrial feasibility on manufacturing within a specific shape and size [2, 4, 5, 8, 9].

Bone is a complex tissue composed of 70% hydroxyapatite (Hap) and 30% collagen, possessing a natural functionally graded porous structure [2, 4, 5, 8, 9]. Thus, alternative bone substitutes should have a similarly porous composite structure with interpenetrating inorganic and organic phases. The chemical composition of teeth shows remarkable similarities with that of bone tissues. Dentin has around 60–80% Hap, type I collagen, growth factors, non-collagenic proteins (NCPs), and the following growth factors: insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-II, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2, and transforming growth factor (TGF)- β [2–4, 10]. The proportion of inorganic and organic components in dentin and bone reflects distinctive biochemical affinity for adsorption of proteins and osteogenic cell behavior [2, 3]. It is relevant to point out that both teeth and jaw bones have a common embryological origin, both deriving from the neural crest [2–4].

Recent studies have provided evidence that autologous tooth-derived matrix (ATDM) reveals osteogenic stimuli thanks to its chemical composition and morphological features [7–11]. A harvested tooth can be mechanically and chemically treated providing a particulate material within 20 min [7, 11–15]. Thus, the clinical feasibility of preparing ATDM granules plays a major role in the use of such material as a bone substitute. However, the amount of ATDM granules is limited, and therefore, a mixture with xenogeneic or synthetic bone substitutes has become a promising strategy for bone healing [8, 12, 15]. In addition, the source of graft material can be absent in some cases that do not show indications for tooth extraction [10–15]. In fact, the type, concentration, and exposure time of the chemical substances determine the morphological aspects and chemical composition of the tooth-derived matrix.

The purpose of this study was to perform a scoping review on the comparison between tooth-derived matrix and demineralized bovine bone mineral granules for enhanced

bone healing considering osteogenic cell behaviour, new bone formation, blood vessels' formation, residual graft material, and connective tissue. It was hypothesized that autologous tooth-derived matrix and bovine bone mineral granules reveals different pathways to stimulate osteogenic cell enhancing the bone healing. This review summarizes their similarities and differences in bone regeneration to inform future research and clinical applications.

Materials and Methods

Information Sources and Search Strategy

A bibliographical pursuit was performed on PubMed (via National Library of Medicine) and Scopus regarding such database comprises the major studies in the field of dentistry and biomaterials. The current method was performed in accordance with the search approach utilized in previous studies on integrative, scoping or systematic reviews [16–18]. The following combination of search terms was used in this study: "deproteinized bovine bone mineral" OR "DBBM" OR "Bio-Oss" AND "dentin matrix" OR "tooth-derived" OR "dentin" OR "dentin-derived" OR "ATDM" OR "ATDG" AND "bone substitute" OR "graft" OR "granule" OR "block" AND "bone" AND "healing" OR "repair" OR "augmentation" OR "regeneration" OR "growth" OR "formation" OR "osteoblast" OR "osteogenic" OR "mineralization" OR "cell culture".

The inclusion criteria included studies published in the English language, up to April 2024, reporting the of comparison between autologous tooth-derived matrix (ATDM) and deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) granules on an enhanced bone healing. The eligibility inclusion criteria used for article searches also involved in vitro studies; meta-analyses; randomized controlled trials; and prospective cohort studies. Ongoing studies were searched in the following clinical trial registries: Current Controlled Trials, International Clinical trials registry platform, ClinicalTrials.gov, ReBEC, and EU Clinical Trials Register. Also, a hand-search was carried out on the reference lists of well-cited and eligible major studies regarding relevant findings for this review. The exclusion criteria were the following: papers without abstract; conference proceedings; unpublished data; case reports with short follow-up period; and studies assessing only the DBBM or dentin-derived matrix granules. Studies based on publication date were not constrained during the search process.

Study Selection and Data Collection Process

The studies retrieved by the search approach were assessed in three steps. Studies were primarily examined for

relevance by title and then the abstracts were evaluated. Two of the authors (JCMS, VC) independently analysed the titles and abstracts of potentially relevant studies. A third author (BH) accomplished a final evaluation in case of disagreement. The studies were compiled for each combination of search items, and therefore, the duplicates were removed using Mendeley citation manager (Ed. Elsevier). The second step encompassed the evaluation of the abstracts and non-excluded studies, giving the eligibility criteria in the abstract revision. A preliminary evaluation of the abstracts was carried out to establish whether the articles met the purpose of the study. Selected articles were individually read and evaluated concerning the purpose of this study. At last, the eligible articles received a study identification label, combining first author and year of publication. The following factors were retrieved for this review: authors' names, publication year, journal, purpose, study design, preparation of DBBM and ATDM, analyses, and main outcomes.

The PICO (population, intervention, comparison and outcome) approach was followed as a framework to structure the following hypothesis: Autologous tooth-derived matrix (ATDM) and deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) have distinct but comparable effects on bone healing, affecting osteogenic cell behavior, new bone formation, and vascularization through different mechanisms. Regarding the PICO strategy, the following factors were taken into consideration: (i) population: bone substitutes, human volunteers, cells, animal models; (ii) intervention: surgical procedure, cell culture assays, histomorphometry analyses, microscopy, CBCT, X-rays, further analyses, and equipment. (iii) comparison: other bone substitutes, bone grafts, and blood clot. (iv) outcomes: major findings reported by the studies when comparing ATDM and DBBM.

Results

The literature search identified a total of 148 articles in PubMed and of 236 articles in Scopus, as shown in Fig. 1. After reading the titles and abstracts of the studies, 250 were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. The remaining 60 potentially relevant studies were then evaluated (Fig. 1). Of those studies, 48 studies were excluded because they did not contain comprehensive data related to the purpose of the present study. Thus, 12 studies were included in this review.

The main outcomes of the selected studies are drawn as follows and embedded in Table 1:

- The demineralization of dentin using chemical treatment preserve the organic content (approximately 20%), while

increasing the bioavailability of Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP-2). Demineralised autogenous dentine (dD) showed excellent biocompatibility even surpassing DBBM. No infections of the graft material occurred, and the graft sites healed without complications [5].

- The vertical dimensions of the alveolar bone increased by 5.3 ± 2.6 mm in the group treated with dentin matrix and 6.5 ± 3.5 mm in the group receiving DBBM, after evaluation for 6 months after extraction. A histomorphometry analysis showed that the percentage of new bone formation in the site grafted with dentin matrix was around $31.2 \pm 13.8\%$ and around $35 \pm 19.3\%$ for DBBM. Regarding the implant stability quotient (ISQ), implants placed in the sites grafted with dentin matrix showed a value of 72.8 ± 10.8 , while those placed in the sites grafted with DBBM showed an ISQ of 70 ± 12.8 [3]. Patients who received the autogenous dentin matrix graft showed a significant reduction in sinus height (SH) and a lower residual rate than those treated with DBBM graft material. Autogenous dentin matrix has been shown to be as effective as Bio-Oss in addressing bone augmentation for oral bone defects [9].
- The animal study involved histomorphometry analysis for bone regeneration in the maxillary sinuses of 18 adult male rabbits using blood clot grafts, DBBM, and demineralized dentin matrix [13]. The percentage of newly formed bone was 8.4 ± 1.8 , 17.8 ± 2.6 and $12.1 \pm 2.7\%$, respectively. The area of newly formed bone in group 2 was significantly higher for demineralized dentin matrix followed by DBBM and clot groups.
- The DBBM group exhibited less bone formation compared to demineralized dentin and demineralized dentin combined with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs); no other significant differences emerged in the H&E analysis. DBBM group showed increased expression of type I collagen compared to the Dentin and Dentin/MSC-treated groups, along with increased expression of osteocalcin [4]. Those results show that autogenous demineralized dentin is a viable alternative for alveolar bone grafting, with further improvements achievable through association with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) [4].

Discussion

In this study, a comparison was made between deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) and autogenous tooth-derived matrix (ATDM), referring to previous research in cells and animal models, as well as in patients. The results highlighted a marked stimulation of osteogenic cells and an improvement in bone formation, for both DBBM and




Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the search strategy used in this study (PRISMA) [3–5, 8–10, 13–15]

ATDM. However, DBBD and ATDM have different chemical composition and porosity influencing the protein adsorption, cell differentiation, and formation of blood vessels over the bone healing process. Thus, the initial hypothesis of this study was validated.

The chemical composition and morphological aspects of a tooth-derived bone graft material (ATDM) have been

explored and compared to DBBM [5]. In a previous study, ATDM was produced following the Smart Dentin Grinder® method without any modifications. After extraction, seven human teeth taken from healthy individuals aged between 47 and 78 years suffering from periodontal disease were cleaned, dried and ground to obtain particle sizes between 300 and 1,200 μm [6, 12]. These enamel and dentin particles

Table 1 Data retrieved from the selected studies

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Bono et al (15) Italy.	This study aimed to investigate the effects of demineralization on the physical-chemical and biological behaviour of Dentin and Enamel.	Seven human teeth were extracted due to diseased periodontal disease from healthy patients (aged 47 to 78 years) with informed consent. The osteoblastic cell lines MG63 and SAOS-2 were used in the in vitro cell culture experiments.	Bio-Oss® was purchased from Geistlich Pharma AG (Wolhusen, Switzerland).	Enamel and Dentin particles were subjected to demineralization and sterilization processes according to a single protocol. Briefly, particles were treated with demineralization reagent, bichromic acid and sterile deionised water, at 70°C under shaking by means of a thermomixer (1,000 rpm); washed sequentially with 2 solutions for 2 minutes. Demineralized dentin and enamel particles were next collected in sterile 1.5 mL polypropylene tubes and stored until use.	AlamarBlue cell viability assay The fluorescence of the medium was recorded with a GENios Plus reader (Tecan, Monza, Italy) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) ELISA kits to determine mineral, collagen type I and BMP-2 contents	The high mineral content of Enamel was found to inhibit cell proliferation in some way. Dentin invariably exhibited excellent biocompatibility, even greater than that of the widely used Bio-Oss®. The demineralization process of Dentin allowed preserving the organic content and increasing the BMP-2 bioavailability.
Kang et al (2016) Korea	The aim of this study was to prospectively evaluate the clinical efficacy and histological outcome of the autogenous tooth graft material (AutoBT) compared to that of anorganic bovine bone (Bio-Oss, Geistlich, Switzerland) in post-extraction alveolar bone augmentation.	A total of 33 graft sites in 24 patients (20 years old) were included in this study. AutoBT was used in 21 sites of 15 patients and Bio-Oss was used in 12 sites of 9 patients for alveolar bone augmentation 2–4 weeks after dental extraction. In vivo study on humans.	(Bio-Oss, Geistlich, Switzerland)	Dentine was demineralized after the extraction of human teeth.	Histomorphometric analysis, sample specimens were dehydrated using a dehydration system with agitation and vacuum in graded ethanol dilutions, and embedded in light-cured methacrylate. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Trepine cores were harvested in the AutoBT group and from the Bio-Oss group.	The vertical dimensions of alveolar bone increased by 5.38 ± 2.65 mm in AutoBT group and 6.56 ± 3.54 mm in Bio-Oss group at 6 months post extraction. Histomorphometrically, new bone formation of AutoBT-grafted site was 31.24 ± 13.87% while that of Bio-Oss was 35.00 ± 19.33%. The implant stability quotient (ISQ) of implants placed in AutoBT-grafted sites measured 72.80 ± 10.81 while those placed in Bio-Oss-grafted sites measured 70.0 ± 12.86. There were no statistically significant differences between measurements of the two groups.

Table 1 (continued)

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Li et al (14) China	DeminerIALIZED dentin matrix (DDM) from the patient's own extracted healthy tooth can be recycled as an autogenous biomaterial for reconstructive dentistry. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the clinical efficacy of autogenous DDM versus Bio-Oss granules in guided bone regeneration (GBR) for immediate implantation in periodontal post extraction sites.	From November 2015 to March 2017, 20–60-year-old patients referred to the Foshan Stomatology Hospital, who was diagnosed with localized severe periodontitis and agreed to dental implant treatment, were consecutively included in this study. In vivo study on humans.	Bio-Oss® was purchased from Geistlich Pharma AG (Wolhusen, Switzerland).	Then, the pure dentine was grinded by an automatic mill at 20 000 rpm for 7–10 seconds. The crushed granules from 300 to 1200 µm were demineralized in 2% HNO ₃ for 20 minutes to expose the dentine's organic matrix (Demineralization) and then were immersed in 5% peracetic acid and 75% ethanol for 10 minutes to remove any bacteria and smear layer (Defatting and Sterilization). Finally, the prepared DDM granules were washed twice with distilled water.	The implant stability quotient (ISQ) was measured by Osstell Mentor and the digital periapical radiograph of the graft site. The marginal bone resorption was evaluated by Dbaseline-Dpostoperative. Based on the length of implant, the magnification of each individual radiograph was calculated.	There was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups in implant stability quotient values and marginal bone resorption. The autogenous DDM granules prepared at the chairside after extractions could act as an excellent readily available alternative to bone graft material in GBR, even for implantation of severe periodontitis cases.
Li et al (19) China	This study aimed to evaluate the clinical efficacy and histological outcomes of autogenous demineralised dentin matrix (ADDM) as bone graft material compared with Bio-Oss® in bone augmentation for the treatment of patients with oral bone deficits.	Meta analysis of vivo studies in humans.	N/A	N/A	Statistics on histological analysis by previous studies.	The application of ADDM could significantly promote bone regeneration and was not inferior to Bio-Oss®, ADDM is as effective as Bio-Oss® in bone augmentation for oral bone defect.

Table 1 (continued)

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Su-Gwan, et al (18) Korea	The purpose of this study is to assess the combination of particulate dentine and plaster as bone substitute material in calvarial bone defects in rats, and to compare it with a bone xenograft (Bio-Oss).	In vivo study on 40 rats.	Bio-Oss is a xenograft material of bovine origin consisting of sterilized cancellous particles of resorbable porous hydroxyapatite (HA). Two different particle sizes are available (0.25–0.5 mm and 0.5–1.0 mm, respectively) with a porosity of 75% to 80%. In this study, we used particles in the range 0.25 to 0.5 mm diameter.	The particulate dentine is fabricated as follows: (1) the extracted sound teeth are cleaned, (2) heated in a furnace at 950°C (17428F) for 30 min, (3) the tooth material is pulverized by means of mortar and pestle, and (4) the dentine is filtered into particles using a 100-mesh screen. The particulate dentine and plaster are sterilized in ethylene oxide gas.	Computer-assisted histomorphometry was performed in order to measure the amount of newly formed bone in the defects. The images were captured using an Olympus BX-50 microscope. The amount of mineralized tissue present was determined by measuring the area stained green based on the Goldner's technique in five microscopic fields.	New bone formation was highest in Group 4, followed by Group 3, then Group 2, Group 1, and finally the control group.
Khanijou et al (2021) Thailand	To analysed physicochemical such as surface structures, the crystallinity, chemical composition, calcium phosphate dissolution and osteogenic properties of tooth derived bone substitute (TDBS) processed chair-side and other grafting materials.	Human osteoblasts were co-cultured with TDBS or allograft in transwell system to examine cell migration. BMP2 released from TDBS was measured by ELISA. In vitro study.	NA	The TDBS was prepared following the Smart Dentin Grinder® protocol (SDG®, KometaBio, USA) without any modifications. Immediately after extraction, the tooth was cleaned with a high-speed bur to remove any soft tissue and debris. Completely cleaned tooth with both crown and roots was air-dried and placed in SDG®. The grinding and sorting yielded the particles between 300–1,200 µm. The particulates were then placed in the solution provided by the manufacturer for 10 min.	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis. The release of BMP2 protein from each material was investigated in the same condition medium as the migrating experiment.	TDBS had high crystallinity similar to Bone Ceramic while it had a broad pattern to ramus bone, OraGRAFT, and Bio-Oss. Calcium/phosphorus dissolution of TDBS show closely related to those of mandibular ramus bone and OraGRAFT. In addition, microbial decontamination of TDBS by the chemical processing revealed a hundred percent efficacy.

Table 1 (continued)

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Mahardawi et al (110) Thailand	The aim of this study was to determine whether the autogenous dentin graft (ADG) shows comparable results and similar clinical performance to other graft materials when utilized for implant placement.	Meta analysis of vivo studies in humans.	N/A	N/A	Statistics on histological analysis and ISQ by previous studies.	The autogenous dentin graft is an effective option for bone augmentation around dental implants, with acceptable implant stability, marginal bone loss, and incidences of complications and failure.
Dong et al (2018) Korea	The purpose of this animal study is to evaluate, by histomorphometry analysis, bone regeneration in rabbit's maxillary sinuses with blood clots alone, Bio-Oss, β -tricalcium phosphate (β -TCP), and demineralized tooth dentin (DTD) grafting.	Bilateral sinus augmentation procedures were performed in 18 adult male rabbits. Rectangular replaceable bony windows were made with a piezoelectric thin saw insert. In vivo study.	-	After sterilization of teeth with sterilization reagent (peracetic acid ethanol solution) in a vacuum-ultrasonic, the sterilized teeth were stored at -20°C before preparing tooth bone. Teeth was crushed and into powders of 0.8–1.0 mm in size on experimental day and demineralization using 0.6 N hydrochloride was done for 15 minutes under vacuum compression and ultrasonic vibration. The DTD was then washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), sterilized with sterilization reagent, and consecutively washed again with PBS and distilled water.	The following histomorphometric measurements were made: total augmented area, graft material (BioOss, β -TCP, or DTD) area, newly formed bone area, mature lamella bone area, bone marrow area, and connective tissue area. The mature lamella bone was defined as a red color structure containing osteocytes in MT stain.	Dentin matrix can be effective graft materials for bone regeneration of the maxillary sinus augmentation.

Table 1 (continued)

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Barreiro et al ([4]) Germany	Considering the chemical and structural properties of dentin, this study was aimed at evaluating the effect of dentin matrix alone or combined with mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) on post extraction alveolar bone regeneration.	In vivo animal study.	-	-	<p>Histological analysis and image acquisition were performed using an Olympus BX-43 light microscope.</p> <p>Micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) was performed, and the samples were scanned in the three spatial planes.</p> <p>Serum analysis was performed, where serum samples were digested in 2% nitric acid for 120 minutes and analysed by plasma mass spectrometry to measure calcium and phosphorus levels.</p>	<p>The Bio-Oss group showed less bone than Gelita-Spon and Dentin/MS; no other significant differences were seen in H&E analysis. Autogenous non demineralized dentin is an alternative for alveolar bone grafting, which can be improved by combination with MSC.</p>
Xin Liu et al ([6]) China	The aim of the study was to evaluate the effects of the combined use of bone marrow stromal cells (BMSC) genetically modified with dentin matrix protein-1 (DMP1) and Bio-Oss1 for the placement of implants of sinus floor augmentation (MSFA) in dogs.	In vivo animal study.	Each cell suspension was gently added to the Bio-Oss scaffolds drop by drop until saturated. The BMSC/Bio-Oss constructs were incubated for an additional 4 hours to allow cell attachment prior to use. The additional BMSC/Bio-Oss constructs were incubated separately for 4 hours and 1 day in complete medium and were then fixed in 2% glutaric dialdehyde for 2 hours. Bio-Oss® was purchased from Geistlich Pharma AG.	<p>Dentin matrix protein-1 (DMP1) is a highly phosphorylated protein that has been documented to play a crucial role in bone mineralisation. This protein was obtained from the extraction of the dog's first molar.</p>	<p>A series of histological and histomorphometric analyses were conducted.</p>	<p>No significant difference was found between the residual volume of bone substitute material (BSMV) in the Lenti-DMP1 group (35.86 ± 7.35) and the BMSC group (32.16 ± 9.16). According to the results of Orzucaci versus Bio-Oss BSMV, 25% and 30% of the residual Bio-Oss graft was found at 6 months and 8 months, respectively.</p>

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Pimentel et al. (112) Portugal	This study was to evaluate the morphological aspects and distribution of granules composed of deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) and human dentin-derived bone graft (HDBG) into a putty consistency mixture.	In vitro study, are required to evaluate the morphological aspects and distribution of particulate bone graft prior to surgery.	The deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) used in this study was provided by Biograft™. Or test group, DBBM was mixed with alginate-based hydrogel (Orthoprint™, Zhermack, Germany) at bone graft/hydro gel ratio of 1:1 or 1:3 vol/vol under the sterile condition at room temperatures.	On harvesting human dentin-derived bone graft (HDBG), extracted third molars from human donors were firstly immersed in distilled water for 10 min and then in a solution of 2% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 10 min. Afterward, teeth were immersed in 10% malin solution for 7 days. Finally, teeth were stored in 0.9% NaCl solution for hydration over a period of 7 days prior to the milling procedure. Teeth roots and enamel were removed to harvest the dentin tissue. Then, teeth were immediately milled with the Smart Dentin Grinder™ apparatus (Kometabio Inc., Cresskill, NJ, USA). The milling process resulted in dentin particles (granules) ranging from 300 up to 1200 µm. The dental particles were then immersed in an isopropyl alcohol solution in a sterile container for 10 min to dissolve all organic debris and bacteria. Then, dentin granules were placed in ethyl enediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) for 2 min for partial demineralization and then washed in sterile saline solution for 3 min.	Optical microscopy Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).	Microscopic analyses revealed a size of DBBM granules ranging from 750 up to 1600 µm while HDBG particles showed particle size ranging from 375 up to 1500 µm. No statistical differences were identified regarding the size of granules ($p>0.5$). The mean values of pores' size of DBBM particles were noticed at around 400 µm while HDBG particles revealed micro-scale pores of around 1–3 µm promoted by the dentin tubules ($p<0.05$).

Authors (years) Country	Purpose	Study design	DBBM	Dentin-derived preparation	Analyses	Main outcomes
Ribes et al (111) Spain	The aim of the present study was to evaluate the most relevant parameters to achieve the best properties of ground ATDG using three methods, namely Gouge forceps, electric grinder, and manual, that made up the studygroup (SG) and compared with the control group (CG) consisting of Bio-Oss®.	In vitro study. The biological plausibility of these properties lies in the similar composition of tooth and bone, a common embryonic origin, bone differentiation capacity in vitro, osteoconduction and osteoinduction in vivo, and excellent biocompatibility.	Once the samples were obtained and categorised by granulometry, they were compared with each other and with the xenograft Bio-Oss® from Geistlich Pharma AG (Wollhusen, Switzerland), a biomaterial of bovine origin derived from porous cancellous bone, where all the native organic material is eliminated by a chemical extraction process at low temperature (300 °C), maintaining the physical architecture intact. It consists of an interconnected porous system that favours the initial stability of the clot and subsequent growth of blood vessels within it, thus promoting the migration of osteoblasts and, thus, the formation of new bone.	To obtain the best properties of the material called autologous tooth-derived graft (ATDG). For this purpose, the various studies have arbitrarily used I three ATDG crushing methods present in the literature, which were: 1. Gouge forceps from Helmut Zept®; 2. Automatic Smart Dentin Grinder Komete-Bio from Bioner®; 3. Manual grinder from Master Surgical SL®.	The particle size and specific surface area were analyzed by the equipment by obtaining Gaussian bells of the specific sizes and surfaces.	The sample obtained by the electric grinder had the highest value of specific surface area (2.4025 ± 0.0218 m ² /g), while the particle size as average diameter (751.9 µm) was the lowest and most homogeneous of the three groups. Therefore, the electric grinder allowed for obtaining ATDG with more regenerative properties due to its specific surface-area value and particle size in accordance with the xenograft with the greatest bibliographical support (Bio-Oss®). The higher specific surface increases the reaction with the physiological media, producing faster biological mechanisms.

were subjected to a demineralisation and sterilisation process following a specific protocol. The demineralised particles were then stored in sterile 1.5 ml polypropylene tubes until use [5]. To study cell migration, human osteoblasts were co-cultured with ATDM or allograft. The amount of BMP2 released by ATDM was determined by ELISA [5]. The results revealed that ATDM possesses a high crystallinity, similar to that of DBBM, and contains elements such as carbon, calcium, oxygen, phosphate, sodium and magnesium. The dissolution of the calcium/phosphorus ratio of ATDM was closely correlated to that of the mandibular branch bone. In addition, the chemical treatment enabled the complete microbial decontamination of ATDM [7, 15]. It was found that the high mineral content of enamel can somewhat inhibit cell proliferation. Regardless of demineralisation, the extracellular matrix (ECM) of dentin showed excellent biocompatibility, superior to that of commonly used Bio-Oss® [5]. In addition, the dentin demineralisation method maintained the organic content and increased the bioavailability of BMP-2, resulting in an overall improvement in biocompatibility compared to untreated dentin [5].

The tooth-derived dentin matrix contains BMP proteins that are preserved after the partial demineralization of the tooth matrix without compromising its structure (Fig. 2). That is a key factor on the ATDM to become a promising choice for regenerative procedures [11]. For bone formation, it must be considered that the two types of materials have different porosity. DBBM has a porosity of about 75%, while ATDM has a porosity of about 45%. This aspect is very important for biological interaction [12].

Another previous study conducted an in-depth investigation into the effect of dentin matrix, both alone and in combination with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), on alveolar bone regeneration after extraction [9]. The analysis was conducted on animals *in vivo*, providing a realistic model to study bone regeneration [9]. In the H&E analysis, it was observed that the Bio-Oss group showed less bone than the ATDM group. Such result suggests that dentin matrix and MSCs may have a positive effect on bone regeneration. However, no other significant differences were observed between the groups, indicating that further research is needed to fully understand these results [9]. Non-demineralised autologous dentin has proven to be a viable alternative to alveolar bone grafting. The results indicate that combining autologous dentin with MSC can further improve bone regeneration results. The finding opens new perspectives for improving bone grafting techniques and suggests that further research in this field could lead to important advances in regenerative medicine [9].

On an *in vivo* study, bone regeneration was assessed in the maxillary sinuses of rabbits, after placement of blood clots, Bio-Oss, β -tricalcium phosphate (β -TCP) and demineralised dentin matrix graft (ATDM). Sinus augmentation

procedures were conducted in 18 adult male rabbits and dogs and the bone repair was analyzed by histomorphometry [6, 13]. As part of the *in vivo* research, teeth were sterilised with a solution of peracetic acid and ethanol in a vacuum ultrasound system and stored at -20°C prior to bone preparation. On the day of the experiment, the teeth were crushed and reduced to powders of size 0.8–1.0 mm and demineralisation was performed using 0.6 N hydrochloride for 15 min under vacuum followed by ultrasonic vibration [13]. The ATDM was then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), sterilised with sterilisation reagent and washed again with PBS and distilled water. The study suggested that ATDM (dentin matrix) may be an effective graft material for sinus lift bone regeneration [13].

In another animal study, the potential of ATDM particles was assessed for bone grafts in cranial defects in rats and compared to DBBM [8]. ATDM was produced through a series of steps: cleaning of the healthy extracted teeth, heating in an oven at 950°C ($17,428^{\circ}\text{F}$) for 30 min, grinding of the dental material with a mortar and pestle and filtration of the particle dentine through a 100-mesh sieve. ATDM particles were subsequently sterilised with ethylene oxide gas. Computer-assisted histomorphometry was performed to quantify the formation of new bone in the defects. It was noted that new bone formation was most pronounced in bone defects with ATDM when compared to those with DBBM [8].

The aim of another previous study was to compare the clinical efficacy of autologous ADTM with DBBM granules on guided bone regeneration (GBR) for immediate implantation in post-extraction periodontal sites of humans. Human volunteers aged 20–60 years who were diagnosed with severe localised periodontitis accepted implant treatment. DBBM was supplied by Geistlich Pharma AG (Wolhusen, Switzerland) and dentine was ground by an automatic mill at 20,000 rpm for 7–10 s. The ATDM granules, which ranged in size from 300 to 1200 μm , were demineralised in 2% HNO_3 for 20 min to expose the organic matrix of dentin (demineralisation) and were then immersed in 5% peracetic acid and 75% ethanol for 10 min. and then rinsed twice with distilled water. Forty patients (45 implants) were included in the study. Apart from 2 cases with wound infection, 43 implants did not present post-operative complications and had a satisfactory result after 1 year of prosthetic loading. No statistically significant differences were found between the two groups in implant stability quotient and marginal bone resorption values. ADTM granules prepared in the clinic after extractions can be an excellent and readily available alternative to bone grafting material in GBR, including cases of severe periodontitis [14]. Another interesting previous study aimed to examine the clinical efficacy and histological results of using autogenous ADTM versus DBBM as a bone graft material in the treatment of patients with oral bone defects. In total, 220 patients were considered eligible

for the study, of which 111 were assigned to the ADTM group and 109 to the DBBM group. The results showed that the use of ATDM could significantly promote bone regeneration that was not lower to DBBM [9].

Some limitations of this review can be drawn as follow. The selected studies examined demonstrate significant variability in their methods, outcome measures, and sample populations. Such variations encompass diverse animal models and human studies conducted under a range of conditions. The review does not sufficiently address how the studies' discrepancies may influence the overall findings. Scoping reviews typically do not incorporate formal statistical analysis, leaving publication bias unaddressed. This omission may lead to an overrepresentation of favorable findings, potentially overstating the benefits associated with ATDM and DBBM. The review focuses only on PubMed and Scopus, although probably missing relevant studies indexed in other databases. However, major findings were reported for both bone graft materials to avoid overstating outcomes for any material.

Considering previous findings on the use of ATDM, further studies should involve detailed in vitro physicochemical

characterization prior to in vivo studies. For instance, detailed analysis of DBBM and dentin matrix granules at the microscopic level could provide further information on their structure and function, contributing to the improvement of bone grafting techniques. The following aspects should be examined in future studies: (i) how graft porosity affects the formation of new blood vessels could provide valuable information on bone healing; (ii) how granule size and distribution affect bone regeneration could help optimizing graft preparation; (iii) how mixing granules with hydrogel (i.e., collagen) or platelet-rich fibrin affects granule distribution could improve graft efficacy; (iv) optimal combinations of DBBM and dentin matrix granules leading to enhanced bone repair.

Conclusions

Even though a scoping review show intrinsic limitations, relevant findings are reported regarding the bone healing potential for autologous tooth-derived matrix (ATDM) and




Fig. 2 Manufacturing of autogenous tooth-derived matrix (ATDM) granules

deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM). In fact, the chemical processing of ATDM maintained a partially demineralized hydroxyapatite structure with a high content of organic compounds such as collagen and bone morphogenetic protein. In a rabbit model, the bone formation was statistically higher for surgical sites with DBBM granules when compared to the blood clot. Also, ADTM granules induced a higher bone formation in surgical sites than that reported for blood clot. ATDM granules proved as effective as DBBM in bone augmentation for oral bone defects in humans.

Key References

- Souza JCM, Escobar M, Pimentel IS, Caramês J, Teughels W, Silva F, et al. Tooth-Derived Matrix Granules for Enhanced Bone Healing: Chemical Composition, Morphological Aspects, and Clinical Outcomes. Vol. 5, *Ceramics*. MDPI; 2022. p. 981–90.

A well-written comprehensive review on the chemical, manufacturing, and morphological aspects of human tooth-derived matrix granules and their clinical outcomes.

- Pimentel I, Henriques B, Silva F, Carvalho O, Teughels W, Özcan M, et al. Morphological aspects and distribution of granules composed of deproteinized bovine bone or human dentin into a putty mixture: an in vitro study. *Head Face Med*. 2023 Dec 1;19(1).

A key in vitro study on the morphological aspects and spatial distribution of human tooth-derived matrix granules for bone healing.

- Li Y, Zhou W, Li P, Luo Q, Li A, Zhang X. Comparison of the osteogenic effectiveness of an autogenous demineralised dentin matrix and Bio-Oss® in bone augmentation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* [Internet]. 2022;60(7):868–76. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2022.03.009>

A systematic review including statistical data comparing human tooth-derived matrix and DBBM granules.

- Ribes BL, Fernández-Baca I, Gil Mur J, López-Malla Matute J, Aragonese Lamas JM. Autologous Tooth Granulometry and Specific Surface Area with Three Grinding Methods: An In Vitro Study. *Materials*. 2024 Feb 1;17(4).

An in vitro study focusing on morphological measurements of the human tooth-derived matrix granules.

- Manop K, Rui Z, Kiatanant B, Ratchapin LS, Suphachai S, Verasak P, et al. Physicochemical and osteo-

genic properties of chairside processed tooth derived bone substitute and bone graft materials. *Dent Mater J*. 2021;40(1):173–83.

A significant study on the physicochemical characterization of human dentin-derived granules.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) for the financial support.

Authors' Contributions Conceptualization, J.C.M.S.; V.C.; B.H., M.Ö.; methodology, V.C; R.F.-P.; and J.C.M.S.; investigation, V.C.; B.H.; and R.F.-P.; writing—original draft preparation, V.C; J.C.M.S.; writing—review and editing, J.C.M.S.; W.T.; M.Ö.; B.H. Supervision, J.C.M.S., B.H., and W.T. All authors participated in the writing process, read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by FCTIFCCN (b-on). This work was supported by FCT-Portugal [UID/EEA/04436/2013, SFRH/BPD/123769/ 2016, and Project LaserMULTICER [POCI-01-0145-FEDER-031035].

Data Availability No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Code Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate The Section Editors for the topical collection Dental Biomaterials are Mutlu Özcan and Paulo Francisco Cesar. Please note that Section Editor, Mutlu Özcan was not involved in the editorial process of this article as she is a co-author.

Consent for Publication Not applicable.

Conflict of Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Baldini N, De Sanctis M, Ferrari M. Deproteinized bovine bone in periodontal and implant surgery. *Dent Mater*. 2011;27(1):61–70.
2. Shavit E, Shavit I, Pinchasov D, Shavit D, Pinchasov G, Juodzbalys G. The Use of Tooth Derived Bone Graft Materials in Sinus Augmentation Procedures: a Systematic Review. *J Oral Maxillofac Res*. 2019;10(2):e1.
3. Pang KM, Um IW, Kim YK, Woo JM, Kim SM, Lee JH. Autogenous demineralized dentin matrix from extracted tooth for the augmentation of alveolar bone defect: a prospective randomized

- clinical trial in comparison with anorganic bovine bone. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2017;28(7):809–15.
4. Barreiro BOB, Koth VS, Sesterheim P, Salum FG, Rübensam G, Augustin AH, et al. Autogenous dentin combined with mesenchymal stromal cells as an alternative alveolar bone graft: an in vivo study. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2023;27(5):1907–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-022-04840-z>.
 5. Bono N, Tarsini P, Candiani G. Demineralized dentin and enamel matrices as suitable substrates for bone regeneration. *J Appl Biomater Funct Mater.* 2017;15(3):e236–43.
 6. Liu X, Li Q, Wang F, Wang Z. Maxillary sinus floor augmentation and dental implant placement using dentin matrix protein-1 gene-modified bone marrow stromal cells mixed with deproteinized bovine bone: A comparative study in beagles. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2016;1(64):102–8.
 7. Souza JCM, Escobar M, Pimentel IS, Caramês J, Teughels W, Silva F, et al. Tooth-Derived Matrix Granules for Enhanced Bone Healing: Chemical Composition, Morphological Aspects, and Clinical Outcomes. Vol. 5, *Ceramics*. MDPI; 2022. p. 981–90.
 8. Su-Gwan K, Hak-Kyun K, Sung-Chul L. Combined implantation of particulate dentine, plaster of Paris, and a bone xenograft (Bio-Oss®) for bone regeneration in rats. *J Cranio-Maxillofac Surg.* 2001;29(5):282–8.
 9. Li Y, Zhou W, Li P, Luo Q, Li A, Zhang X. Comparison of the osteogenic effectiveness of an autogenous demineralised dentin matrix and Bio-Oss® in bone augmentation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2022;60(7):868–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2022.03.009>.
 10. Mahardawi B, Jiaranuchart S, Tompkins KA, Pimkhaokham A. Efficacy of the autogenous dentin graft for implant placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2023;52(5):604–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2022.10.014>.
 11. Ribes BL, Fernández-Baca I, Gil Mur J, López-Malla Matute J, Aragonese Lamas JM. Autologous Tooth Granulometry and Specific Surface Area with Three Grinding Methods: An In Vitro Study. *Materials.* 2024;17(4):773.
 12. Pimentel I, Henriques B, Silva F, Carvalho O, Teughels W, Özcan M, et al. Morphological aspects and distribution of granules composed of deproteinized bovine bone or human dentin into a putty mixture: an in vitro study. *Head Face Med.* 2023;19(1):55.
 13. Sohn DS, Moon YS. Histomorphometric study of rabbit's maxillary sinus augmentation with various graft materials. *Anat Cell Biol.* 2018;51:S1–12.
 14. Li P, Zhu HC, Huang DH. Autogenous DDM versus Bio-Oss granules in GBR for immediate implantation in periodontal post-extraction sites: A prospective clinical study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2018;20(6):923–8.
 15. Manop K, Rui Z, Kiatanant B, Ratchapin LS, Suphachai S, Verasak P, et al. Physicochemical and osteogenic properties of chairside processed tooth derived bone substitute and bone graft materials. *Dent Mater J.* 2021;40(1):173–83.
 16. Fernandes V, Silva AS, Carvalho O, Henriques B, Silva FS, Özcan M, et al. The resin-matrix cement layer thickness resultant from the intracanal fitting of teeth root canal posts: an integrative review. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2021;25:5595–612. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-04070-9>.
 17. Lopes-Rocha L, Ribeiro-Gonçalves L, Henriques B, Özcan M, Tiritan ME, Souza JCM. An integrative review on the toxicity of Bisphenol A (BPA) released from resin composites used in dentistry. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.* 2021;109(11):1942–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.34843>.
 18. Santos M, Fidalgo-Pereira R, Torres O, Carvalho O, Henriques B, Özcan M, Souza JCM. The impact of inorganic fillers, organic content, and polymerization mode on the degree of conversion of monomers in resin-matrix cements for restorative dentistry: a scoping review. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2024;28(8):454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-024-05829-6>.
- Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.