

1 **Technological and protective performance of LAB isolated from Serpa**
2 **PDO cheese: towards selection and development of an autochthonous starter**
3 **culture**

4 **Helena Araújo-Rodrigues^a, Maria Teresa P. G. dos Santos^b, Santiago Ruiz-**
5 **Moyano^{c,d}, Freni K. Tavaría^{a,*}, António P. L. Martins^{e,f}, Nuno Alvarenga^{e,f},**
6 **Manuela E. Pintado^a**

7 ^a Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina – Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de
8 Biotecnologia, Universidade Católica Portuguesa/Porto, Rua Diogo Botelho, 1327, 4169-
9 005 Porto, Portugal.

10 ^b Escola Superior Agrária, Instituto Politécnico de Beja, Rua Pedro Soares, Campus do
11 Instituto Politécnico de Beja, 7800-295, Beja, Portugal.

12 ^c Nutrición y Bromatología, Escuela de Ingenierías Agrarias, Universidad de
13 Extremadura, Avd. Adolfo Suárez s/n, 06007 Badajoz, Spain.

14 ^d Instituto Universitario de Investigación en Recursos Agrarios (INURA), Avd. De la
15 Investigación s/n, Universidad de Extremadura, 06006, Badajoz, Spain.

16 ^e Unidade de Tecnologia e Inovação, Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e
17 Veterinária. Avenida da República, Quinta do Marquês, 2780-157, Oeiras, Portugal.

18 ^f Geobiosciences, Geobiotechnologies and Geoengineering (GeoBioTec), Faculdade de
19 Ciências e Tecnologias, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516, Caparica, Portugal,
20 1349-017, Lisboa, Portugal.

21 * Corresponding author: ftavaria@porto.ucp.pt (Freni Kekhasharú Tavaría),
22 +351226196200.

23 E-mail addresses: hrodrigues@ucp.pt (Helena Araújo-Rodrigues), tsantos@ipbeja.pt
24 (Maria Teresa P. G. Santos), srsecodeherrera@gmail.com (Santiago Ruiz-Moyano),

25 ftavaria@ucp.pt (Freni K. Tavaría), pedro.louro@iniav.pt (António P. L. Martins),
26 nuno.alvarenga@iniav.pt (Nuno Alvarenga), mpintado@ucp.pt (Manuela M. Pintado).

27

28 **ABSTRACT (200/200)**

29 Serpa is an ovine raw milk cheese widely appreciated by the consumers. However,
30 raw milk products may be seen with reservations in terms of safety or technological
31 defects. To circumvent that, an autochthonous starter culture may ensure the
32 cheesemaking process optimization and microbiota dominance. In this work, the
33 technological and protective performance of eleven lactic acid bacteria strains, isolated
34 from Serpa PDO cheese and reported as generally recognized as safe, were screened.
35 Most of them were well adapted to the cheesemaking process, showing a good
36 acidification capacity and antimicrobial effect. The properties integration in the PCA plot,
37 coupled with the proteolytic and lipolytic analysis suggested that *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and
38 PL4 strains may be the best candidates. These strains showed both proteolytic and
39 lipolytic activities, a good acidification potential, low D-lactic acid production and were
40 well adapted to the salt and temperatures used. PL1 strain also exhibited a higher
41 antimicrobial effect against the pathogenic bacteria studied. Although *Lb. paracasei*
42 strain showed lower acidification, due to their technological and protective properties, it
43 could be combined with other more acidifying strains. As future work, it is important to
44 establish cheese model systems to complement this screening and implement an
45 autochthonous starter culture.

46

47 **KEYWORDS:** Serpa PDO cheese; Lactic acid bacteria; Technological attributes;
48 Autochthonous starter culture.

49

50 1. INTRODUCTION

51 Serpa is a Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) cheese, manufactured from ovine
52 raw milk and vegetable coagulant (*Cynara cardunculus* L.), without pasteurization or
53 other thermal process, or addition of any starter culture (Araújo-Rodrigues et al., 2020;
54 Freitas & Malcata, 2000; Gonçalves Dos Santos et al., 2017; Gonçalves et al., 2018;
55 Yeluri Jonnala et al., 2018). The PDO specifications require a minimum ripening period
56 of 30 days, typically ranging the maturation period between 30 and 40 days before
57 commercialization and consumption (Alvarenga et al., 2008; Araújo-Rodrigues et al.,
58 2020). Its high microbial diversity which is a consequence of the raw milk, ingredients
59 and processing technology, allows the development of highly appreciated organoleptic
60 attributes namely, a characteristic strong flavor coupled with a semi-soft and creamy
61 texture (Araújo-Rodrigues et al., 2020; Gonçalves Dos Santos et al., 2017; Gonçalves et
62 al., 2018; Roseiro, Gómez-Ruiz, et al., 2003). This traditional Portuguese cheese bears a
63 strong economic and cultural impact due to its long-lasting cultural heritage, regarding
64 the technological process and organoleptic properties (Araújo-Rodrigues et al., 2020;
65 Freitas & Malcata, 2000).

66 Even though this unique sensorial profile is highly appreciated, the use of raw milk
67 may be seen with reservations either in terms of food safety or in the development of
68 technological drawbacks and defects. Several parameters may directly affect their final
69 sensorial and safety characteristics including, the variations in the cheesemaking process,
70 as well as in the physicochemical and microbiological milk composition. These factors
71 contribute to variability and heterogeneity in the final product and may result in flavor,
72 texture and safety shortcomings (Gonçalves Dos Santos et al., 2017; Montel et al., 2014;
73 Silveti et al., 2017). The safety risks associated with the consumption of raw milk cheeses
74 are related to the possible presence of pathogenic microorganisms in the complex

75 microbial community present in raw milk (Chourasia et al., 2021; Leroy & De Vuyst,
76 2004; Montel et al., 2014; Tavoria et al., 2006).

77 The use of autochthonous starter cultures in the artisanal cheesemaking process may
78 overcome these problems, promoting consistent quality and safety (Câmara et al., 2019;
79 Chourasia et al., 2021; Dolci et al., 2020; Leroy & De Vuyst, 2004; Li et al., 2020; Montel
80 et al., 2014; Silveti et al., 2017; Tavoria et al., 2006). An autochthonous starter culture
81 consists of a single or a group of microbial strains well adapted to the technological
82 process, isolated and selected from the original product. Its implementation implies a
83 dominance of starter culture microorganisms over the raw or pasteurized milk microbiota,
84 ensuring a favorable microenvironment for the technological process and optimizing the
85 fermentative process. This may allow the development of the typical and specific
86 organoleptic properties of the autochthonous product and/or exalt some sensorial or
87 functional features (Bassi et al., 2015; Chourasia et al., 2021; Dolci et al., 2020).

88 Although the use of a starter culture is not permitted by the Portuguese legislation for
89 PDO cheeses, a tailor-made starter culture may contribute to introduce in the market new
90 products with sensory properties close to those of Serpa cheese, which could also be
91 suitable for more restricted markets in terms of hygiene and safety regulations (Araújo-
92 Rodrigues et al., 2020). In addition to overcoming the sensorial and safety issues, the use
93 of autochthonous starter cultures would also maximize the resources of the small
94 ruminant sector, helping traditional industries improving the use of raw milk (Silveti et
95 al., 2017). The raw material with an exceptional microbiological profile may continue to
96 be directed to PDO production, while to the other, a value-added strategy may be adopted
97 by using an autochthonous starter culture directly to raw or pasteurized milk and
98 consequently, reproduce some of the original cheese typicality.

99 For autochthonous starter culture selection and development, the microbial strains
100 should be generally recognized as safe (GRAS), well adapted to the technological
101 conditions used during the cheesemaking process and improve the fermentative procedure
102 (Chou et al., 2003; Leroy & De Vuyst, 2004; Nieto-Arribas et al., 2009; Schornsteiner et
103 al., 2014). The capacity to produce acid is an extremely important attribute during cheese
104 manufacture, being a typical feature of lactic acid bacteria (LAB). LAB also dominate
105 the microflora during maturation and play important roles during this phase (Câmara et
106 al., 2019; Chourasia et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Nieto-Arribas et al., 2009). Moreover,
107 lipolytic and proteolytic activities are extremely relevant for the development of aroma,
108 flavor and texture as well as the antimicrobial potential for final product safety (Chou et
109 al., 2003; Leroy & De Vuyst, 2004; Li et al., 2020; Schornsteiner et al., 2014).

110 Therefore, this study aimed at screening the technological and protective performance
111 of LAB isolated from Serpa cheese in order to select and develop an autochthonous starter
112 culture for this traditional product. From a set of 116 LAB strains belonging to
113 *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc* and *Enterococcus* genera, previously isolated
114 from Serpa cheese at the end of the ripening period (Gonçalves et al., 2018), 116 LAB
115 were studied and 20 of these strains were selected as acid-tolerant strains and studied by
116 Ruiz-Moyano et al. (Ruiz-Moyano et al., 2019). The authors evaluated some safety and
117 probiotic attributes of the autochthonous LAB strains (Ruiz-Moyano et al., 2019). In this
118 study, 11 of those GRAS LAB were selected to evaluate their technological and protective
119 potential for the development of an autochthonous starter culture for Serpa cheese.

120

121 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

122 **2.1 BACTERIAL ISOLATES**

123 Some safety and probiotic properties of LAB strains identified and isolated from Serpa
124 cheese with 30 days of maturation (Gonçalves et al., 2018) were studied by Ruiz-Moyano
125 et al. (2019). Through this screening of autochthonous LAB from Serpa PDO cheese,
126 eleven *Lactobacillus* spp. strains were selected for the present study mainly based on
127 safety aspects namely, antibiotic susceptibility and biogenic amine production as
128 summarized in Table 1. All LAB under study have been kept as pure cultures in our
129 institutional strain collection. The LAB strains were grown in triplicate in Man Rogosa-
130 Sharpe broth (MRS; Biokar Diagnostics, Cedex, France) at 37 °C. Cell concentration was
131 adjusted to ca. 10⁸ colony-forming unit (CFU)/ mL.

132

133 **2.2 TECHNOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

134 **2.2.1 LIPOLYTIC AND EXTRACELLULAR PROTEOLYTIC ACTIVITIES**

135 Lipolytic and extracellular proteolytic activities were evaluated as described by Câmara
136 et al. (2018) and Ribeiro et al. (2013), respectively. For lipolytic activity evaluation, the
137 LAB suspensions were streaked in Tributyrin agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) plates
138 in triplicate and incubated at 30 °C for 72 h. Regarding the extracellular proteolytic
139 activity, LAB cultures were streaked in triplicate in plate count agar (PCA, Merck,
140 Darmstadt, Germany) medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) of skim milk powder. The
141 plates were also incubated at 30 °C for 72 h and, after this period, they were flooded with
142 1% HCl. The presence of lipolytic and proteolytic activities was detected by a clear zone
143 surrounding the colonies' growth.

144

145 **2.2.2 RESISTANCE TO TEMPERATURE AND SALT**

146 Growth in MRS broth at different NaCl concentrations and temperatures was evaluated
147 in duplicate by measuring optical density (OD 620 nm), using the method described by

148 Ribeiro et al. (2013). Succinctly, in the first case, the ability of the strains to grow in MRS
149 supplemented with 2, 6 and 10% (w/v) of NaCl was evaluated during 24 h at 30 °C, at
150 intervals of 1 h. Regarding the ability to grow at different temperatures, namely 4, 15, 30
151 and 45 °C was also evaluated during 24 h at 1 h intervals.

152

153 **2.2.3 ACIDIFYING ACTIVITY**

154 The acidification activity of LAB was monitored for 48 h in skim milk (Oxoid,
155 Basingstoke, England), according to the methods described by Ribeiro et al. (2013) and
156 Nieto-Arribas et al. (2009), with few modifications. The bacterial isolates were grown in
157 MRS broth at 30 °C overnight before testing. For acidification activity monitorization, a
158 1% (v/v) suspension of each strain was grown in 10 mL of skim milk and incubated at 30
159 °C, in triplicate. The pH value was measured at 0, 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 h, using a pH meter.

160

161 **2.2.4 PRODUCTION OF LACTATE D(-)- AND L(+)-ISOMERS**

162 In order to investigate the lactic acid production (D(-)- and L(+)-isomers), the LAB strains
163 were grown in MRS modified broth (MRSMB) at 37 °C, during 24 h and under 10% CO₂.
164 The MRSMB was formulated according to commercial MRS specifications, lacking
165 glucose and sodium acetate as well as supplemented with 2 g L⁻¹ lactose. Cell culture
166 supernatants were obtained by media centrifugation at 8000 × g for 5 min, before filtering
167 through 0.22 µm filters (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The lactate isomeric types present in
168 fermented broth were determined by an enzymatic method as reported by Rulikowska et
169 al. (2013), using kit K-DLATE assay (Megazyme Int., Wicklow, Ireland) according to
170 the manufacturer's instructions. This enzymatic assay allows the quantification of both
171 D(-)- and L(+)-lactic acid. Succinctly, K-DLATE assay is based on the measurement of
172 UV absorbance at 340 nm of NADH produced when D(-)- and L(+)-lactic acid are

173 oxidized to pyruvate by NAD, in the presence of D-lactate dehydrogenase (D-LDH) and
174 L-lactate dehydrogenase (L-LDH), respectively. For all strains, three technical replicates
175 were performed and evaluated.

176

177 **2.3 PROTECTIVE CHARACTERIZATION**

178 **2.3.1 ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY**

179 The LAB antimicrobial effect on some potential pathogenic bacteria present in strain
180 collection (*Listeria monocytogenes* CECT 911, *L. monocytogenes* CECT 934, *L. innocua*
181 CECT 910, *Bacillus cereus* CECT 131, *Staphylococcus aureus* CECT 976, *Salmonella*
182 *choleraesuis* CECT 4395 and *Escherichia coli* CECT 4267) was investigated, according
183 to the method described by Ruiz-Moyano et al. (2009). The ability of each pathogen to
184 grow in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth (Scharlab), supplemented with 10% of
185 supernatant filtered-sterilized from each LAB strain, was evaluated by following the
186 microbial growth at 37 °C in an automated turbidometer Bioscreen C Analysing System
187 (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland). Each LAB supernatant was obtained from an overnight
188 culture at 37 °C under 10% CO₂ by centrifugation and pH adjustment at 7, to avoid acid
189 inhibition. The percentage of inhibition was calculated with the formula:

$$190 \quad \text{Inhibition (\%)} = \frac{OD(\text{strain}) - OD(\text{assay})}{OD(\text{strain})} \times 100$$

191 where OD (strain) corresponds to the pathogen strain growth optical density in the
192 absence of LAB supernatant, and OD (assay) is the pathogen strain growth optical density
193 in LAB supernatant presence.

194

195 **2.4. STATISTIC ANALYSIS**

196 Statistical analysis was performed for acidifying activity, D-lactic production and
197 antimicrobial activity, using the SPSS statistical package 26.0 via a one-way analysis of

198 variance (ANOVA), at a degree of significance of $\alpha=0.05$. Data were compared
199 statistically using ANOVA to understand the significance and confirm a normal
200 distribution of the data. Post-hoc multiple comparisons were carried out using Turkey's
201 test ($\alpha=0.05$). A biplot principal component analysis (PCA) was performed in order to
202 have a global integration of technological and protective properties for autochthonous
203 starter culture selection.

204

205 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

206 Ruiz-Moyano et al. (2019) evaluated the acid-tolerant properties of 116 LAB isolated
207 and identified from Serpa PDO cheese with 30 days of ripening (Gonçalves et al., 2018).
208 In the reported work, 20 of these microbial strains exhibited acid-tolerant properties and
209 some safety and probiotic attributes were investigated. In this work, the characterization
210 of 11 of these autochthonous strains, reported as GRAS by Ruiz-Moyano et al. (2019),
211 was performed in a technological and protective perspective. Some safety and probiotic
212 characteristics studied by Ruiz-Moyano et al. (2019) are summarized in Table 1.
213 Important technological and protective features for the cheesemaking process of these
214 autochthonous LAB strains were carried out in the present study to select promising
215 strains well adapted to the fermentation process, for the development of autochthonous
216 starter culture.

217

218 ***Lipolytic and extracellular proteolytic potential***

219 In Table 2, the extracellular proteolytic and lipolytic activities of the LAB under study
220 are presented. The results anticipated that only *Lb. casei* CA and *Lb. plantarum* PL2
221 strains did not exhibit extracellular proteolytic activity in PCA medium supplemented
222 with skim milk. The production of free amino acids (FAAs) and peptides by peptidases

223 of LAB and vegetable coagulant are essential for flavor development and intensity,
224 contributing to the acceleration of the maturation process (Chourasia et al., 2021; Leroy
225 & De Vuyst, 2004; Li et al., 2020).

226 Regarding lipolytic activity, only four bacterial isolates belonging to the species *Lb.*
227 *paracasei* (PA) and *Lb. plantarum* (PL1, PL4 and PL5) demonstrated lipolytic potential
228 in tributyrin agar (Table 2). Lipolysis is one of the most important reactions during cheese
229 maturation, which occurs by the action of lipolytic enzymes present in milk and
230 microorganisms, resulting in the production of free fatty acids (FFAs) and mono and
231 diacylglycerides. FFAs play an essential role in flavor and aroma development and the
232 differences in their proportions result in the specific attributes of each cheese (Yilmaz et
233 al., 2005).

234 FFAs, peptides and FAAs resultant from both bioactivities are relevant organoleptic-
235 related compounds, making these activities essential for starter culture selection and
236 development. These molecules are also precursors of other chemical groups involved in
237 the sensorial attributes, such as methyl ketones, esters and thioesters (Leroy & De Vuyst,
238 2004; Yilmaz et al., 2005).

239 Previous works studied the lipolytic and proteolytic activities of *Lb. plantarum* and
240 *Lb. paracasei subsp. paracasei* strains isolated from Manchego cheese (Nieto-Arribas et
241 al., 2009), Câmara et al. (2019) also studied these activities of autochthonous LAB from
242 Pico cheese (including *Lb. casei* and *Lb. paracasei* species). The results of both studies
243 indicated that none of the strains showed lipolytic activity (Câmara et al., 2019; Nieto-
244 Arribas et al., 2009). The lipolytic and proteolytic activities of *Lactococcus lactis* and
245 *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates were also studied and only one of the strains studied
246 demonstrated both activities (Ribeiro et al., 2014). In the present study, only 4 strains
247 demonstrated this activity in tributyrin agar, being aligned with the low lipolytic capacity

248 reported for LAB (McSweeney & Sousa, 2000). Regarding proteolytic activity, the results
249 of Nieto-Arribas et al. (2009) showed that most of the *Lb. paracasei subsp. paracasei* and
250 *Lb. plantarum* strains showed proteolytic activity, as also corroborated by the results of
251 the present study.

252

253 ***Resistance to cheesemaking conditions***

254 For starter culture application, it is also important that LAB are well adapted to all
255 conditions applied during the cheesemaking process, namely the salt content and
256 temperatures used during the manufacturing process. Serpa cheese possesses a content of
257 NaCl in the moisture ranging from 3.61 to 4.43 g/ 100 g (Roseiro, Andrew Wilbey, et al.,
258 2003). Accordingly, the growth of LAB was tested without NaCl addition and with NaCl
259 concentrations of 2, 5 and 10% (w/w). The LAB strains were well adapted to all NaCl
260 concentrations, during 24 h of growth (data not shown).

261 Concerning the temperatures used during the technological procedure, milk
262 coagulation of Serpa cheese occurs under a temperature of ca. 30 °C and maturation
263 between 8 and 13 °C (Alvarenga et al., 2008; Araújo-Rodrigues et al., 2020; Roseiro,
264 Andrew Wilbey, et al., 2003). The temperature resistance was tested by growing strains
265 at 4, 15, 30 and 45 °C, as depicted in Figure 1. Generally, the results indicated that the
266 bacterial isolates possessed a higher growth at 30 °C, being followed by growth at 15 °C.
267 However, different growth patterns were registered until the exponential phase according
268 to the strain and temperature used. The different lag phases mean that the bacterial cells
269 require different periods to adapt to the new environmental conditions and reach the
270 exponential phase. The lag phase may include the reparation of macromolecular damage
271 accumulated during the stationary phase (Rolfe et al., 2012) and preparation for the
272 upcoming exponential phase. All strains showed reduced growth at 4 °C and maximum

273 at 30 °C, in accordance with Ribeiro et al. work (2013). Strains belonging to the *Lb.*
274 *plantarum* species exhibited similar behavior at all temperatures tested, which was
275 expected since these strains belonging to the same species, possessed more similarities at
276 the genetic level. The results also indicated that *Lb. crustorum* strains had similar
277 performances at 30, 15 and 4 °C but some differences at 45 °C growing conditions, where
278 *Lb. crustorum* CR strain seems to adapt and grow better at this temperature. Although in
279 the initial phase the strains *Lb. paracasei* PC, *Lb. crustorum* CR and *Lb. brevis* BR1
280 showed lower growth at 45 °C, these showed the capacity to adapt to this temperature and
281 to display a growth rate similar to the growth presented at 15 °C. The strain *Lb. pentosus*
282 PE proved to be well adapted to this highest temperature, with growth similar to that
283 registered at 30 °C. The remaining bacterial isolates were not well adapted to temperature
284 at 45 °C and showed very low growth. The same was observed at 4 °C, as well.

285

286 ***Decrease in pH***

287 The decrease in pH value due to lactic acid production also plays an important role in
288 cheese safety and maturation, inhibiting the growth of pathogens and undesired
289 microorganisms, as well as contributing to the production of numerous organoleptic-
290 related compounds (Alvarenga et al., 2008; Beresford et al., 2001). The decrease in pH is
291 also involved in a micelle demineralization effect (Ca^{2+} bonds) and subsequent
292 dissociation, being extremely important for cheese softening (Alvarenga et al., 2008). In
293 this context, the acidification activity of 11 LAB was evaluated in skim milk (Table 3).

294 The pH values suggested significant differences between bacterial strains during the
295 incubation period and a decrease in pH from 6.47-6.40 until 3.65-4.60, after 24 h of
296 incubation, and until 3.53-3.97, after 48 h of incubation. Although in all strains a high
297 decrease in pH value was observed, the results indicated that *Lb. casei* CA, *Lb. plantarum*

298 PL3 and PL4 and *Lb. pentosus* PE were the strains with a higher acidification capacity,
299 while *Lb. paracasei* PC, *Lb. brevis* BR1 and BR2 strains showed a lower acidification
300 activity but still a high acidifying capacity comparing with LAB strains studied by Nieto-
301 Arribas et al. (2009), Ribeiro et al. (2013) and Câmara et al. (2019).

302 Although acidifying activity is a key parameter for the selection and development of
303 a starter culture, a less acidifying strain with other interesting technological properties
304 can be combined with a more acidifying strain in a mixed starter culture.

305

306 ***D-lactic concentration***

307 LAB ferment lactose into lactic acid, in amounts often reaching 1-2% in some
308 fermented dairy products (Beresford et al., 2001; Marco et al., 2017). However, these
309 may selectively produce L(+)-lactic or D(-)-lactic acid (Castillo Martinez et al., 2013;
310 Garvie, 1980). Lactic acid isomers produced by lactobacilli are species-specific
311 (Garmiene et al., 2005; Holzapfel, 2002). The isomer produced will depend on the
312 presence of the specific enzyme NAD-LDH and its activity (D-HDL or L-HDL). In
313 addition, D(-)-lactic acid can be obtained from L(+)-lactic acid through racemase
314 catalyzed, but few microorganisms synthesize this enzyme (Garvie, 1980). Racemase
315 positive *Lactobacilli* spp. have been associated with calcium lactate crystallization (CLC)
316 in cheeses (Agarwal et al., 2006; Somers et al., 2001). Since D(-)-lactate is less soluble
317 than L(+)-lactate, their calcium salts tend to precipitate on the cheese surface as white
318 crystalline deposits (Chou et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 1990; Swearingen et al., 2004),
319 which can be detrimental for consumer acceptance. Consequently, this technological
320 property may be important for starter culture selection and the production of softer
321 cheeses.

322 The D(-)-lactate and L(+)-lactate concentrations produced by each strain are
323 presented in Table 4 and the results showed that there are significant differences between
324 lactate isomers production by LAB isolates in this study. The results in the culture
325 medium with lactose as an energy source indicated that none of the strains produces stereo
326 specifically D(-)-lactic acid. Although in very different proportions, all strains produced
327 the two isomers.

328 *Lb. paracasei* PC and *Lb. casei* CA strains were the ones that produced the least
329 amount of the D(-)-lactic acid isomer (< 1 g/ L or < 5%), the latter being one of the strains
330 with the greatest acidifying capacity. *Lb. crustorum* CR and strains BR1 and BR2
331 belonging to *Lb. brevis* species produced low concentrations of this isomer (between 1
332 and 3.9 g/ L or < 50%). *Lb. casei* strains were usually identified as weak producers of this
333 isomer (Castillo Martinez et al., 2013; Garmiene et al., 2005; Holzapfel, 2002; Martín et
334 al., 2005), as well as *Lb. brevis* (Castillo Martinez et al., 2013). All strains of *Lb.*
335 *plantarum* produced the two isomers in close quantities with a slight predominance of the
336 D(-)-lactic acid isomer (ranging between 50.3% and 71.4%). *Lb. plantarum* was among
337 the species producing mixtures of both isomers (Castillo Martinez et al., 2013).

338

339 ***Protective potential***

340 In addition to the natural acidification occurring through cheesemaking and ripening
341 processes, some LAB strains also possess antimicrobial activity that may help to inhibit
342 contaminants, eliminating pathogenic microorganisms (Leroy & De Vuyst, 2004). The
343 antimicrobial activity of the 11 selected bacterial isolates against common foodborne
344 pathogens is presented in Table 5 and, in Figure 2 the corresponding growth inhibition
345 curves. None of the strains showed a high antimicrobial effect against *E. coli* CECT 4267
346 growth, however, *Lb. pentosus* PE strain showed a significant higher antimicrobial effect

347 against this pathogen, with approximately 15% of growth inhibition. Contrary, all strains
348 showed moderated activity against *S. choleraesuis* CECT 4395 growth with some
349 significant variations, with ranges of growth inhibition between 41-64%. Although is well
350 known that LAB are more effective against Gram-positive pathogens, inhibitory activity
351 towards Gram-negative bacteria such as *Salmonella* spp. has also been reported (Cálix-
352 Lara et al., 2014; Ferrari et al., 2016; Heredia-Castro et al., 2015).

353 Generally, concerning Gram-positive pathogens, the inhibition was not detectable, or
354 weak antimicrobial effect, around 20%, was found. However, the results indicated that
355 *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and PL2 were the strains that show a greater antimicrobial effect, with
356 activity against the three *Listeria* spp. strains, *B. cereus* CECT 131 and *S. choleraesuis*
357 CECT4395. Similar results were obtained by other authors for *L. plantarum* strains
358 isolated from traditional cheeses (Ołdak et al., 2017). In a recent study, *L. plantarum*
359 isolated from artisanal cheeses and identified as the main bacteriocin-producing species,
360 demonstrated antimicrobial activity against one or two distinct strains of *L.*
361 *monocytogenes*. In a subsequent study, one of these strains was inoculated in micro
362 cheeses, leading to a reduction of 2.52 log CFU/ g in the count of *L. monocytogenes*, after
363 21 days of maturation (Margalho et al., 2020). Regarding the other strains under study,
364 *Lb. plantarum* PL3 and PL4 as well as *Lb. casei* (CA) also exhibited a weak activity
365 (around 20%) against the *L. monocytogenes* CECT 934, but still standing out from the
366 other strains in terms of this antimicrobial effect.

367 The consumption of raw, soft or semi-soft cheeses with high moisture content, may be
368 associated with the transmission of different infectious diseases, the greatest fears being
369 those related to the possible transmission of *L. monocytogenes* (Chourasia et al., 2021;
370 Food & Authority, 2018; Verraes et al., 2015; West, 2008; Yoon et al., 2016). In Europe,
371 positive results for sheep cheese were reported in Portugal, in 2017. Many studies have

372 been focused on the control of *L. monocytogenes* in cheese, using protective LAB (Coelho
373 et al., 2014; Margalho et al., 2020; Susana C. Ribeiro et al., 2016). The present study
374 demonstrated that *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and PL2 were able to inhibit 5 of the 7 strains tested
375 including the pathogenic *L. monocytogenes*, which, together with *Lb. paracasei* that
376 exerts weak antimicrobial action on *S. aureus*, suggests the potential of these strains as
377 potential biopreservatives in cheese.

378

379 ***Integration of properties for autochthonous starter culture selection***

380 The integration of properties studied was carried out using the PCA methodology.
381 Two analyses were carried out: (i) on the antimicrobial effect and (ii) on the technological
382 parameters. In the bi-plot PCA of the antimicrobial effect (Figure 3), the inhibitory
383 capacity against all potential pathogen bacteria strains studied were used namely, the
384 inhibition effect on *L. monocytogenes* CECT 911, *L. monocytogenes* CECT 934, *L.*
385 *innocua* CECT 910, *B. cereus* CECT 131, *S. aureus* CECT 976, *S. choleraesuis* CECT
386 4395 and *E. coli* CECT 4267. The similarity map defined by the first two principal
387 components took into account 76.3% of the total variance. The first component (PC1) by
388 itself condensed 56.8% and the second component (PC2) represented 19.6% of the total
389 variance. The PC1 presented negative correlations with *E. coli* CECT 4267 and positive
390 correlations with *S. choleraesuis* CECT 4395, *L. monocytogenes* CECT 911, *B. cereus*
391 CECT 131 and *L. innocua* CECT 910. The PC2 was negatively correlated to *S. aureus*
392 CECT 976. The antimicrobial effect on *L. monocytogenes* CECT 934 was correlated
393 simultaneously with the PC1 (positive values) and PC2 (negative values).

394 Some strains belonging to the same species were groups in the PCA plot namely, *Lb.*
395 *brevis* BR1 and BR2 strains, *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and PL2 strains as well as *Lb. plantarum*
396 PL3 and PL4 (Figure 3). *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and PL2 strains stood out in terms of

397 combined antimicrobial effect against *L. monocytogenes* CECT 911, *L. innocua* CECT
398 910, *B. cereus* CECT 131 and *S. choleraesuis* CECT 4395, as suggested by Figure 3. As
399 also illustrated in the biplot, the strain *Lb. pentosus* (PE) is the strain that showed the
400 greatest antimicrobial activity against *E. coli* CECT 4267 strain. Figure 3 also indicate
401 that *Lb. paracasei* PC also stood out in terms of *S. aureus* CECT 976 antimicrobial
402 effects.

403 A PCA analysis of the technological attributes was also carried out on eight
404 parameters namely, resistance to temperature and salt (growth at 30 and 15 °C during 24
405 h; and growth at 2 and 6% of NaCl during 24h at 30 °C); the production of lactate (total
406 g/ L and D(-)-lactic acid%) and acidifying activity (pH at 30 °C after 8 h and after 24 h).
407 The similarity map defined by the first two principal components took into account 72.1%
408 of the total variance. The first component (PC1) by itself condensed 47.9% and the second
409 component (PC2) represented 24.2% of the total variance. The PC1 presented negative
410 correlations with growth at 30 °C and 15 °C, growth at 30 °C with 6% of NaCl and total
411 lactate production (g/ L) and positive correlations with pH values after 24 h of incubation.
412 The PC2 was negatively correlated to the percentage of D(-)-lactic acid. The growth at
413 30 °C with 2% of NaCl was correlated simultaneously with the PC1 (negative values) and
414 PC2 (positive values).

415 Strains belonging to the same species namely, *Lb. plantarum* PL1, PL2, PL3 and PL4
416 as well as *Lb. brevis* BR1 and BR2, were grouped in the PCA plot (Figure 4). As we can
417 see in the biplot, the strains *Lb. brevis* BR1 and BR2, *Lb. crustorum* CR and *Lb. paracasei*
418 PC stood out in terms of pH values and, at the same time, were the ones with the least
419 total lactate production (g/ L), suggesting the lower acidification capacity and production
420 of lactic acid of these strains. On the other side, *Lb. plantarum* PL5 revealed highest D-
421 lactic (g/ L) production. The results also indicated that *Lb. plantarum* PL1, PL2, PL3 and

422 PL4, *Lb. pentosus* PE and *Lb. casei* CA were the strains that produced higher
423 concentration of total lactate (g/ L). The location in the PCA plot also suggested that *Lb.*
424 *plantarum* PL1, PL2, PL3 and PL4, *Lb. pentosus* PE and *Lb. casei* CA were the strains
425 with the more technological ability for Serpa cheesemaking process as well, owing to
426 the higher acidification potential and the lower D-lactic production but also good
427 resistances to temperature and salt (growth at 30 and 15 °C during 24 h; and growth at 2
428 and 6% of NaCl during 24 h at 30 °C).

429 *Lb. casei* CA was the one more adapted to the technological parameters however, this
430 strain did not exhibit proteolytic activity in PCA medium supplemented with skim milk
431 (technological property not present in the PCA plot). The results also suggest that *Lb.*
432 *plantarum* PL2, with a more central position in Figure 4, did not show proteolytic activity.
433 Regarding lipolytic activity, the results indicated that only four strains exhibited this
434 activity in tributyrin agar namely, *Lb. plantarum* PL1, PL4 and PL5 as well as *Lb.*
435 *paracasei* PC.

436 Accordingly, the bacterial strains *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and PL4 may be the best
437 candidates for the autochthonous starter culture, since the results indicated that these
438 strains are well adapted to the technological conditions and, additionally, include both
439 lipolytic and extracellular proteolytic activities, which are extremely relevant bioactivities
440 for the cheesemaking process and autochthonous starter culture development. *Lb.*
441 *plantarum* PL1 also showed antimicrobial effect against all *Listeria* spp. strains, *B. cereus*
442 CECT 131 and *S. choleraesuis* CECT 4395. Although *Lb. paracasei* strain (PC) was one
443 of the strains that showed lower acidification activity, due to their proteolytic and lipolytic
444 potential, this strain could be combined with other strain with more acidifying potential
445 to develop an autochthonous starter culture. This strain also possesses higher
446 antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* CECT 976. *Lb. plantarum* PL5 also showed

447 proteolytic and lipolytic activities however, the results suggested that this strain produced
448 higher amounts of D-lactic isomer that can be detrimental for the final product.

449

450 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

451 In this study, the technological and protective performance of 11 *Lactobacillus* spp.
452 isolated from Serpa cheese was evaluated to select some LAB candidates for the
453 development of autochthonous starter culture, which were previously reported as food
454 GRAS by Ruiz-Moyano et al. (2019). The results indicated that most strains possessed a
455 good or moderate acidification capacity, and these were well adapted to the cheesemaking
456 conditions. The integration of technological properties in the PCA plot stands out *Lb.*
457 *plantarum* PL1, PL2, PL3 and PL4, *Lb. pentosus* PE and *Lb. casei* CA as the most adapted
458 strains to the salt and temperature conditions as well as possessing the higher acidification
459 potential and the lower D-lactic production.

460 However, only four strains showed both extracellular proteolytic and lipolytic
461 activities (*Lb. paracasei* PC and *Lb. plantarum* PL1, PL4 and PL5). Combining these
462 bioactivities with the other technological properties, *Lb. plantarum* PL1 and PL4 could
463 be the most promising strains for a starter culture development. *Lb. plantarum* PL1 also
464 showed some antimicrobial effect, with activity against the three *Listeria spp. strains*, *B.*
465 *cereus* CECT 131 and *S. choleraesuis* CECT 4395 growth. *Lb. paracasei* strain (PC) can
466 also be tested in a mixed autochthonous starter culture, combined with a more acidifying
467 strain. This strain also possesses higher antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* CECT
468 976 strain. All strains showed moderated activity against *S. choleraesuis* CECT 4395
469 growth.

470 It is important to establish cheese model systems and tests to evaluate these strains
471 singly and in combination, understanding their impact in acidification, fatty acid and

472 FAAs production as well as in other key parameters such as, aroma, texture and flavor.
473 This additional screening will allow to select and implement an autochthonous starter
474 culture in Serpa cheese and overcome quality and safety shortcomings, maximizing the
475 resources in the small ruminant sector.

476

477 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

478 Funding to this work was obtained by the national funds through the ministry of
479 Agriculture and Rural Development and co-financed by the European Agricultural Fund
480 for Rural Development (EAFRD). through the partnership agreement Portugal2020 -
481 PDR. under the project PDR2020-101-031020: SerpaFlora - Valorização da flora
482 autóctone do queijo Serpa. We would also like to thank the scientific collaboration of
483 CBQF under the FCT project UID/Multi/50016/2019.

484

485 **REFERENCES**

- 486 Agarwal, S., Sharma, K., Swanson, B. G., Yüksel, G. Ü., & Clark, S. (2006). Nonstarter
487 lactic acid bacteria biofilms and calcium lactate crystals in cheddar cheese. *Journal*
488 *of Dairy Science*, *89*(5), 1452–1466. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72213-5)
489 [0302\(06\)72213-5](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72213-5)
- 490 Alvarenga, N., Silva, P., Garcia, J. R., & Sousa, I. (2008). Estimation of Serpa cheese
491 ripening time using multiple linear regression (MLR) considering rheological,
492 physical and chemical data. *Journal of Dairy Research*, *75*(2), 233–239.
493 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029908003191>
- 494 Araújo-Rodrigues, H., Tavaría, F. K., dos Santos, M. T. P. G., Alvarenga, N., &
495 Pintado, M. M. (2020). A review on microbiological and technological aspects of
496 Serpa PDO cheese: An ovine raw milk cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, *100*,
497 104561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2019.104561>
- 498 Bassi, D., Puglisi, E., & Cocconcelli, P. S. (2015). Comparing natural and selected
499 starter cultures in meat and cheese fermentations. *Current Opinion in Food*
500 *Science*, *2*, 118–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2015.03.002>
- 501 Beresford, T. P., Fitzsimons, N. A., Brennan, N. L., & Cogan, T. M. (2001). Recent
502 advances in cheese microbiology. *International Dairy Journal*, *11*(4–7), 259–274.
503 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946\(01\)00056-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(01)00056-5)

504 Cáliz-Lara, T. F., Rajendran, M., Talcott, S. T., Smith, S. B., Miller, R. K., Castillo, A.,
505 Sturino, J. M., & Taylor, T. M. (2014). Inhibition of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and
506 *Salmonella enterica* on spinach and identification of antimicrobial substances
507 produced by a commercial Lactic Acid Bacteria food safety intervention. *Food*
508 *Microbiology*, *38*, 192–200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2013.09.006>

509 Câmara, S. P., Dapkevicius, A., Riquelme, C., Elias, R. B., Silva, C. C. G., Malcata, F.
510 X., & Dapkevicius, M. L. N. E. (2019). Potential of lactic acid bacteria from Pico
511 cheese for starter culture development. *Food Science and Technology*
512 *International*, *25*(4), 303–317. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1082013218823129>

513 Castillo Martinez, F. A., Balciunas, E. M., Salgado, J. M., Domínguez González, J. M.,
514 Converti, A., & Oliveira, R. P. de S. (2013). Lactic acid properties, applications
515 and production: A review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, *30*(1), 70–83.
516 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2012.11.007>

517 Chou, Y. E., Edwards, C. G., Luedecke, L. O., Bates, M. P., & Clark, S. (2003).
518 Nonstarter lactic acid bacteria and aging temperature affect calcium lactate
519 crystallization in Cheddar cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *86*(8), 2516–2524.
520 [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(03\)73846-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73846-6)

521 Chourasia, R., Abedin, M. M., Chiring Phukon, L., Sahoo, D., Singh, S. P., & Rai, A.
522 K. (2021). Biotechnological approaches for the production of designer cheese with
523 improved functionality. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*,
524 *20*(1), 960–979. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12680>

525 Coelho, M. C., Silva, C. C. G., Ribeiro, S. C., Dapkevicius, M. L. N. E., & Rosa, H. J.
526 D. (2014). Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in fresh cheese using protective
527 lactic acid bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *191*, 53–59.
528 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.08.029>

529 Dolci, P., Ferrocino, I., Giordano, M., Pramotton, R., Verneti-Prot, L., Zenato, S., &
530 Barmaz, A. (2020). Impact of *Lactococcus lactis* as starter culture on microbiota
531 and metabolome profile of an Italian raw milk cheese. *International Dairy Journal*,
532 *110*, 104804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2020.104804>

533 Ferrari, I. da S., de Souza, J. V., Ramos, C. L., da Costa, M. M., Schwan, R. F., & Dias,
534 F. S. (2016). Selection of autochthonous lactic acid bacteria from goat dairies and
535 their addition to evaluate the inhibition of *Salmonella typhi* in artisanal cheese.
536 *Food Microbiology*, *60*, 29–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.06.014>

537 Food, E., & Authority, S. (2018). The European Union summary report on trends and

538 sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2017. *EFSA*
539 *Journal*, 16(12). <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5500>

540 Freitas, C., & Malcata, F. X. (2000). Microbiology and biochemistry of cheeses with
541 appellation d'origine protégée and manufactured in the Iberian Peninsula from
542 ovine and caprine milks. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83(3), 584–602.
543 [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(00\)74918-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(00)74918-6)

544 Garmiene, G., Saikauskiene, V., & Kulikauskiene, M. (2005). Lactic acid isomers in
545 milk products. *Milchwissenschaft*, 60(3), 259–262.

546 Garvie, E. I. (1980). Bacterial lactate dehydrogenases. *Microbiological Reviews*, 44(1),
547 106–139. <https://doi.org/10.1128/membr.44.1.106-139.1980>

548 Gonçalves Dos Santos, M. T. P., Benito, M. J., Córdoba, M. de G., Alvarenga, N., &
549 Ruiz-Moyano Seco de Herrera, S. (2017). Yeast community in traditional
550 Portuguese Serpa cheese by culture-dependent and -independent DNA approaches.
551 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 262(July), 63–70.
552 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.09.013>

553 Gonçalves, M. T. P., Benito, M. J., Córdoba, M. de G., Egas, C., Merchán, A. V.,
554 Galván, A. I., & Ruiz-Moyano, S. (2018). Bacterial Communities in Serpa Cheese
555 by Culture Dependent Techniques, 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing and High-
556 throughput Sequencing Analysis. *Journal of Food Science*, 83(5), 1333–1341.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14141>

558 Heredia-Castro, P. Y., Méndez-Romero, J. I., Hernández-Mendoza, A., Acedo-Félix, E.,
559 González-Córdova, A. F., & Vallejo-Cordoba, B. (2015). Antimicrobial activity
560 and partial characterization of bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances produced by
561 *Lactobacillus* spp. isolated from artisanal Mexican cheese. *Journal of Dairy*
562 *Science*, 98(12), 8285–8293. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10104>

563 Holzapfel, W. H. (2002). Appropriate starter culture technologies for small-scale
564 fermentation in developing countries. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
565 75(3), 197–212. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(01\)00707-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(01)00707-3)

566 Johnson, M. E., Riesterer, B. A., & Olson, N. F. (1990). Influence of Nonstarter
567 Bacteria on Calcium Lactate Crystallization on the Surface of Cheddar Cheese.
568 *Journal of Dairy Science*, 73(5), 1145–1149. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(90\)78775-9](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(90)78775-9)

570 Leroy, F., & De Vuyst, L. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria as functional starter cultures for
571 the food fermentation industry. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 15(2),

572 67–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2003.09.004>

573 Li, J., Huang, Q., Zheng, X., Ge, Z., Lin, K., Zhang, D., Chen, Y., Wang, B., & Shi, X.
574 (2020). Investigation of the Lactic Acid Bacteria in Kazak Cheese and Their
575 Contributions to Cheese Fermentation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *11*(March), 1–
576 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00228>

577 Marco, M. L., Heeney, D., Binda, S., Cifelli, C. J., Cotter, P. D., Foligné, B., Gänzle,
578 M., Kort, R., Pasin, G., Pihlanto, A., Smid, E. J., & Hutkins, R. (2017). Health
579 benefits of fermented foods: microbiota and beyond. *Current Opinion in*
580 *Biotechnology*, *44*, 94–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.11.010>

581 Margalho, L. P., Feliciano, M. D. E., Silva, C. E., Abreu, J. S., Piran, M. V. F., &
582 Sant’Ana, A. S. (2020). Brazilian artisanal cheeses are rich and diverse sources of
583 nonstarter lactic acid bacteria regarding technological, biopreservative, and safety
584 properties—Insights through multivariate analysis. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
585 *103*(9), 7908–7926. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18194>

586 Martín, R., Olivares, M., Marín, M. L., Fernández, L., Xaus, J., & Rodríguez, J. M.
587 (2005). Probiotic potential of 3 lactobacilli strains isolated from breast milk.
588 *Journal of Human Lactation*, *21*(1), 8–17.
589 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334404272393>

590 McSweeney, P. L. H., & Sousa, M. J. (2000). Biochemical pathways for the production
591 of flavour compounds in cheeses during ripening: A review. *Le Lait*, *80*(3), 293–
592 324. <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2000127>

593 Montel, M. C., Buchin, S., Mallet, A., Delbes-Paus, C., Vuitton, D. A., Desmasures, N.,
594 & Berthier, F. (2014). Traditional cheeses: Rich and diverse microbiota with
595 associated benefits. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *177*, 136–154.
596 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.02.019>

597 Nieto-Arribas, P., Poveda, J. M., Seseña, S., Palop, L., & Cabezas, L. (2009).
598 Technological characterization of Lactobacillus isolates from traditional Manchego
599 cheese for potential use as adjunct starter cultures. *Food Control*, *20*(12), 1092–
600 1098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.03.001>

601 Ołdak, A., Zielińska, D., Rzepkowska, A., & Kołożyn-Krajewska, D. (2017).
602 Comparison of Antibacterial Activity of Lactobacillus plantarum Strains Isolated
603 from Two Different Kinds of Regional Cheeses from Poland: Oscypek and
604 Korycinski Cheese. *BioMed Research International*, *2017*, 1–10.
605 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6820369>

606 Ribeiro, S. C., Coelho, M. C., Todorov, S. D., Franco, B. D. G. M., Dapkevicius, M. L.
607 E., & Silva, C. C. G. (2014). Technological properties of bacteriocin-producing
608 lactic acid bacteria isolated from Pico cheese an artisanal cow's milk cheese.
609 *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *116*(3), 573–585.
610 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12388>

611 Ribeiro, Susana C., O'Connor, P. M., Ross, R. P., Stanton, C., & Silva, C. C. G. (2016).
612 An anti-listerial *Lactococcus lactis* strain isolated from Azorean Pico cheese
613 produces lacticin 481. *International Dairy Journal*, *63*, 18–28.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2016.07.017>

615 Rolfe, M. D., Rice, C. J., Lucchini, S., Pin, C., Thompson, A., Cameron, A. D. S.,
616 Alston, M., Stringer, M. F., Betts, R. P., Baranyi, J., Peck, M. W., & Hinton, J. C.
617 D. (2012). Lag phase is a distinct growth phase that prepares bacteria for
618 exponential growth and involves transient metal accumulation. *Journal of*
619 *Bacteriology*, *194*(3), 686–701. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06112-11>

620 Roseiro, L. B., Andrew Wilbey, R., & Barbosa, M. (2003). Serpa Cheese:
621 Technological, biochemical and microbiological characterisation of a PDO ewe's
622 milk cheese coagulated with *Cynara cardunculus* L. *Le Lait*, *83*(6), 469–481.
623 <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2003026>

624 Roseiro, L. B., Gómez-Ruiz, J. A., García-Risco, M., & Molina, E. (2003). Article. *Le*
625 *Lait*, *83*(4), 343–350. <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2003017>

626 Ruiz-Moyano, S., Gonçalves dos Santos, M. T. P., Galván, A. I., Merchán, A. V.,
627 González, E., Córdoba, M. de G., & Benito, M. J. (2019). Screening of
628 autochthonous lactic acid bacteria strains from artisanal soft cheese: probiotic
629 characteristics and prebiotic metabolism. *Lwt*, *114*(May), 108388.
630 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108388>

631 Rulikowska, A., Kilcawley, K. N., Doolan, I. A., Alonso-Gomez, M., Nongonierma, A.
632 B., Hannon, J. A., & Wilkinson, M. G. (2013). The impact of reduced sodium
633 chloride content on Cheddar cheese quality. *International Dairy Journal*, *28*(2),
634 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2012.08.007>

635 Schornsteiner, E., Mann, E., Bereuter, O., Wagner, M., & Schmitz-Esser, S. (2014).
636 Cultivation-independent analysis of microbial communities on Austrian raw milk
637 hard cheese rinds. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *180*, 88–97.
638 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.04.010>

639 Silveti, T., Capra, E., Morandi, S., Cremonesi, P., Decimo, M., Gavazzi, F., Giannico,

640 R., De Noni, I., & Brasca, M. (2017). Microbial population profile during ripening
641 of Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) Silter cheese, produced with and without
642 autochthonous starter culture. *Lwt*, *84*, 821–831.
643 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.06.022>

644 Somers, E. B., Johnson, M. E., & Wong, A. C. L. (2001). Biofilm formation and
645 contamination of cheese by nonstarter lactic acid bacteria in the dairy environment.
646 *Journal of Dairy Science*, *84*(9), 1926–1936. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-
647 0302\(01\)74634-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74634-6)

648 Swearingen, P. A., Adams, D. E., & Lensmire, T. L. (2004). Factors affecting calcium
649 lactate and liquid expulsion defects in Cheddar cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
650 *87*(3), 574–582. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(04\)73199-9](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73199-9)

651 Tavaría, F. K., Tavares, T. G., Silva-Ferreira, A. C., & Malcata, F. X. (2006).
652 Contribution of coagulant and native microflora to the volatile-free fatty acid
653 profile of an artisanal cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, *16*(8), 886–894.
654 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2005.08.004>

655 Verraes, C., Vlaemynck, G., Van Weyenberg, S., De Zutter, L., Daube, G., Sindic, M.,
656 Uyttendaele, M., & Herman, L. (2015). A review of the microbiological hazards of
657 dairy products made from raw milk. *International Dairy Journal*, *50*, 32–44.
658 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2015.05.011>

659 West, H. G. (2008). Food fears and raw-milk cheese. *Appetite*, *51*(1), 25–29.
660 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2008.02.004>

661 Yeluri Jonnala, B. R., McSweeney, P. L. H., Sheehan, J. J., & Cotter, P. D. (2018).
662 Sequencing of the cheese microbiome and its relevance to industry. *Frontiers in
663 Microbiology*, *9*(MAY). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01020>

664 Yilmaz, G., Ayar, A., & Akin, N. (2005). The effect of microbial lipase on the lipolysis
665 during the ripening of Tulum cheese. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *69*(3), 269–
666 274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.08.017>

667 Yoon, Y., Lee, S., & Choi, K.-H. (2016). Microbial benefits and risks of raw milk
668 cheese. *Food Control*, *63*, 201–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.11.013>
669
670