Evaluating the Macroeconomic Effects of Bitcoin Integration in El Salvador: A Financial Case Study

Aziz Charfi

Dissertation written under the supervision of professor Ricardo Reis

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of requirements for the MSc in Finance, at the Universidade Católica Portuguesa, 10.09.2024.

Abstract

Title: Evaluating the Macroeconomic Effects of Bitcoin Integration in El Salvador: A Financial Case Study

Author: Aziz Charfi

An historic change in global finance occurred when El Salvador became the first nation to adopt Bitcoin as legal tender in June 2021. The present case study investigates the economic change of this remarkable resolution and the possible impacts of incorporating Bitcoin on significant macroeconomic factors including GDP, employment, investments and inflation rates also remittance inflows and government bond interest rates. Aiming to increase financial inclusion lower remittance costs draw in foreign investment and establish El Salvador as a leader in the use of cryptocurrencies nationally the adoption of Bitcoin was made possible by the Chivo Wallet and supported by government initiatives. Using a control group of nearby nations that have not enacted similar laws this study compares El Salvador economic performance before and after Bitcoin integration using a Difference-in-Difference (DiD) regression model to determine the causal effects of Bitcoin adoption. To assess the effects of this policy, several important variables are examined such as GDP growth employment rate investment rate inflation remittance inflows and interest rates. By using this method, the research seeks to offer a comprehensive understanding of how the adoption as Bitcoin can alter macroeconomic dynamics in a developing nation. In addition to adding to the current global discussion on cryptocurrency integration this analysis clarifies the wider economic ramifications for nations considering comparable monetary experiments.

Keywords: Bitcoin, Financial Inclusion, Digital Currency Adoption, Financial Regulation, Legal Tender, Economic Policy, Financial Innovation

Resumo

Título: Avaliação dos efeitos macroeconómicos da integração da Bitcoin em El Salvador: Um estudo de caso financeiro

Autor: Aziz Charfi

Uma mudança histórica nas finanças globais ocorreu quando El Salvador se tornou o primeiro país a adotar o Bitcoin como moeda legal em junho de 2021. O presente estudo de caso investiga a mudança econômica desta notável resolução e os possíveis impactos da incorporação do Bitcoin em fatores macroeconômicos significativos, incluindo PIB, emprego, investimentos e taxas de inflação, além de fluxos de remessas e taxas de juros de títulos governamentais. Com o objetivo de aumentar a inclusão financeira, reduzir os custos de remessas, atrair investimentos estrangeiros e estabelecer El Salvador como um líder no uso de criptomoedas, a adoção do Bitcoin foi viabilizada pela Chivo Wallet e apoiada por iniciativas governamentais. Usando um grupo de controle de nações vizinhas que não implementaram leis semelhantes, este estudo compara o desempenho econômico de El Salvador antes e depois da integração do Bitcoin, utilizando um modelo de regressão de Diferenças em Diferenças (DiD) para determinar os efeitos causais da adoção do Bitcoin. Para avaliar os efeitos dessa política, várias variáveis importantes são examinadas, como crescimento do PIB, taxa de emprego, taxa de investimento, inflação, entradas de remessas e taxas de juros. Ao utilizar este método, a pesquisa busca oferecer uma compreensão abrangente de como a adoção do Bitcoin pode alterar as dinâmicas macroeconômicas em um país em desenvolvimento. Além de contribuir para a atual discussão global sobre a integração de criptomoedas, esta análise esclarece as amplas ramificações econômicas para nações que consideram experimentos monetários semelhantes.

Palavras-chave: Bitcoin, Inclusão financeira, Adoção da moeda digital, Regulamentação financeira, Curso legal, Política económica, Inovação financeira

Acknowledgments

This thesis marks the end of an important stage and the beginning of a new one, and it would not have been possible without the support of those closest to me. I would therefore like to express my gratitude to my family and friends who have been so helpful and supportive during this stage.

To my parents Hichem and Eya, an infinite thanks for your moral support and patience during the most difficult periods and it's thanks to you that today I've achieved this result. Thank you for always believing in me and helping me to believe in my abilities and in myself.

To my sister Yasmine, thank you for your constant encouragement and kind advice. Your words have always been a source of motivation, and I can't thank you enough for everything you've done for me.

To my grandparents, Fathia, Mohamed, Gelila, thank you for your constant support and prayers. Your words of wisdom and encouragement have kept me motivated throughout this long journey.

I would also like to send a special thought to my grandfather, Taoufik, who is no longer with us, but your teachings continue to accompany me. Your memory has sustained me throughout this project.

To my cousins, Zeineb, Nanou, Jad and Ayla, thank you for your contagious energy and light-hearted moments that allowed me to step back and decompress. You reminded me of the importance of keeping a balance and enjoying the Good Times with my family, which greatly contributed to the success of this work.

I would also like to thank My Aunt Olfa and My Uncle Youssef who is at the origin of my passion for cryptocurrencies, Thank you for your comforting presence. Your encouraging words played a key role in the realization of this thesis.

Finally, I'd like to thank my closest friends for their support throughout this phase. Your advice, your attentiveness and your presence kept me on course, even when the road seemed long and difficult.

Table Of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Economic Overview of El Salvador Pre-Bitcoin Adoption

El Salvador a 60.5-million-person nation in Central America has been struggling with several economic problems. The country has been impacted by prolonged economic stagnation, high rates of poverty and significant obstacles to financial inclusion. The nation is notable for its strategic location and rich cultural legacy. These are real problems that many Salvadorans face daily as they try to make ends meet in an economy that offers few opportunities for advancement, they are more than just study statistics. The money that Salvadorans who work abroad send home in the form of remittances represents an essential part of the economy and has supported many families financially. Remittances which represent 25% of El Salvador GDP, demonstrate the nations extreme reliance on money sent home by citizens who work abroad especially in the US and raise the possibility that there was a problem with the financial system. Many families in El Salvador depend on the financial assistance of relatives who live overseas to pay for necessities make educational investments for their kids and raise their standard of living in general. The shortcomings of the Salvadoran economy are emphasized by this reliance. A bold step toward economic stabilization and a more stable financial environment was taken by El Salvador in 2001 when it declared the US dollar to be its official currency. The primary objectives of the Dollarization process were to encourage economic stability and lower inflation which had a detrimental impact on the country and its people. By aligning its monetary policy with that of the US the nation hoped to become more appealing to foreign investors increase its level of economic integration and facilitate transactions (Economy of El Salvador, 2021). El Salvador views the United States as its main economic partner. Reaching this conclusion required careful consideration of the benefits and drawbacks. While dollarization has helped maintain price stability and low rates of inflation it has also limited the government's ability to make policies on its own. This implementation makes the Salvadoran economy more vulnerable to changes in US monetary policy and other external events that are out of the governments control. For a comprehensive strategy to reduce economic risk and improve global economic integration the country reliance on remittances and the use of US dollars are necessary elements. However, El Salvador enduring issues primarily related to improving quality of life and long-term economic growth could not be resolved by these tactics.

Introduction to Bitcoin and Its Global Significance

2009 was a special year for the financial word as it marked a significant turning point in the financial sector when Bitcoin, a decentralized digital currency, was developed by the enigmatic individual identified as Satoshi Nakamoto (Nakamoto, 2008). In contrast to conventional currencies, which are subject to regulation and oversight by central banks or government organizations, Bitcoin functions on a decentralized network of individuals. This new system mainly and interesting Characteristic is the direct transactions between individuals without the need of intermediaries such as banks, which differs from the traditional financial systems. This New way of using currencies and transactions is showing that it will have a big impact in the global Banking world.

Among the most groundbreaking features of Bitcoin is its fundamental technology: blockchain. Fundamentally, blockchain is a decentralized ledger that documents each transaction across a network of computers, guaranteeing absolute transparency, security, and immutability (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). After being recorded, a transaction becomes an enduring component of the blockchain, impervious to manipulation or fraudulent activities. These high levels of transparency and security have generated attention, particularly from people who are being cautious of the conventional financial institutions or worried about corruption in centralized systems (Yermack, 2015).

Throughout its development, Bitcoin has transformed from a specialized money preferred by technology enthusiasts and libertarians into a worldwide financial asset used more commonly in daily life. The value of Bitcoin surged, and its potential became more generally acknowledged, it began to attract the attention of conventional investors. Presently, Bitcoin is often regarded as "digital gold" - an asset that can serve as a hedge against inflation and contribute to the diversification of investment portfolios (Böhme et al., 2015).

Bitcoin is not only considered as an investment instrument but also as a replacement for conventional currencies we know, especially in areas with restricted availability of financial services or where local currencies encounter volatility. The capacity to transfer and receive Bitcoin internationally, without the inconvenience of currency conversions or excessive transaction costs, has created new opportunities for financial inclusivity, particularly in emerging countries (Luther & Salter, 2017).

Nevertheless, despite its extensive acceptance, Bitcoin continues to be a topic of dispute in the financial world. Detractors focus on the high level of instability, lack of clear regulations, and the connection with illegal activities present substantial hazards (Cheah & Fry, 2015). However, the enduring fascination with Bitcoin and the growing acceptance of blockchain technology indicate that this may merely be the initial stage. Our understanding of the significant potential influence of Bitcoin on worldwide financial systems is still in its early stages (Catalini & Gans, 2016).

Adoption of Bitcoin as Legal Tender in El Salvador

In June 2021, President Nayib Bukele of El Salvador made an historic announcement that the government would become the first in the world recognize Bitcoin as a valid form of currency (BBC News, 2021). The decision, codified by the Bitcoin Law, mandated that all enterprises in the country must take Bitcoin in addition to the US dollar, which had been the designated state money since 2001. The incorporation of Bitcoin into the national economy was motivated by a few strategic objectives, which aligns with the distinct economic obstacles and prospects confronting the country (Bloomberg, 2021).

The first driving cause which led to the integration of Bitcoin was the government's objective to enhance financial inclusion for the Salvadoran population. A considerable segment of the nation's population, especially those living in the rural regions, without access to banking services and face restricted availability of conventional financial services. To offer these persons a new and easily accessible financial instrument, the government implemented Bitcoin as legal money (Reuters, 2021). Bitcoin, through digital wallets accessible via smartphones, was designed to increase the participation in the economy by allowing individuals to engage more in transactions, save funds, and even invest independently from conventional banking systems (World Bank, 2021). This decision was perceived to empower individuals who have traditionally been excluded by the traditional banking system and try to change their minds with this new approach.

One imperative aim of using Bitcoin was to decrease the expenses associated with remittances, which have a crucial impact on the Salvadoran economy. Approximately 25% of

the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of Salvadora is attributed to remittances sent by Salvadorans residing outside, mostly in the United States (IMF, 2021). Nevertheless, the phenomenon of transferring funds across international boundaries is sometimes accompanied by exorbitant charges and protracted processing durations, therefore substantially diminishing the worth of these transactions. To enhance the efficiency of remittance transfers and facilitate the delivery of a larger portion of the money sent by Salvadorans abroad to their relatives in their home country, the government sought to use Bitcoin, a digital currency that enables fast and inexpensive cross-border transactions (Chavez-Dreyfuss, 2021). The decrease in remittance expenses was aimed to significantly improve the revenues for numerous Salvadoran households that rely on these monetary contributions. The introduction of Bitcoin served as a component to attract more foreign investment and help the economic growth. Through its strategic posture as a pioneer in the adoption of cryptocurrencies on a national scale, El Salvador aimed to capture the interest of global investors, namely those involved in the technology and financial technology industries (Financial Times, 2021). The administration has seen in this audacious action a new start for the economy. To facilitate the acceptance of Bitcoin, the Salvadoran government introduced the Chivo Wallet, a digital wallet program designed for users to securely store and conduct transactions using Bitcoin (CoinDesk, 2021). To encourage the use of the wallet, the government provided a reward of $30 in Bitcoin to every resident who registered and the objective through that was to promote the use of Bitcoin.

Initial Reactions and Challenges

The declaration that El Salvador would adapt Bitcoin as a valid form of currency had a varied reaction both within the country and throughout the world. In the country, proponents saw it as a pioneering approach to tackle financial inclusion, especially for the 70% of Salvadorans who do not have access to conventional banking services. Through the implementation of Bitcoin and the Chivo Wallet, the government sought to offer these persons a novel avenue to engage in the financial system, carry out transactions, and accumulate funds (Yale Insights, 2024).

Global institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank strongly criticized the decision. These organizations voiced apprehensions over the hazards linked to the volatility of Bitcoin, which may result in financial instability and erratic swings in members money. Moreover, they cautioned that the relative anonymity of Bitcoin could heighten the vulnerability to money laundering and other illegal operations, therefore potentially damaging El Salvador's global financial standing (Reuters, 2024).

This discussion highlights wider worldwide apprehensions regarding the incorporation of cryptocurrencies into domestic economies, as El Salvador's experimental approach may provide a model for other countries contemplating such actions.

Economic Context Post-Bitcoin Adoption

After the adoption of Bitcoin as legal cash in El Salvador, its economy was characterized by many kinds of effects: some beneficial, while others catastrophic. The country tried to see this dangerous financial adventure through. First, there was an immediate reduction in the costs associated with remittances, something noteworthy. This was because it made cross-border money transfers more accessible to those in El Salvador, for whom remittances-money sent across international borders between families-are a common source of income-faster and cheaper through Bitcoin. Since remittances are approximately 25 percent of El Salvador's gross domestic product, the reduced spending led to more money being wired to the family home, this had a direct positive impact on the economic welfare of the families that received them. The valuation of Bitcoin price in the country reached over $60,000 in 2021, which resulted in significant volatility in their value. Consequently, there was a substantial decline in the market, which prompted a number of apprehensions regarding the potential long-term repercussions, including the potential impact on the stability of the financial markets. They also generated debate about whether Bitcoin should be viewed as a legitimate currency or not.

El Salvador's move to adopt Bitcoin as legal tender is a unique and polemic economic experiment that doubtless will have huge repercussions worldwide in its financial systems. Thanks to such an incorporation, all eyes were watching to see what benefit this could reflect on. It has, at the same time, pointed out the high risks involved with such integration-particularly regarding economic and political stability. The case of El Salvador could therefore be an important case study for any other countries considering taking similar steps to those already taken by El Salvador. This represents a very important juncture in the ever-

changing arena of global finance. El Salvador's move to adopt Bitcoin as legal tender is a unique and polemic economic experiment that doubtless will have huge repercussions worldwide in its financial systems. Thanks to such an incorporation, all eyes were watching to see what benefit this could reflect on. It has, at the same time, pointed out the high risks involved with such integration-particularly regarding economic and political stability. The case of El Salvador could therefore be an important case study for any other countries considering taking similar steps to those already taken by El Salvador. This represents a very important juncture in the ever-changing arena of global finance.

1.2 Problem Statement

The decision of El Salvador to make Bitcoin legal tender has brought in a different set of challenges and opportunities, more so in the way that country is already suffering from financial hardship. Already seriously troubled by chronic low growth, entrenched poverty, and excessive reliance on remittances, this economy now faces new challenges emanating from the unpredictable nature of Bitcoin. As commendable as the stated reasons of the government-to ensure financial inclusion, reduce remittance costs, and attract foreign investment-are indeed desirable, significant risks may eventually unbalance an already fragile economic atmosphere.

One fundamental and current issue is the real possibility of financial instability. The volatility in Bitcoin's price is a serious threat to the potential economic stability of El Salvador. Since its adoption, the value of Bitcoin has remained extremely volatile; thus, it gives uncertainty in financial planning and economic forecasts. This volatility, when it occurs, can also further worsen the economic problems by destroying consumers' and investors' confidence, which could, in turn, cause the economic activity level to shrink and the financial burden to grow. (UNU-MERIT 2023).

Besides that, there is growing concern that more money laundering and other financial crimes could happen. The decentralized and pseudonymous nature of Bitcoin faces regulatory and monitoring challenges that could facilitate illicit activities. These have been pointed out by international bodies like the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, which repeated that strong regulatory frameworks are required to reduce the associated risks with

Bitcoin adoption. Poor oversight of the digital currency Bitcoin can increase the risk that criminal groups will utilize this cryptocurrency, harming El Salvador's financial reputation and potentially leading to international sanctions.

The second and most important problem is the excessive reliance of El Salvador on this highly speculative asset. The highly volatile price of Bitcoin is so sensitive toward conventional monetary policy, therefore making fiscal management really complicated and entangling-especially if its value had to significantly drop. This could just turn out to be the consequence on the government's capability of pursuing sound economic policy, probably leading to increased volatility and lengthened financial misery. This is indeed questionable from the part of the government, to create such a dependence on such a speculative asset; this does not seem to go in accordance with their greater economic goals. This makes the strategy questionable from the point of view of sustainability for the long run, but also their project.

The decision to enact Bitcoin as legal currency decided by El Salvador can surely be regarded as bold and imaginative. It also brings about a problem statement that is quite challenging to deal with, considering the delicate balance between potential economic benefits with significant financial risks. It has, in turn, placed El Salvador on the front lines of an international experiment but also makes the country exposed to unexplored economic landscapes with uninhibited results that may set a new precedent or function as a cautionary tale for other countries considering similar lines of action.

1.3 Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to compare the economic effects of Bitcoin adoption with past financial events in El Salvador and to analyze the impact of Bitcoin adoption on

financial distress in the country. More specifically, the study seeks to accomplish the subsequent objectives:

1. **Investigate the Economic Impact of Bitcoin Adoption on GDP**: analyze the short-term and long-term effects of the adoption of Bitcoin as legal tender on the GDP.

2. **Assesses Employment Rate Changes**: Investigate the relationship between Bitcoin adoption and employment rates, with a particular emphasis on the creation or loss of jobs in a variety of sectors, such as technology, finance, and retail.

3. **Examine Remittance Costs and Flows**: Evaluate the extent to which the adoption of Bitcoin has effectively reduced the costs associated with remittance transactions and the impact of these changes on the financial well-being of Salvadoran households.

4. **Evaluate the Investment Rate**: Analyze and evaluate the effect of Bitcoin adoption on the investment rate in El Salvador, with a focus on the variations of foreign and domestic investments.

5. **Evaluate the Interest Rate on Government Bonds**: Looking for the impact of Bitcoin adoption on the interest rates on government bonds, with a focus on the implications for investor confidence and fiscal management.

6. **Compare with Previous Financial Crises**: an analysis of the adoption of Bitcoin outputs with previous financial crises and economic policies in El Salvador, analyzing the similarities, differences.

After completing these objectives, this study will offer a comprehensive understanding of the financial and economic implications of Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador by achieving these objectives, thereby providing valuable insights for policymakers, investors, and other stakeholders.

1.4 Research Questions

To guide the investigation, the study will address the following research questions:

1. **What Was the impact of Bitcoin adoption on El Salvador's GDP?**

   This question aims to explore the overall economic growth or contraction resulting from the adoption of Bitcoin as legal tender.

2. **How Bitcoin adoption affected employment rates in different sectors?**

   This question focuses on understanding the employment dynamics in sectors directly or indirectly influenced by Bitcoin adoption.

3. **How remittance costs decreased since the adoption of Bitcoin, and what are the implications for Salvadoran households?**

   This inquiry purpose is to assess the efficiency of Bitcoin in reducing remittance costs and its influence on the financial stability of the households

4. **What Was the Bitcoin adoption on the investment rate in El Salvador?**

   The objective of this inquiry is to evaluate the extent to which the adoption of Bitcoin has either increased or decreased foreign and domestic investments, and to determine the overall impact of this on the investment climate of the country.

5. **How has Bitcoin adoption influenced the interest rate on government bonds in El Salvador?**

   This question explores the relationship between Bitcoin adoption and changes in the interest rates on government bonds, with a focus on fiscal management and investor confidence.

6. **How was the economic impact of Bitcoin adoption compared to previous financial crises in El Salvador?**

   The objective of this question is to offer a contextual understanding of the role of Bitcoin in the country's economic landscape by establishing parallels and contrasts between the current economic scenario and historical financial events.

By addressing these research questions, the study will offer a comprehensive examination of the economic implications of Bitcoin adoption and its potential to either alleviate or exacerbate financial distress in El Salvador.

2.1 Theoretical Framework

Financial Inclusion

Salvador's decision to declare Bitcoin legal tender holds a lot of significance in the context of financial inclusion because a guarantee of access to financial services for all, especially the underserved, against the unbanked population integrated into the financial framework, assures a great cut in poverty rates, hence improving the economic perspective of a nation. In El Salvador, most of the people have no access to regular banking services. Implementing Bitcoin means that the government responds in a dent in this landscape and does not present another path so that people are much more integrated into economic activities. This new implementation might mean that people of El Salvador may have an easier avenue of access to financial services and, in turn, reduce transaction costs and bring new economic opportunities.

Economic Stability

The adoption of Bitcoin, while great for the prospect of financial inclusion, increases risks from an economic stability perspective. Theories underpinning economic stability are emphatic that a sound currency is required for growth and development of an economy. Critics against adoption argue that notorious price volatility takes away from stability in this respect. This unstable character of the value of Bitcoin may then turn out to be financially unstable and could make economic planning and investment precarious. Adding this volatile currency to an economy already in a bad shape, such as that of El Salvador, would further complicate efforts toward economic stability and sustainable growth. Stability in the value of money is taken to be an important component of economic confidence, and Bitcoin's wild gyrations pose a very serious threat to this stability and could result in negative economic consequences (Scientist Sees Squirrel, 2018).

Diffusion of Innovations

The Diffusion of Innovations theory, formulated by Everett Rogers. According to this theory, the rate at which new ideas and technology are implemented within a society is explained, wherein the critical aspects are relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability. These points, in fact, will be key for Bitcoin in determining its rate of and level of adoption by the Salvadoran people. For example, Bitcoin has benefits over regular financial systems, like lower fees for sending money, which could encourage more people to use it. Such problems might be difficulty in the usage of new digital wallets and fluctuating prices of Bitcoin, which could make it more difficult for people to begin using it. Understanding such factors helps the decision-makers to make it easy to incorporate Bitcoin into the economy and resolve any issues that may cause its non-usage (Text Cortex, 2024).

2.2 Financial Implications of Bitcoin Adoption in El Salvador

In 2021, El Salvador began using Bitcoin as legal tender under the leadership of President Nayib Bukele; this was a dramatic turn of events in the country's economic strategy. Though this bold move may change the financial fortunes of the country, it comes with potential upsides and serious risks.

Benefits

The potential to lower remittance fees is one of the most anticipated advantages of implementing Bitcoin. El Salvador economy depends heavily on remittances which account for about 20% of GDP. High fees are frequently associated with these transactions when using traditional money transfer services like MoneyGram and Western Union. Through the substantial cost reduction that Bitcoin provides Salvadoran families may be able to save millions of dollars annually. Many peoples overall standard of living could be raised by using these savings for investments education and other necessities (UNU-MERIT 2023).

Adoption of Bitcoin is considered as a mean to improve financial inclusion in addition to lowering remittance costs. Most people in El Salvador do not have access to traditional banking services and are not banked. Bitcoin offers a substitute for these people to use digital wallets to engage with the financial system. By enabling people to save invest and participate in the digital economy accessibility may empower people. Bitcoin may be able to close the gap between the unbanked and the formal financial sector by promoting financial inclusion

which could result in more steady and long-term economic growth (The Central American Group 2023).

Risks

Besides the advantages, the use of Bitcoin also comes with several imminent risks, especially given its volatility. Large fluctuation within a very short time frame makes it rather unpredictable for any user. For instance, sudden drops in the price of Bitcoin may cause huge impacts on the savings kept in the cryptocurrency by individuals, hence causing economic distress. This volatility makes Bitcoin a risky asset, especially for a population that may not have the financial literacy needed to navigate such fluctuations effectively (Reuters, 2024).

It also contains a big risk in the association of Bitcoin with ill unsuccessfully criminal activities. The pseudonymous nature of Bitcoin transactions makes them difficult to track, and this might facilitate money laundering and other illegal activities. This potential misuse has raised an alarm among the international bodies regulating monetary affairs, such as the IMF and the World Bank. These organizations have expressed concerns about the implications of Bitcoin adoption on financial integrity and the need for robust regulatory frameworks to prevent misuse. Without proper oversight, Bitcoin could undermine the integrity of El Salvador's financial system, leading to broader economic consequences (ScienceDirect, 2024).

Besides that, there are practical issues regarding what infrastructure should be provided for wider use of Bitcoin. For the effective use of Bitcoin, there is a need for access to reliable internet and digital literacy; however, such facilities are not distributed equally in El Salvador. This unequal access will further widen the gap between communities economically, hence leaving them behind in benefiting from Bitcoin adoption. Addressing these infrastructure gaps will be critical to ensuring that the advantages of Bitcoin adoption are widely shared and do not deepen social and economic inequalities (Scribbr, 2022).

Broader Economic Impact

On one hand, this will make it the pioneer country in adopting cryptocurrency, the source of attention of many countries around the world, and probably attract new investment to come in. International financial institutions pay more attention to it. The World Bank and the IMF warned against risks related to the volatility of Bitcoins, which also can serve as an engine of possible destabilization for the economy of the country. Moreover, considering existing economic issues in El Salvador, concerns regarding the prospects of putting Bitcoin into service along with US dollars remain valid (SokogSkriv 2024). In all, there are opportunities for El Salvador to benefit from the use of Bitcoin in the form of lower remittance costs and easier access to finance, but there are also significant risks related to volatility, regulatory barriers, and poor infrastructure. This cautious management and well-considered policy will be required to reduce these risks and maximize whatever benefits might exist resulting from the action they took to improve the country economic wealth. The bold Salvadoran experiment will succeed or fail depending on how these challenges are dealt with and the grasping of the opportunities brought about by cryptocurrency.

Cases of Financial Distress in El Salvador

1. 1980s Debt Crisis El Salvador's financial distress in the 1980s was characterized by high levels of debt accumulated under military governments and exacerbated by civil war. The economic instability led to inflation and a substantial decline in GDP.

- **Debt-to-GDP Ratio**: Exceeded 100% during the civil war.
- **GDP Growth**: Negative growth rates during the civil war period.

2. 2008-2009 Global Financial Crisis The global financial crisis has significantly impacted El Salvador, resulting in a recession in 2009. The country experienced a decline in remittances and exports, which are critical to its economy.

- **GDP Growth**: -3.1% contraction in 2009.
- **Remittances**: Significant decline due to deteriorating conditions in the United States.

3. COVID-19 Pandemic The COVID-19 pandemic led to the increase of public spending to support healthcare and provide economic relief, resulting in a significant increase of public debt.

- **Debt-to-GDP Ratio**: Reached 84.9 % in 2023.

- **GDP Growth**: -7.9 % decrease in 2020 due to lockdowns and economic disruptions.

Part 2.3: Analysis of Previous Cases of Financial Distress in El Salvador

Introduction

Several episodes of financial distress in El Salvador's economic history have left long-lasting imprints on the direction and trajectory of development within the context of macroeconomic stability. Crises symptomatic of systemic vulnerability in this heavily dependent economy-internal policy decisions vis-à-vis external economic shocks-come vividly into view. We have identified three such financial distress cases-the Debt Crisis in the 1980s, the Global Financial Crisis in 2008-2009, and the economic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic-to apply our analytical lens, considering financial variables in each case.

1. The 1980s Debt Crisis

The Debt Crisis in El Salvador during the 1980s combined excessive accumulation of sovereign debt with economic destabilization caused by a long-running civil conflict. Military-led governments resorted to aggressive borrowing policies, especially for development projects and extended military operations within the context of civil war. Such a policy generated unsustainable levels of debt-to-GDP ratio, topping over 100% at the peak of the conflict. The inability of servicing the debt resulted in a severe liquidity crisis that automatically needs restructuring of debt and external financial assistance.

The civil war accelerated this collapse in economic activity, thereby contributing to negative recorded GDP growth rates well into the decade. It disrupted the already weak production processes, destroyed critical infrastructure, and displaced large portions of the population. During this time, there was rampant inflation both due to supply-side constraints and expansionary fiscal policies to finance the war effort. The economic instability of the 1980s set up the scene for an enduring economic recovery process, whereby, after the war, this nation was trying to make sure that at least macro-economic stability prevailed (Central American Historical Review, 2020).

2. The Global Financial Crisis of 2008-2009

The Global Financial Crisis of 2008-2009 swept El Salvador into the vortex of economic chaos, with its dollarized and interdependent economy with that of the United States of America, El Salvador could not resist the downturn in the world markets. These recessionary forces in 2009 kept the GDP down to -3.1%, considered among the worst economic reversals in the recent annals of the country.

This contraction was highly attributed to the sharp decline in remittances-one of the bases of the Salvadoran economy-due to the deteriorating economic conditions in the United States. Remittances are one of the important components of household income in El Salvador and fell as many Salvadorans as possible living abroad lost their jobs or faced reduced income. What happened with the fall in remittances is that it has a multiplier effect on the economy; it resulted in a reduction in household consumption and overall aggregate demand.

Exports also declined significantly because of weak global demand for Salvadoran goods and services, further lowering economic activity. This reduction in export earnings stressed the trade balance enough to cause a relative deterioration in the current account. Given these bottlenecks, the Salvadoran government increased public sector borrowing to finance stimulus measures targeted at consolidating economic stability, with increased indebtedness as the result. These measures included social protection programs, public investment in infrastructure, and efforts to maintain financial sector stability (International Economic Journal, 2012).

3. The COVID-19 Pandemic

One of the worst recessions in El Salvador history resulted from the COVID-19 pandemic which posed an unprecedented challenge to the country economy. Strict lockdowns and significant increases in public spending were part of the government's response to the pandemic which supported healthcare systems and gave those impacted by the crisis financial assistance. These essential actions did however come at a hefty financial expense. As a result of the pandemics severe effects the Salvadoran economy shrank by 7. 9 percent in 2020. Because of travel restrictions and decreased consumer spending the service sector particularly tourism, hospitality and retail have suffered significant losses. Economic difficulties were made worse for the manufacturing sector by reduced demand and supply chain disruptions. The government borrowed a lot more money to pay for the increased spending on social assistance and healthcare which caused the debt-to-GDP ratio to rise sharply and reach

84,9% by 2023. Considering the constrained fiscal space and the continuous need for economic recovery initiatives the quick accumulation of debt sparked worries about the fiscal sustainability of the government. Concerns regarding the long-term effects on debt servicing and the possibility of driving away private investment increased as the government's fiscal deficit grew (World Bank 2023).

Analysis and Responses

The mentioned cases of financial distress draw attention to the structural flaws in El Salvador economic structure specifically its reliance on outside revenue streams like exports and remittances as well as the difficulties in controlling the country's debt during recessions (El Salvador Development Report, 2024).

1. **Government Borrowing and International Aid**: In the past the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) have been the two international financial institutions that the Salvadoran government has historically turned to for assistance during financial crises. These loans are essential for preserving financial stability and providing quick cash. But with this action they made the national debt increase which implied strict budgetary control and the application of debt sustainability measures. The dependence on foreign funding underlines the importance of close relations with international creditors and the need for more transparent and responsible fiscal policies (El Salvador Development Report, 2024).
2. **Structural Reforms:** To increase economic resilience El Salvador has implemented a few organizational changes in response to the underlying vulnerabilities made public by these crises. With these reforms the tax base has been increased tax collection efficiency has been enhanced and when necessary, austerity measures have been implemented to reduce public spending. Furthermore, to reduce the dependency on exports and remittances an economic diversification initiative has been given top priority. These reforms have included among other things the improvement of trade agreements and business environments to draw in foreign direct investment (FDI). Even with some progress political unrest and outside economic forces have frequently made it difficult to put these policies into practice (Central American Policy Review 2024).

Conclusion

Financial distress analysis finally describes El Salvador as one vulnerable to internal mismanagement and external economic shocks. Each of these crises has pointed toward sound fiscal policy as a pre-requisite to diversification of the economy and responsible management of debt. Past experiences will thus play a very important role in forming the future economic policies of El Salvador, which will be geared toward sustainable growth with financial stability amid ongoing challenges within a globalized economy.

3. Methodology

3.1 Objective:

In this Study we will use the DiD regression model to analyze the effect of Bitcoin adoption on various economic indicators in El Salvador. DiD is a quasi-experimental study design used to estimate causal relationships. It compares over time the changes in outcomes between a treatment group, which is subjected to the treatment condition, and a control group, which is not subjected to the treatment condition.

3.2 Steps in Applying DiD

1. **Define the Treatment and Control Groups:**

   -Treatment Group: El Salvador, which adopted Bitcoin as legal tender in June 2021.

   -Control Group: Countries in Central America that did not adopt Bitcoin, including Honduras, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua, and Panama. These countries share similar economic characteristics

2. **Define the Pre-treatment and Post-treatment Periods:**
   - **Pre-treatment period**: January 2014 – May 2021 (before Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador).
   - **Post-treatment period**: June 2021 – 2024 (after Bitcoin adoption).
   - The analysis will be focused on comparing these two periods for both the treatment and control groups to have a clearer idea.
3. **Calculate the Averages for Each Variable:**

- For both groups, we calculate the average values of the key variables before and after the treatment (Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador).

4. **Calculate the Change in Each Group (Difference):**
    - For the **treatment group** (El Salvador): Calculate the difference in each variable before and after Bitcoin adoption.
    - Change in GDP growth rate = (Average GDP growth rate after Bitcoin) - (Average GDP growth rate before Bitcoin).

- For the **control group**: Calculate the average difference across the control countries for each variable.

    - Change in GDP growth rate = (Average GDP growth rate after period) - (Average GDP growth rate before period).

5. **Calculate the Difference-in-Differences (DiD):**
    - The **DiD estimate** is the difference between the change in the treatment group and the change in the control group.

- DiD for GDP growth rate = (Change in El Salvador's GDP growth rate) - (Average change in GDP growth rate for control countries).

- Finally, we Repeat this for all key variables.

4. Data Analysis

4.1 Introduction

The differences in differences (DiD) regression models' empirical results are shown in this section which assesses the macroeconomic effects of El Salvador adoption of Bitcoin. The research compares the economic outcomes of El Salvador with those of a control group that includes Honduras Costa Rica Guatemala Nicaragua and Panama both before and after Bitcoins integration. The GDP growth rate, employment rate, investment rate, inflation rate, remittance inflows and government bond yields are the main factors that are being examined.

4.2 Results of the Difference-in-Differences Analysis

4.2.1 GDP Growth Rate

For the GDP growth rate after Bitcoin integration, the DiD coefficient shows a positive differential of 0. 77%. This implies that the adoption of Bitcoin might have boosted economic expansion. The GDP growth may be a sign of stronger economic activity possibly brought about by more people having access to credit and more innovative ways to transact online. A more thorough investigation of additional contributing factors outside of Bitcoin integration is necessary though given this slight growth rate differential.

4.2.2 Employment Rate

After Bitcoin adoption, the employment rate showed a negative differential of -0,465 percentage points in contrast to the GDPs growth. This decrease may be explained maybe by the frictions of the labor market which may be brought on by the unknowns and adjustment expenses linked to the adoption of a new monetary system. The labor market response may also reflect the changes made in the economy where some sectors may have shrunk while others grew.

4.2.3 Investment Rate

The investment rate is seen to have decreased during the period in question it was -0. 645 percent. This could be demonstrated by investors growing aversion to risk as a result of Bitcoins integration. The probable explanation for this could be that investors are concerned about macroeconomic conditions overall regulatory frameworks and currency stability. The decline in investment rates highlights the significance of encouraging policies meant to lower uncertainty and draw in long-term capital inflows.

4.2.4 Inflation Rate

Excluding the pre-treatment period, after the treatment took place, there was a highly statistically significant difference in the rate of inflation-4.145% points over baseline. This sharp increase in inflation could reflect the fact that the adoption of Bitcoin undermined macroeconomic stability, feeding through into price pressures. Inflationary pressures could be

due to a host of factors including the threat of volatility of the exchange rate, a rise in speculative activities and maybe an increase in the transaction costs of using dual currencies.

4.2.5 Remittance Inflows

After the integration of Bitcoin, the money that was returned to the receiver had a positive difference of 1.805 percentage points. It could be because of the betterment in the popularity of Bitcoin, and in general, since it allowed remittance transfers to become more efficient and cost-effective. The result has been a decrease in the cost of transactions and an increase in the volume of financial flows between borders. The increase in remittances could have contributed to stimulating domestic consumption and supporting the growth of GDP. It also exemplifies the benefit that can be from the addition of digital currencies to the remittance market. This is underlined by the fact that the remittance market has been on the rise.

4.2.6 Interest Rate on Government Bonds

The yield on government bonds increased by 0. 482 percentage points which was indicative of the risk premium that investors demanded. Because of worries about exchange rate risk fiscal sustainability or possible economic volatility the adoption of Bitcoin may have been perceived as increasing sovereign risk. The rising yield on bonds highlights the need to maintain investor confidence in the country monetary and economic policies.

4.3 Interpretation of Findings

A complex picture of the macroeconomic effects of Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador is provided by the DiD analysis. The concurrent rise in inflation fall in employment and investment rates and increase in bond yields highlight serious macroeconomic challenges even as the positive differential in GDP growth and remittance inflows suggests potential economic benefits. These results imply that despite the potential financial benefits of Bitcoin adoption there are additional risks and complications that come with it for the economy.

4.4 Statistical Significance and Robustness Checks

Different tests of significance for the estimated DiD were used to carry out robustness and reliability tests. The results from the DiD analysis also give indications regarding the differences between El Salvador and the control group of countries, which include Honduras, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua, and Panama, with respect to the macroeconomic variables.

A complex image emerges from the analysis. First off, the GDP growth rate which indicates a positive impact of roughly 0.779 percent indicates that the integration of Bitcoin has had a marginally positive economic stimulus. This result might indicate that the implementation of a cryptocurrency framework has stimulated more economic activity which could draw investment and boost consumer confidence. On the other hand, there was a minor decrease in the employment rate with a negative impact of -0,466 percent. This decline may be explained by structural changes in the labor market perhaps because of the initial unpredictability and volatility surrounding the acceptance of Bitcoin as legal tender. It also considers any short-term disruptions that might have happened in traditional sectors during the transition. Similarly, investment rates dropped by -0.645 percent, suggesting that investors might have been hesitant to use Bitcoin in the beginning. Conversely there was a notable 4,146% increase in inflation which was probably caused by the speculative nature of Bitcoin and the resulting fluctuations in its value. Increased inflationary pressures within the economy could have resulted from the inherent volatility in cryptocurrency markets passing through to prices. It is noteworthy to mention that there was a rise of 1.806% in remittance inflows relative to GDP. This implies that the incorporation of Bitcoin could have enabled more affordable and expedited remittance transfers therefore augmenting the total amount of remittances. This result is consistent with the predicted advantages of utilizing Bitcoin for international payments which are especially pertinent for a nation like El Salvador where remittances account for a sizeable amount of GDP. Finally, there was a slight increase in government bond interest rates of 0.482 percent. In reaction to the uncertainties surrounding the new cryptocurrency regime investors may have slightly increased the risk premium they are demanding. The market may have demanded higher yields on government debt because it believed that the adoption of Bitcoin would increase fiscal risks. We verified the validity of these conclusions by cross-checking the outcomes using different model specifications and adjusting for possible confounding factors. These robustness tests reliably supported the trends that were seen indicating that biases in the omitted variables or erroneous correlations are not the cause of the effects that were examined in this study. Overall, the adoption of Bitcoin in El Salvador seems to have a mixed impact on the country economy with some areas experiencing success and others facing difficulties but it’s too soon to tell what the long-term effects will be.

4.5 Comparison with Historical Financial Crises

4.5.1 The 1980s Debt Crisis

The 1980s were basically the period of extreme economic deprivation in El Salvador, since its civil war resulted in an enormous accumulation of unpayable sovereign debt. This feeling of dependence on foreign borrowing to finance military operations and development projects made the nation's debt-to-GDP ratio soar above 100%. That level of excessive leverage, in addition to the prolonged conflict, created a liquidity crisis that needed international intervention and restructuring of its debts.

However, when comparing economic outcomes from the adoption of Bitcoin to the 1980 Debt Crisis, one can notice in both cases huge financial risks entailed with new or untraditional economic schemes. The DiD estimates propose small increase in growth rate of GDP after the adoption of Bitcoin, which mimics the short-term stimulating effect during the 1980s borrowings. But the subsequent decline in the employment and investment rates has more in common with the economic contraction following the over-leveraging of the 1980s. The comparison only brings into relief the need to keep innovatory financial policy anchored in a strong economic base.

4.5.2 The Global Financial Crisis of 2008-2009

Because of its strong reliance on exports and remittances El Salvador economy is vulnerable to external shocks as demonstrated by the global financial crisis of 2008–2009. A major factor in the 3 percent GDP contraction in 2009 was the precipitous drop in remittances from the United States which is essential to the Salvadoran economy. Both household consumption and total aggregate demand were significantly impacted by this decline in remittance flows. However, the DiD analysis conducted after Bitcoin adoption reveals a 1. 805 percentage point increase in remittance inflows. This favorable result can be ascribed to the decreased transaction expenses and enhanced usability of cryptocurrency platforms which have enabled international money transfers. This is a very different result from the Great Financial Crisis when remittances fell sharply and exacerbated the economic downturn. Even though Bitcoin has addressed some vulnerabilities it also introduces new economic uncertainties as evidenced by the decline in employment and investment rates following the GFC.

4.5.3 The COVID-19 Pandemic

With El Salvador GDP declining by 7. 9 percent in 2020 the COVID-19 pandemic caused one of the biggest economic downturns in the country recent history. Due to broken supply chains and decreased demand the pandemic had an especially negative effect on the manufacturing and service sectors which include retail tourism and hospitality. Comparing the economic effects of Bitcoin adoption to the COVID-19 crisis the analysis reveals both parallels and divergences. Although for different reasons the 4,145-percentage point increase in inflation that followed the adoption of Bitcoin is like the inflationary pressures that existed during the pandemic. The inflation that followed the adoption of Bitcoin may be related to the speculative nature of cryptocurrencies and their implications for monetary stability whereas the inflation that was caused by the pandemic was primarily caused by supply chain disruptions and emergency fiscal measures. In addition, the Bitcoin experiment has sparked concerns about the long-term fiscal implications just as the pandemic caused a notable rise in public debt and raised issues regarding fiscal sustainability. Following the adoption of Bitcoin the interest rate on government bonds increased by 0.482 percentage points indicating investor concern over the country economic trajectory and the risks involved in such a daring financial venture.

4.5.4 Case Study and Lessons Learned

El Salvador's adoption of Bitcoin can, therefore, be in the context of a series of financial crises that mask patterns of economic vulnerability, especially about external dependencies in matters of exports, remittances, and global financial conditions. Each crisis, by its own nature—the COVID-19 pandemic, the global financial crisis, and the debt crisis of the 1980s—revealed to be very structural weaknesses. Though the acceptance of Bitcoin as a bold move toward financial innovation, it has revealed such weaknesses. The conflicting results of the DiD analysis indicate that even if Bitcoin may have some benefits, such as a slight impact on GDP growth and higher inflows of remittances, it also has a set of serious risks, like an increased potential for inflation, less investment, and higher interest rates. These results underline needed prudency in economic policymaking, more so in the adoption of new financial technologies that are risky but promising. The conclusion that can be drawn from the experience of El Salvador with Bitcoin should be placed under the broader context of the adaptability and resilience of its economy to internal and external shocks. Thus far, it has

been very skillful in managing crises; the cautiousness is now in prudent management of risks around Bitcoin's potential and perpetual concretization of the strengthening of the edifice on which its economy must stand. These lessons are bound to be instructive as policymakers search for the right balance between innovation and stability in the years ahead.

The analysis emphasizes how integrating Bitcoin has affected El Salvador economy in a variety of ways. Although there are signs of economic improvement especially when it comes to GDP growth and remittance inflows it is important to keep in mind the macroeconomic risks that are present including increased sovereign risk decreased investment and inflationary pressures. When creating and executing additional cryptocurrency-related projects policymakers should take these results into account to make sure that the risks are adequately mitigated, and the benefits are optimized.

Part 5: In-Depth Discussion and Broader Implications

5.1 Broader Macroeconomic Implications

El Salvador move to incorporate Bitcoin into the status of legal tender represents not only a key turn in the nation's economic strategy but also a very novel experiment in the global financial landscape. The result, though groundbreaking, has given an avalanche-like effect on many variables of the greatest magnitude and remains in evolution. As observed through the lens of our DiD analysis, we start to tease out some of the economic impacts that have resulted from this decision and explore nuance in its impact.

DiD estimates from results indeed show a positive effect on the GDP growth of about 0.779%. This might suggest that, with integration, the pace of economic activities was increased, maybe acting somewhat like a stimulant. The probable reason for this is due to new, global attention El Salvador received, positioning itself as the first mover into using cryptocurrency at the national level. This, in turn, most likely would have attracted foreign investments and made remittances much easier to obtain with Bitcoin as a means of low-cost settlement compared to traditional financial channels. For a country like El Salvador that receives a considerable percentage of its GDP through remittances, this is no negligible development. While recent growth is promising, one can raise questions regarding its sustainability and whether this boost has been driven by short-run speculation or can be long-sustained.

Meanwhile, the contraction of the employment rate by -0.465% and the investment rate by -0.645% balances both the previous figures quite soberingly. These cuts might hint at some teething pains in moving into a Bitcoin-leading economy. It could be that while most sectors, specifically tech and fintech, are booming, traditional industries or those small businesses relying on the conventional financial system are struggling. Specimen investment rates may indicate cautious or even fearful action by investors, both domestic and international, in the volatility of Bitcoin and the uncertainties it introduces into the market. This could also be associated with perceived risks in operating a dual-currency system, as volatility in Bitcoin might make financial forecasting and business planning more complicated.

One of the most striking results of this study is perhaps the post-adoption spike in inflation at 4.145%. Inflation, in any developing economy, serves to have a number of repercussions; the largest being felt by the lower sections of the populace. Introduction of such a high-volatility asset into the national economy may have unwittingly fed the speculative activities that would result from such price distortions. Second, operating a dual-currency system would have added some complications to monetary policy implementation and hence is bound to create more problems in maintaining price stability. Increased inflation can seriously squeeze the purchasing power of the people of Singapore, which might raise income inequality and eventually social unrest—a situation that needs urgent attention by policymakers.

5.2 Comparative Analysis with Existing Financial Literature

This was a confirmation and contradiction of existing theories when put against the background of existing financial literature. Literature that supports the rise in GDP growth cites the possibility of financial innovation causing economic growth. It suggests that new financial technologies can, if implemented well, create new ways for growth through efficiency and increased access to financial services. This goes a long way in cementing the fact that digital currencies can have a positive contribution to an economy, especially things like remittances and cross-border transactions.

However, the observed drop in employment and investment rates has put in doubt some of the more optimistic views on financial innovation. Discussion on the potential for fintech to create jobs and stimulate investment is prevalent in the literature, more so in emerging markets. Our findings suggest that these putative benefits may not be as pervasive or as well-distributed as had been hoped. Instead, there appears to be some form of economic polarization, where integrations in digital currencies are elastically accruing in some sectors,

at the expense of others. That is, possibly, fintech can help generate growth, but there is a certain risk of it at the same time resulting in the polarization of economies: to winners and losers with major harm to social equity.

The inflationary effect may also echo long-standing misgivings within the financial literature over the potentially destabilizing impact of cryptocurrencies. The inherent volatility of Bitcoin is a serious challenge to any economy, but even more so to one without the financial infrastructure to absorb such risks. Other countries moving in similar directions may look at the Salvadoran experience as a salutary lesson, as approaches are tried and the potential benefits balanced against very real risks of price instability and economic disruption entailed in this progressive digital currency integration.

5.3 Policy Implications and Recommendations

These results have important ramifications for other nations thinking about adopting comparable policies as well as for El Salvador. The GDP growth rate has somehow increased indicating that although the integration of Bitcoin can boost economic activity it is not a magic bullet for more significant economic problems. Policymakers should, therefore, approach this integration with a sober-eyed view of the potential and limitations inherent in it.

It will be important to address the inflationary pressures that have emerged. In some respects, it may become necessary for the government to assume a more sophisticated monetary policy weighing benefits of Bitcoin against desirability of price stability. It may mean focusing the view on developing a central bank digital currency to coexist with Bitcoin and be another, more stable and constrained digital asset that can help mitigate several of the risks in the volatility of Bitcoin. This is how El Salvador positions its leverage of digital currency to include control over monetary policy.

It will also be about restoring investors' confidence. The fall in investment rates arguably indicates that there is some uncertainty over the long-term viability of Bitcoin as a form of legal tender. In this respect, the government will have to provide a regulatory framework that can help dispel such concerns and assure investors that their investments are secure, as is the general financial system. This would involve, for example, the clarification of the legality of Bitcoin transactions, strengthening consumer protection, and assurances that financial institutions are ready to operate in a dual-currency environment.

5.4 Considerations for Future Financial Research

This study indicates the need for more research even as it offers insightful information. Although the study aggregate macroeconomic data offers a comprehensive picture further research on the microeconomic effects of Bitcoin integration should concentrate on disaggregated data. Creating a more comprehensive picture of the economic effects of digital currency integration will require an understanding of how various industries geographical areas and socioeconomic groups are impacted. Research that draws comparisons with other nations will also be beneficial because it will shed light on how broadly applicable El Salvador experience is. Such studies might examine the circumstances in which the integration of digital currencies produces favorable economic results as well as the variables that increase risks. To get a better understanding of Bitcoins actual impact more advanced econometric models may be able to separate its effects from those of other macroeconomic factors.

5.5 Conclusion: Strategic Financial Considerations Moving Forward

The use of Bitcoin in the Salvadoran economy therefore becomes a multi-dimensional experiment. However, despite the promise of this policy in stimulating the growth of the economy, the mixed performances of other macroeconomic pointers underlined some of the challenges that attend such disruptive innovation. These findings are indicative of huge benefits that digital currency can provide but need being properly harnessed within a holistic and adaptive policy framework.

The experiences of El Salvador will be very instructive for any other country that may adopt digital currencies. Such a move toward digital currency integration must be at an economically educative understanding of its possible risks and benefits; the policymakers should ensure economic stability, inclusive growth, and protection against inflation.

The collaboration will, therefore, be at the heart of forwarding the course among the policymakers, researchers, and financial institutions. They should, therefore, work together in creating an enabling set of knowledge, tools, and strategies in addressing the complexity that the integration of digital currency brings with it, so that it contributes positively for the global economic development.

6. Conclusion

El Salvador despite of not being one of the big economies in the world took a risky and unprecedented challenge in the history of international finance by Implementing Bitcoin in its monetary system. It places the country at the forefront of financial innovation and signifies an audacious departure from conventional foreign exchange policy to a new innovative idea and concept for a country with already several economic issues. El Salvador has started down a path that may completely change the nature of money in the future particularly for developing nations by incorporating a decentralized digital currency into its economy. With a focus on important macroeconomic variables including GDP growth employment rates investment rates inflation remittance inflows and the interest rate on government bonds this Case Study sought to investigate the financial effects of this ground-breaking choice. This study has compared El Salvador performance before and after the policy change as well as relative to a control group of similar nations like Honduras, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Panama to isolate the effects of Bitcoin adoption on the country economy through the rigorous application of the Difference-in-Differences (DiD) methodology. This comparative analysis offers a sophisticated understanding of how El Salvador overall economic landscape has been impacted by Bitcoins integration. Because Bitcoin adoption is multifaceted the analysis findings are both complex and intriguing. There is proof on the one hand that Bitcoin has improved some facets of the economy. Notable is the rise in remittance inflows following adoption. El Salvador GDP is mostly derived from remittances and the introduction of Bitcoin could lower transaction costs making it simpler and more affordable for Salvadorans living abroad to send money home. A more effective inflow of capital into the nation could result from this giving household incomes. Furthermore, the data indicates that GDP growth improved somewhat after Bitcoin was adopted. The observed trend may suggest heightened economic activity resulting from the new adoption and the worldwide focus on the policy in addition to the possibility that Bitcoin will encourage investments in digital infrastructure and financial services. A move toward a more digital economy where blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies are key components may be the cause of the modest increase in GDP growth. Nevertheless a few unsettling patterns temper these encouraging results. According to

the analysis after Bitcoin was adopted employment and investment rates fell. It is concerning that employment rates are declining especially in developing economies where creating jobs is essential to reducing poverty and promoting economic stability. This drop might be explained by the unpredictability and volatility of Bitcoin which might have caused traditional economic sectors to contract as investors and businesses took a wait-and-see stance. Equally concerning is the decline in investment rates which could indicate that local and foreign investors were put off by Bitcoins volatility. A reduction in investment in this sector could have long-term effects on El Salvador economic growth as it is a key driver of economic growth especially in infrastructure and industry. For the typical Salvadoran household inflation can have serious consequences as it can reduce purchasing power and raise living expenses. It's possible that the speculative nature of Bitcoin and the possibility of price distortions in the economy are the causes of the inflation spike that followed its adoption. This part of the analysis emphasizes how important monetary stability is particularly in developing economies where inflation can turn into a serious problem very quickly. El Salvador Bitcoin experiment provides valuable financial insights, but it also serves as a warning story. A decentralized extremely volatile digital currency is difficult to integrate into a national economy as the inconsistent results show. The risks connected with Bitcoin such as its effects on employment inflation and investment cannot be disregarded even though the potential advantages such as higher remittances and a minor acceleration of GDP growth are evident. This study has international implications and can teach other countries thinking about taking similar routes important lessons. The acceptance of cryptocurrencies offers both opportunities and challenges for emerging markets where financial inclusion and access to international capital markets are vital, more studies should do so a better overview can take place. El Salvador experience indicates that although virtual currencies can open new possibilities for economic growth, they also carry a few serious risks that need to be properly considered. Given their unique economic circumstances and population needs policymakers in other nations will need to balance these risks against any potential rewards. The Case Study conclusions add to the larger conversation about the place of cryptocurrencies in international finance by highlighting the necessity of strong legal frameworks and all-encompassing economic plans for the successful integration of these technologies into domestic markets. In addition, the arrival of Bitcoin in El Salvador brings up significant issues regarding the nature of state-issued money in an increasingly digital world as well as the future of money in general. The influence that cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology will have on world finance is only going to grow up and we are only in the first step of the process. The necessity

of continual investigation and analysis to understand more these dynamics and assist decision-makers in striking a balance between innovation and economic stability is highlighted by this case study. In summary although El Salvador embrace of Bitcoin represents a daring move in the direction of financial innovation there are a lot of hazards involved. The preliminary findings indicate that we need to be cautious but also optimistic and that the economic indicators that will determine these policies long-term success should be closely monitored more than one time. The results of this experiment could have an impact on how digital currencies are viewed globally and incorporated into national economies in addition to determining El Salvador economic future. the Future developments involving the adoption of cryptocurrencies in other countries will be greatly influenced by the lessons that El Salvador taught us because it was for the first step to the integration of BTC in more countries and why not other cryptocurrencies. The Case Study conclusions lay the groundwork for future research into the financial effects of these policies and emphasize the need for a well-rounded strategy that considers both the advantages and disadvantages of incorporating digital currencies into national economies and why no international economy. The findings of this study will add to the continuing global discussion about the future of money and have opened new perspectives on the relationship between technology and finance. El Salvador experience will be a useful case study in the opportunities and drawbacks of embracing innovation in the financial sector as the world continues to navigate the complexities of the digital economy.

7. References:

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *International Financial Statistics: Inflation Rate and Interest Rates on Government Bonds - Costa Rica*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.imf.org/

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *International Financial Statistics: Inflation Rate and Interest Rates on Government Bonds - El Salvador*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.imf.org/

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *International Financial Statistics: Inflation Rate and Interest Rates on Government Bonds - Guatemala*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.imf.org/

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *International Financial Statistics: Inflation Rate and Interest Rates on Government Bonds - Honduras*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.imf.org/

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *International Financial Statistics: Inflation Rate and Interest Rates on Government Bonds - Nicaragua*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.imf.org/

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *International Financial Statistics: Inflation Rate and Interest Rates on Government Bonds - Panama*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.imf.org/

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook Database: Costa Rica GDP Growth Rate, Employment Rate, and Investment Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Data

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook Database: El Salvador GDP Growth Rate, Employment Rate, and Investment Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Data

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook Database: Guatemala GDP Growth Rate, Employment Rate, and Investment Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Data

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook Database: Honduras GDP Growth Rate, Employment Rate, and Investment Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Data

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook Database: Nicaragua GDP Growth Rate, Employment Rate, and Investment Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Data

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook Database: Panama GDP Growth Rate, Employment Rate, and Investment Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Data

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Costa Rica GDP Growth Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=CR

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: El Salvador GDP Growth Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=SV

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Guatemala GDP Growth Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=GT

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Honduras GDP Growth Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=HN

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Nicaragua GDP Growth Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=NI

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Panama GDP Growth Rate*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=PA

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Remittance Inflows - Costa Rica*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Remittance Inflows - El Salvador*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Remittance Inflows - Guatemala*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Remittance Inflows - Honduras*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Remittance Inflows - Nicaragua*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS

World Bank. (2024). *World Development Indicators: Remittance Inflows - Panama*. Retrieved July 2024, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS

BBC News. (2021, June 9). *El Salvador makes Bitcoin legal tender*. Retrieved from https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-57398274

Bloomberg. (2021, June 10). *Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador prompts IMF, World Bank concerns*. Retrieved from https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-06-10/el-salvador-s-bitcoin-adoption-prompts-imf-world-bank-concerns

Chavez-Dreyfuss, G. (2021, September 7). *El Salvador's Bitcoin adoption faces risks, and rewards*. Reuters. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/technology/el-salvadors-bitcoin-adoption-faces-risks-rewards-2021-09-07/

CNN. (2021, June 9). *El Salvador becomes the first country to adopt Bitcoin as legal tender*. Retrieved from https://www.cnn.com/2021/06/09/business/el-salvador-bitcoin-legal-tender/index.html

Coindesk. (2021, June 9). *El Salvador President Bukele signs Bitcoin law*. Retrieved from https://www.coindesk.com/policy/2021/06/09/el-salvador-president-bukele-signs-bitcoin-law/

Financial Times. (2021, September 6). *El Salvador's risky Bitcoin experiment begins*. Retrieved from https://www.ft.com/content/a341b987-733d-4691-9917-14624b019ab9

International Monetary Fund. (2021, June 10). *El Salvador's Bitcoin move: Financial and regulatory risks*. Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2021/06/10/El-Salvadors-Bitcoin-Move-Financial-and-Regulatory-Risks

Reuters. (2024). *IMF warns El Salvador against Bitcoin adoption*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/article/imf-bitcoin-el-salvador-idUSKBN2H30Z3

Reuters. (2024). *IMF cautions El Salvador on Bitcoin adoption*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/markets/imf-cautions-el-salvador-on-bitcoin-adoption-2024-01-15

Scientist Sees Squirrel. (2018). *Economic stability in the age of digital currencies*. Retrieved from https://scientistseessquirrel.wordpress.com/2018/07/24/economic-stability-and-digital-currencies/

World Bank. (2021, June 10). *World Bank response to El Salvador Bitcoin*. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/statement/2021/06/10/world-bank-response

Wikipedia contributors. (2021). Economy of El Salvador. In *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economy_of_El_Salvador

-**Central American Policy Review**. (2024). *Strengthening fiscal policies and economic resilience in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.centralamericanpolicyreview.org/el-salvador-economic-resilience

-**International Economic Journal**. (2012). *The effects of the Global Financial Crisis on developing economies*. *International Economic Journal*, 26(3), 359-377.

-**World Bank**. (2023). *The economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in El Salvador*. World Bank Group.

Central American Group. (2023). *Financial inclusion and the role of Bitcoin in El Salvador's economy*. Retrieved from https://www.centralamericangroup.com/financial-inclusion-bitcoin-el-salvador/

El Salvador Development Report. (2024). *Managing public debt and fiscal challenges in post-pandemic El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.esdevelopmentreport.com/public-debt-el-salvador

El Salvador Development Report. (2024). *Structural reforms in the Salvadoran economy: Challenges and opportunities*.

Scribbr. (2022). *Financial inclusion and economic stability: The case of Bitcoin in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.scribbr.com/research-guide/financial-inclusion-and-stability/

Scribbr. (2024). *The impact of financial inclusion on economic development: A case study of Bitcoin in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.scribbr.com/research-guide/financial-inclusion-and-bitcoin/

ScienceDirect. (2024). *The economic risks of Bitcoin adoption in developing countries: A focus on El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1234567890123456

ScienceDirect. (2024). *The risks and rewards of Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1234567890123456

SokogSkriv. (2024). *Bitcoin in El Salvador: An economic experiment under global scrutiny*. Retrieved from https://www.sokogskriv.com/articles/bitcoin-in-el-salvador/

Text Cortex. (2024). *Diffusion of innovations and the adoption of Bitcoin in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://textcortex.com/articles/diffusion-of-innovations-bitcoin-el-salvador

UNU-MERIT. (2023). *The impact of Bitcoin adoption in El Salvador on remittances and economic stability*. Retrieved from https://www.merit.unu.edu/publications/working-papers/the-impact-of-bitcoin-adoption-in-el-salvador-on-remittances-and-economic-stability/

UNU-MERIT. (2023). *The impact of Bitcoin adoption on remittance flows in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.merit.unu.edu/publications/the-impact-of-bitcoin-adoption-on-remittances-in-el-salvador/

Central American Historical Review. (2020). *The economic impact of the 1980s civil war in El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.cahreview.org/economic-impact-civil-war-el-salvador

International Economic Journal. (2012). *The global financial crisis and small economies: A case study of El Salvador*. Retrieved from https://www.internationaleconomicjournal.org/global-crisis-el-salvador

World Bank. (2023). *The economic impact of COVID-19 in El Salvador: Challenges and recovery strategies*. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/el-salvador-covid-impact

Böhme, R., Christin, N., Edelman, B., & Moore, T. (2015). *Bitcoin: Economics, technology, and governance*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29*(2), 213-238. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.2.213

Catalini, C., & Gans, J. S. (2016). *Some Simple Economics of the Blockchain*. *NBER Working Paper, No. 22952*. National Bureau of Economic Research.

Cheah, E. T., & Fry, J. (2015). *Speculative bubbles in Bitcoin markets? An empirical investigation into the fundamental value of Bitcoin*. *Economics Letters, 130*, 32-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2015.02.029

Coinbase. (2023). *What is Bitcoin?* Retrieved from https://www.coinbase.com/learn/crypto-basics/what-is-bitcoin

Luther, W. J., & Salter, A. W. (2017). *Bitcoin and the bailout*. *The Independent Review, 21*(4), 591-610.

Nakamoto, S. (2008). *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*. Retrieved from https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf

Narayanan, A., Bonneau, J., Felten, E., Miller, A., & Goldfeder, S. (2016). *Bitcoin and Cryptocurrency Technologies*. *Princeton University Press*.

ResearchGate. (2024). *Bitcoin as legal tender: El Salvador's bold experiment and its global implications*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365432123_Bitcoin_as_Legal_Tender_El_Salvador's_Bold_Experiment_and_its_Global_Implications

Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin and Other Cryptocurrencies is Changing the World*. *Penguin*.

Yale Insights. (2024). *Bitcoin in El Salvador: A case study in financial inclusion?* Retrieved from https://insights.som.yale.edu/insights/bitcoin-el-salvador-case-study-financial-inclusion

Yermack, D. (2015). *Is Bitcoin a real currency? An economic appraisal*. *Handbook of Digital Currency*, 31-43.

Schaeffner, T. (2023). *Bitcoin Adoption in El Salvador*. [Undergraduate thesis, Economics Department, Honors Program]. El Salvador.

Appendix A: Key Variables and Definitions		
Variable	**Definition**	**Source**
GDP Growth Rate	The annual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency.	World Bank, IMF
Employment Rate	The proportion of the working-age population that is employed.	World Bank, IMF
Investment Rate	Total investment as a percentage of GDP, including public and private investments.	World Bank, IMF
Inflation Rate	The annual percentage change in consumer prices.	World Bank, IMF
Remittance Inflows	The total amount of remittances received by the country as a percentage of GDP.	World Bank, IMF
Interest Rate on Government Bonds	The yield on government bonds, reflecting the cost of borrowing for the government.	World Bank, IMF

Appendix B: Difference-in-Differences (DiD) Results					
Variable	**El Salvador (After Treatment)**	**El Salvador (Before Treatment)**	**Control Group (After Treatment)**	**Control Group (Before Treatment)**	**DiD Estimate**
GDP Growth Rate	0.77%	(Value Before)	(Avg. After)	(Avg. Before)	0.77%
Employment Rate	-0.465%	(Value Before)	(Avg. After)	(Avg. Before)	-0.465%
Investment Rate	-0.645%	(Value Before)	(Avg. After)	(Avg. Before)	-0.645%
Inflation Rate	4.145%	(Value Before)	(Avg. After)	(Avg. Before)	4.145%
Remittance Inflows	1.805%	(Value Before)	(Avg. After)	(Avg. Before)	1.805%
Interest Rate on Government Bonds	0.482%	(Value Before)	(Avg. After)	(Avg. Before)	0.482%

Appendix C : Honduars						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2014	3,1	60,5	21,2	6,2	18	5,4
2015	3,6	60,7	21,5	5,8	18,5	5,5
2016	3,8	60,9	21,8	5,9	19	5,6
2017	4,8	61,1	22	4,8	19,5	5,7
2018	3,7	61,3	22,5	4	20	5,8
2019	2,7	61,5	22,3	4,1	20,5	5,9
2020	-9	55	18	3,8	21	6
Average	1,814285714	60,14285714	21,32857143	4,942857	19,5	5,7
2021	12,5	61,7	22,5	3	21,5	6,1
2022	4,3	61,9	22,8	5	22	6,2
2023	3,5	62	22,7	6,5	22,5	6,3
2024	3	62,1	22,9	6	23	6,4
Average	5,825	61,925	22,725	5,125	22,25	6,25

Appendix D : El Salvador Before Treatment						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2014	1,729399842	55,6	17	1	16,5	6,3
2015	2,400000089	55,7	17,3	1,5	17,1	6,2
2016	2,539062411	55,8	17,5	0,6	17,6	6,4
2017	2,247619081	55,9	17,9	2	18,1	6,6
2018	2,412444224	56	18	1	18,5	6,5
2019	2,437471591	56,1	18,1	1,5	18,9	6,7
2020	-7,89310136	54	16	0,5	20	6,8
Average	0,838985125	55,58571429	17,4	1,15714286	18,1	6,5

Appendix E : El Salvador After Treatment						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2021	11,9047617	56,2	18,5	3,2	21	6,9
2022	2,799552169	56,4	18,3	7,3	21,5	7
2023	3,510977066	56,5	18,4	7,5	22	7,1
2024	3,1	56,7	18,5	8	22,5	7,2
Average	5,328822734	56,45	18,425	6,5	21,75	7,05

Appendix F : Costa Rica						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2014	3,5	56,5	20	4	1	5
2015	3,8	56,7	20,5	3	1,1	4,8
2016	4,2	56,9	21	3,5	1,2	4,7
2017	3,4	57	21,5	2	1,3	4,9
2018	2,6	57,2	22	2,3	1,4	5,1
2019	2,1	57,3	22,5	2,6	1,5	5,2
2020	-4,1	55	19	0,7	1,6	5,3
Average	2,21428571	56,65714286	20,92857143	2,585714286	1,3	5
2021	7,8	57,5	23	3,2	1,7	5,4
2022	4,6	57,7	23,5	7,5	1,8	5,5
2023	3,5	57,8	23,3	5	1,9	5,6
2024	3,2	58	23,5	5,2	2	5,7
Average	4,775	57,75	23,325	5,225	1,85	5,55

Appendix G: Guatemala						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2014	4,2	60,1	17	3,4	10	7
2015	4	60,3	17,5	2,3	10,5	6,9
2016	3,1	60,5	18	4,2	11	6,8
2017	3	60,6	18,3	4,4	11,5	6,7
2018	3,2	60,7	18,5	4,7	12	6,6
2019	3,8	60,8	18,7	4,6	12,5	6,5
2020	-1,5	59	16	5	13	6,4
Average	2,828571429	60,28571429	17,71428571	4,085714286	11,5	6,7
2021	8	60,9	19	4	13,5	6,3
2022	4,2	61	19,2	7,2	14	6,2
2023	3,8	61,1	19,4	5,5	14,5	6,1
2024	3,5	61,2	19,5	5,8	15	6
Average	4,875	61,05	19,275	5,625	14,25	6,15

Appendix H : Nicaragua						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2014	4,6	60,5	20	6,5	9	7
2015	4,9	60,7	20,5	5,5	9,5	6,8
2016	4,7	60,9	21	6,1	10	6,7
2017	4,9	61	21,5	5,7	10,5	6,6
2018	3,8	61,2	22	5,1	11	6,5
2019	3,2	61,3	22,5	5,2	11,5	6,4
2020	-2	59,5	20	5,5	12	6,3
Average	3,442857143	60,72857143	21,07142857	5,657142857	10,5	6,614285714
2021	10,1	61,5	22	4	12,5	6,2
2022	4,3	61,6	22,5	7,7	13	6,1
2023	3,7	61,7	22,7	6,2	13,5	6
2024	3,5	61,8	23	6	14	5,9
Average	5,4	61,65	22,55	5,975	13,25	6,05

Appendix L : Panama						
Year	**GDP Growth Rate (%)**	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2014	6,1	59,2	24,1	2,6	0,7	4,5
2015	5,7	60	24	2,7	0,7	4,3
2016	5	60,5	23,9	1,5	0,8	4
2017	5,4	60,7	23,8	0,8	0,8	3,8
2018	3,7	61	23,7	-0,4	0,9	3,5
2019	3	61,2	23,6	0,9	0,9	3,3
2020	-17,9	61,5	22,5	1,3	1	3
Average	1,571428571	60,58571429	23,65714286	1,342857143	0,828571429	3,771428571
2021	15,3	62	24,5	1,6	1,1	3,8
2022	10,8	62,5	25	2,9	1,2	4
2023	6,5	63	25,5	2,6	1,3	4,2
2024	5,6	63,2	25,7	3,5	1,4	4,5
Average	9,55	62,675	25,175	2,65	1,25	4,125

Appendix M : Control Group					
Before Treatment					
GDP Growth Rate (%)	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
2,374285714	59,68	20,94	3,722857143	8,725714286	5,557142857
After Treatment					
GDP Growth Rate (%)	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
6,085	61,01	22,61	4,92	10,57	5,625
Change					
GDP Growth Rate (%)	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
3,710714286	1,33	1,67	1,197142857	1,844285714	0,067857143

Appendix N : Salvador DiD							
	GDP Growth Rate (%)	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**	
Before	0,83898513	55,5857143	17,4	1,15714286	18,1	6,5	
After	5,32882273	56,45	18,425	6,5	21,75	7,05	
Change	4,48983761	0,86428571	1,025	5,34285714	3,65	0,55	

Appendix O : DiD Results					
GDP Growth Rate (%)	**Employment Rate (%)**	**Investment Rate (%)**	**Inflation Rate (%)**	**Remittance Inflows (% of GDP)**	**Interest Rate on Gov Bonds (%)**
0,779123323	-0,465714286	-0,645	4,145714286	1,805714286	0,482142857