

Development of a microfluidic paper-based device for aluminium quantification in urine: potential implications for Alzheimer's disease monitoring

Juliana I. S. Aguiar¹, Tomás Montenegro¹, Tommaso Presta^{1,2}, António O. S. S. Rangel¹, Raquel B. R. Mesquita^{1*}

¹Universidade Católica Portuguesa, CBQF – Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina – Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Rua Diogo Botelho 1327, 4169-005, Porto, Portugal

²Università degli studi Cagliari, Facoltà di Ingegneria e Architettura, Via Marengo 2, 09123, Cagliari, Italia

*E-mail: rmesquita@ucp.pt

Long-term exposure to high Al(III) concentrations

- Aluminium intake (air, drinking water, food and drugs)
- Cosmetic and personal care products (antiperspirants, sun creams and toothpaste)
- Materials containing aluminium (food packaging, aluminium foils and cooking utensils)

Health risks linked to Al(III) exposure

Alzheimer's disease (AD) monitoring using urine samples

- Urine: non-invasive and easy-to-collect sample
- Reflects systemic Al(III) accumulation
- Allows early screening and exposure assessment
- Enables point-of-care testing

Risk of AD with Al(III) concentration > 0.1 mg/L

Methodology

µPAD Top view

µPAD Bottom view

Image J software (intensity readings of the coloured complex)

RGB filter

$A = \log_{10} (I_0 / I_s)$

A - Absorbance
I₀ - Intensity of the blank
I_s - Intensity of the sample

Results

Influence of synthetic urine matrix composition on aluminium determination sensitivity

Matrix ID (U#)	Matrix composition	Matrix ID (U#)	Matrix composition
U1	Sodium chloride	U8	U7 + Glucose
U2	U1 + Sodium sulphate	U9	U8 + Creatinine
U3	U2 + Urea	U10	U9 + Calcium chloride
U4	U3 + Ammonium chloride	U11	U10 + Magnesium sulphate
U5	U4 + Bicarbonate sulphate	U12	U11 + Citric acid
U6	U5 + Lactic acid	U13	U11 + Potassium dihydrogen phosphate
U7	U6 + Uric acid	U14	U11 + Di-potassium hydrogen phosphate

Citric acid interference minimization:

[Al(III)], mg/L	[Citric acid], g/L	Absorbance	RE %
0.80	0.00	0.019	-
	0.10	0.019	1.2%
	0.15	0.017	-9.6%
	0.20	0.007	-62%
	0.40	0.003	-84%

0.40 g/L → Expected concentration of citric acid in urine samples

✓ Dilute the sample 4x to avoid interference

Phosphate interference minimization:

	AgNO ₃	Intensity values	RE %
U11	no	211.5	-
U14	yes	212.4	0.4%
1.2 mg/L Al(III) in U11	no	197.6	-
1.2 mg/L Al(III) in U14	yes	197.5	-0.1%

✓ AgNO₃ as a masking agent, precipitating phosphate and significantly improving the method's selectivity

µPAD optimization studies:

Parameters	Tested conditions	Chosen conditions
Colour reagent	ERC and CAS	CAS
Layers number	1, 2 and 3	2
Layers order (1st/2nd)	R layer/E layer or E layer/R layer	E layer/R layer
Filter paper type (R layer)	Qualitative, ashless quantitative, hardened low ash and hardened ashless	Qualitative
Paper porosity (Both layers)	2.5 - 25 µm	11 µm
Paper thickness (E layer)	180 and 390 µm	390 µm
Paper disc diameter (E layer)	9.5 and 12.7 mm	9.5 mm
CAS concentration	0.2 - 1.5 g/L	1.0 g/L
Sample volume	10 - 40 µL	20 µL

Work on going...

Low cost, rapid analysis and user-friendly operation

Early diagnostic tool for potential health risks associated with Al(III) exposure

Disposable and portable: easily transportable to remote locations

µPAD designed according to the WHO guidelines