

A meta-ethnography about suffering in burned patients and their families

To enable PROSPERO to focus on COVID-19 submissions, this registration record has undergone basic automated checks for eligibility and is published exactly as submitted. PROSPERO has never provided peer review, and usual checking by the PROSPERO team does not endorse content. Therefore, automatically published records should be treated as any other PROSPERO registration. Further detail is provided [here](#).

Citation

André Filipe Ribeiro, Sandra Martins Pereira, Pablo Hernández-Marrero, Rui Nunes. A meta-ethnography about suffering in burned patients and their families. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020183849 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020183849

Review question

How do patients, families and professional caregivers experience suffering in burn units?

Searches

[https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed/?term=\(suffering%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D\)+AND+\(burns%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D+OR+burned%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D\)+AND+\(qualitative%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed/?term=(suffering%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D)+AND+(burns%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D+OR+burned%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D)+AND+(qualitative%5BTtitle%2FAbstract%5D))

Types of study to be included

Empirical qualitative studies.

Condition or domain being studied

By definition, palliative care is crucial in prevention and relief of suffering. We aim to understand how suffering is experienced by burn patients, their families and professional caregivers, so we can identify how palliative care might intervene.

Participants/population

Burned patients, their families and professional caregivers in burn units.

Intervention(s), exposure(s)

Qualitative empirical studies, reporting about suffering in burn units.

Comparator(s)/control

No comparator is considered.

Main outcome(s)

We aim to identify the reported characteristics of the suffering in burn units.

Measures of effect

The characteristics that allow to relief suffering and identity strategies to prevent it.

Additional outcome(s)

None

Measures of effect

None

Data extraction (selection and coding)

PRISMA reporting guidelines will be used

Risk of bias (quality) assessment

Programme CAS: Critical Appraisal Skills Programme, will be used for quality assessment.

Strategy for data synthesis

Data synthesis will follow the guidance of: France EF, Cunningham M, Ring N, Uny I, Duncan EA, Jepson RG, et al. Improving reporting of meta-ethnography: The eMERGe reporting guidance. J Adv Nurs. 2019;75(5):1126-39.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets

Patients, families and healthcare teams will be the subgroups under analysis.

Contact details for further information

André Filipe Ribeiro

a.ribeiro506@gmail.com

Organisational affiliation of the review

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto. Instituto de Bioética, Universidade Católica Portuguesa.

Review team members and their organisational affiliations

Mr André Filipe Ribeiro. Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto. Instituto de Bioética, Universidade Católica Portuguesa.

Dr Sandra Martins Pereira. Instituto de Bioética, Universidade Católica Portuguesa.

Dr Pablo Hernández-Marrero. Instituto de Bioética, Universidade Católica Portuguesa.

Professor Rui Nunes. Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto

Type and method of review

Individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis, Meta-analysis, Narrative synthesis, Prevention, Prognostic, Synthesis of qualitative studies, Systematic review

Anticipated or actual start date

25 May 2020

Anticipated completion date

22 June 2020

Funding sources/sponsors

We have no founding sources or sponsors for our review.

Conflicts of interest

Language

English

Country

Portugal

Stage of review

Review Ongoing

Subject index terms status

Subject indexing assigned by CRD

Subject index terms

Anthropology, Cultural; Humans; Qualitative Research

Date of registration in PROSPERO

05 July 2020

Date of first submission

02 May 2020

Stage of review at time of this submission

The review has not started

Stage	Started	Completed
Preliminary searches	No	No
Piloting of the study selection process	No	No
Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria	No	No
Data extraction	No	No
Risk of bias (quality) assessment	No	No
Data analysis	No	No

The record owner confirms that the information they have supplied for this submission is accurate and complete and they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate information or omission of data may be construed as scientific misconduct.

The record owner confirms that they will update the status of the review when it is completed and will add publication details in due course.

Versions

05 July 2020