The Effect of Mixing Particles with Different Characteristics on the Residence Time Distribution of Particles in Two-phase Flow in a Tubular System
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ABSTRACT

The residence time distribution of large solid particles in fluid suspensions was determined in a tubular continuous processing system. Different flow conditions covering both laminar and transient flow regimes were studied for particles with different diameter and density. Experiments were performed for single particles and for suspensions with 1% v/v concentration. The results showed that even for low particle concentrations, particle interactions promote an increased mean residence time and dispersion. Residence time distribution of mixtures of two different types of particles were also measured for different flow conditions. It was concluded that mixing particles with different characteristics often promotes a decrease both in the mean and minimum residence time of each type of particle, although in some situations the opposite was observed. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Limited

INTRODUCTION

Aseptic processing is very commonly used in pasteurization and sterilization of fluid foods. This technology has been recently extended to thermal processing of particulate fluid foods. As in any thermal processing, a critical point is to ensure the 'commercial sterility' in the whole product, for which the identification of the coldest point is essential. Berry (1989) associated this point with the centre of the fastest particle in the heating and holding section. However, when the solid phase includes particles with different characteristics, this point might not be the centre of the fastest particle, depending not only on the particles' velocity but also on the
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particles' characteristics that may control the heat transfer rate, such as diameter, geometry and physical and thermal properties. Nevertheless, the description of residence time distribution (RTD) of particles remains one of the two major problems in this field, along with the heat transfer from liquid to particles (Heldman, 1989).

The RTD of particles is affected by variables such as flow rate, fluid viscosity, particle shape, size, density and concentration and inclination and blade speed of the mutator in scraped surface heat exchangers (SSHEs). Several works report on the effect of these variables, although contradictory results are often found due to the different processing systems used and the different ranges studied for each variable.

SSHEs are often used to process particulate fluid foods and therefore a significant number of works deal with RTD of particles in this type of heat exchanger (e.g. Taeymans et al., 1985; Alcairo and Zuritz, 1990; Singh and Lee, 1992).

A number of other works analyse RTD of particles in holding tubes. Berry (1989), studying suspensions of rubber cubes (6%) in carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), evaluated the effect of flow rate and particle size on RTD. This author also performed experiments with mixtures of particles of different sizes and, for some of the mixtures studied, reported that mixing affected the mean and the minimum residence time and the standard deviation of the distribution. However, this was a preliminary study where particle size was the only variable considered and no attempt to explain the interactions between particles was presented. McCoy et al. (1987) had previously investigated the effect of flow rate, particle size and fluid viscosity on the RTD of a single spherical particle in CMC solutions. Yang and Swartzel (1992) also used single spherical particles to evaluate the effect of flow rate and particle density. Dutta and Sastry (1990a; 1990b) studied the velocity distribution of spherical polystyrene particles in a non-Newtonian CMC solution. Average and fastest particle velocity were the parameters considered and evaluated as functions of flow rate, fluid viscosity and particle concentration. Sandeep and Zuritz (1991), using the same model system, evaluated the effect of the same variables as well as particle diameter on the RTD of particles. In both studies, fluid viscosity showed a very important role. Palmieri et al. (1992) investigated the effect of flow rate and particle concentration in the RTD of particles for a real food system composed by 10% w/w cubes of Bintje cultivar potatoes in a sodium chloride solution. It was observed that the increase of flow rate led to a lower dispersion. This effect was also observed by Sandeep and Zuritz (1991).

With the exception of Berry (1989), all the above mentioned works used fluid suspensions with only one type of particle. However, in many practical situations, particles with different characteristics are often mixed. In this case it may be expected that the interactions between particles will affect their RTD. The main objective of this work was to assess how mixing two different types of particles would affect the RTD, for each of the types in the mixture, in a tubular continuous processing system. Spherical particles with different diameters (6.35 and 9.52 mm) and density (1.065 and 1.185 g cm\(^{-3}\)) were used, and different flow conditions were tested for some of the mixtures, covering both laminar and transient regimes (138 < Re < 5800). Another objective was to assess if, even for low particle concentration, the interactions between particles of the same type would promote different RTDs from the ones measured with experiments performed with single particles.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The particles and the fluid

Spherical polystyrene ($\rho_p = 1.065$ g cm$^{-3}$) and acrylic ($\rho_p = 1.185$ g cm$^{-3}$) particles (Hoover Precision Products, Inc., USA) with different diameter (6.35 and 9.52 mm) were used as model food particles. Water and CMC solutions (Hoechst AG–Tylose MHB 30000 yp) were used as liquid phases. The CMC solutions were prepared adding the powder to the water at 60°C, slowly and with continuous agitation. The agitation was kept for 48 h at least. Both water and CMC solutions had a $1.00 \pm 0.01$ g cm$^{-3}$ density. The CMC solutions used presented non-Newtonian behaviour and the rheological characteristics were measured experimentally with a coaxial cylinder viscometer (Contraves RHEOMAT Model 115, Contraves AG, Zurich, Switzerland). A power-law behaviour was verified with a flow behaviour index of 0.77 and a consistency index of 0.057 Pa s$^{0.77}$.

The aseptic processing system

The system used for this study is schematically represented in Fig. 1, consisting basically in a feed tank for the liquid solutions (1), a single rotor rotative pump with rubber blades (2), a magnetic flowmeter (3), a tubular (2.2 cm i.d.) aseptic processing unit with heating, holding and cooling sections (4a, 4b and 4c) and two visualization sections, one at the inlet (5a) and another at the outlet (5b) of the aseptic processing unit. A vertical tube (6) and two valves (7a and 7b) were used respectively to introduce the particles and to remove the air from the system. The system has a total length of 31 m.

The experimental procedure

Tank 1 was filled with the adequate liquid solution. The solution was pumped from this tank into the aseptic processing system and recycled until all the air had been purged.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the aseptic processing system.
According to the experiment to be carried out the desired particle mixture was prepared by mixing the two different types of particles. Eight particles of each type were coloured with different colours, to be used as tracers. With the liquid being continuously recycled, the 3-way valve at the bottom of the vertical tube (7 in Fig. 1) was opened and the selected mixture of particles was introduced through the top of the tube connected to this valve. The particles introduced would produce the selected average particle concentration for the experiment. The mixture of particles was slowly introduced and the particle-fluid mixture was recycled for a sufficient time to guarantee a homogeneous particle concentration in the system (as visually observed). The residence time of the tracer coloured particles was then determined by recording the initial time, at the inlet transparent section, and the final time, at the outlet transparent section. In each experiment, the solid/liquid mixture was recycled until the residence time of the tracer particles of each type of particle had been measured and recorded at least one hundred times. This methodology corresponds to the direct application of residence time definition (e.g. Yang and Swartzel, 1992; Singh and Lee, 1992; Sandeep and Zuritz, 1994). The experiments were performed at room temperature.

The experiments

The experimental work can be divided in three parts: (i) the effect of using single particles or particle suspensions; (ii) the influence of flow rate and fluid viscosity in the residence time distribution of a given particle mixture; and (iii) the effect of mixing different particles in their residence time distributions.

(1) Thirty two sets of experiments were randomly performed, corresponding to a factorial design at two levels of the variables studied: particle concentration ($C_p$), particle diameter ($d_p$), particle density ($p_p$), fluid viscosity ($\mu$) and flow rate ($Q$) (Table 1).

(2) The influence of flow rate and fluid viscosity in the residence time distribution was studied using a mixture of the large particles of low density with the small particles of high density, because these two types of particles were found to have residence times significantly different. Eight sets of experiments were randomly performed with all the different combinations of the two levels of flow rate, fluid viscosity and concentration of each type of particle in the mixture. The total concentration of particles was 1% v/v.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Particle concentration (% v/v)</th>
<th>Particle diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Particle density (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Fluid consistency (Pa.s/%CMC)</th>
<th>Flow rate (L h$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Single particles</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>0.001/0.00</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.057/0.30</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1**

Low and High Levels of Variables used in the Factorial Design at Two Levels for Assessing the Effect of using Single Particles or Particle Suspensions
For the third part of the work, additional experiments were performed in a 0.30% CMC solution at a flow rate of 360 litres h\(^{-1}\). Mixtures of two different types of particles were studied. Six different mixtures were used, corresponding to all the possible combinations of the four types of particles used in this work. For each different combination two different fractions (0.35 and 0.65) of each type of particle were used, totalling twelve experiments.

**RTD characterization**

Residence time distribution curves \([E(t)]\) were drawn, based on the measured residence time of the tracer particles for each experiment. Figure 2 shows a typical example. These curves were described in terms of the minimum residence time \((t_{\text{min}})\), the mean residence time \((\bar{t})\) and the standard deviation of the distribution \((\sigma)\). For a comparison between different flow conditions, some results were expressed in terms of normalized parameters, as described by Levenspiel (1972):

Normalized Mean RT:

\[
\tilde{\theta} = \frac{\bar{t}}{\tau}
\]  

(1)

Normalized Minimum RT:

\[
\theta_{\text{min}} = \frac{t_{\text{min}}}{\tau}
\]  

(2)

Normalized Standard Deviation:

\[
E(t)
\]

Fig. 2. Typical example of a residence time distribution curve \((C_p = 1.0\% \text{ v/v}, \ d_p = 9.52 \text{ mm}, \ \rho_p = 1.19 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \ Q = 360 \text{ litre h}^{-1} \text{ and } \mu = 0.30\% \text{ CMC})\).
\[ s_{0} = \frac{s}{t} \]  
\[ t = \sum_{t_i} tE(t) \Delta t \]  
\[ s = \sqrt{\sum_{t_i} (t-t)^2 E(t) \Delta t} \]  
\[ \tau = \frac{V}{Q} \]

where \( \tau \) is the volume of the system. The volumetric flow rate includes both liquid and solid phases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reynolds numbers between 138 and 5800 were obtained, with laminar flow when CMC was used and in the transient regime when water was used. The particles' mean residence time was, for most situations, higher than the fluid mean residence time, showing that the particles tend to move slower than the fluid. The only exception was for the large particles with high density at higher flow rate and fluid viscosity, where a normalized mean residence time of 0.85 was obtained. Table 3 shows the average results of the normalized mean residence time for the experiments performed with suspensions of 1% v/v particle concentration. These results clearly show that different particles have different residence times for the same flow conditions. The low density particles showed a lower residence time. For the same density, large particles moved faster. Therefore, the small particles of low density had a mean residence time close to that of the large, high density particles. Similar results were reported by Singh and Lee (1992) in relation to the effect of diameter and by Yang and Swartzel (1992) for the effect of particle density. In an earlier work (Baptista et al., 1994) a study was presented where single and interactive effects of particle diameter and density, flow rate and fluid viscosity on particle RTD was fully discussed.

The effect of using single particles or particle suspensions

A factorial design at two levels, as described by Box et al. (1978), was applied with the thirty-two sets of experiments shown in Table 1 and analysed with the Statgraphics 5.0 statistics software (Statistical Graphics Corporation, 1991). The aim of this study was to identify if the presence of other particles with similar characteristics would affect the flow of a single particle, even for low particle concentrations (1% v/v).
It was observed that the minimum residence time of individual particles was not affected by the presence of other particles, while an increase of the mean residence time was clear (significance level higher than 95%), with no significant interactive effects with the other variables tested. For the standard deviation an interactive effect between particle concentration and particle diameter was found (significance level higher than 95%). The presence of other particles increased the standard deviation (significance level higher than 99%), this effect being more important for the small particles, as shown in Fig. 3. The average particle delay and the increased standard deviation may be justified by the existence of interactions between particles. Higher dispersions for smaller particles may be due to the higher number of small particles when compared with the number of large particles for the same concentration (in v/v). This increases the probability of interactions between particles and consequently the dispersion. The lack of significant effects on the minimum residence time shows that there are some particles that are not subject to significant interactions with others at this low concentration. These results show that conclusions obtained from flow analysis of single particles (e.g. Yang and Swartzel, 1992; Ramaswamy et al., 1992) should not be extrapolated for particle suspensions, even for low particle concentrations.

The influence of flow rate and fluid viscosity in the residence time distribution of a particle mixture

From the previous study it was concluded that the large particles of low density and the small particles of high density had significantly different mean residence times (Table 2). The effect of mixing these two types of particles was then assessed, for a total concentration of particles of 1.0% v/v and two levels of concentration for each

Fig. 3. Interactive effect of particle concentration and particles diameter on the average normalized standard deviation of the distribution (■-6.35 mm; □-9.52 mm).
TABLE 2
Average Results of the Mean Residence Time for the Experiments Performed with Suspensions of 1% v/v Particle Concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle density level</th>
<th>Particle diameter level</th>
<th>Average $\bar{\theta}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 shows the mean and the minimum residence time for both types of particles in the mixture, for different conditions of flow rate and fluid viscosity, together with the results previously obtained when just one type of particle was used. A general tendency for each type of particle to accelerate when mixed with the other type of particles can be observed in these figures. This effect appears to be more evident for the higher flow rates. Because the total concentration of particles is always the same, these changes in the residence time are related to the presence of particles with different diameters and densities and, consequently, with different residence time distributions. In the visualization sections it was observed that when a large particle approached a smaller and slower particle, it would be held back by it for some seconds, pushing it in return, which would increase the small particle's velocity and consequently reduce its residence time. This might lead to the conclusion that the large particle would be delayed. However, after this period, the large particle would go over the small particle (leapfrog), moving, therefore, to low tube radius, with higher fluid velocity, and being significantly accelerated in this way. This effect also occurs in saltation flows (Zandi, 1971) and was observed by Dutta and Sastry (1990b) in linear tube flow of low particle concentration (<0.8145% v/v). In the present study, in some situations, this leapfrog was observed immediately on the approach of the two particles. In terms of the standard deviation, mixing different types of particles appears to increase the dispersion for the low flow rates, while no significant effects were detected for the higher flow rates. Table 3 shows the values obtained for the different conditions tested.

The effect of different particle mixtures in their residence time distributions

Figure 5 shows, for a 0.30% CMC solution and for a flow rate of 360 litres h$^{-1}$, the effect of mixing two different types of particles on their mean residence time, for all the combinations of the four different types of particles used. The effects on the minimum residence time were very similar and are therefore not shown. Each figure shows the behaviour of a given particle type, when mixed with any of the other three types of particles. It may be concluded that all the particles are in general accelerated, except when mixed with the small particles of low density, that usually have a more reduced effect and in some situations even promote a delay of the
particles they are mixed with. The effects on the spread of the distribution were negligible, confirming the results found in the previous section.

For the mixtures of particles with considerably different residence times, the accelerating effect may be the one explained in the previous section. However, this accelerating effect is also clear for situations where particles with quite similar
residence times were mixed. The small particles of low density and the large particles of high density showed only 4 s difference, on average, in the mean RT (Table 2), but when mixed, accelerations of 8 to 10 s in the former were obtained. This result was confirmed by visual observation, as the small particles of low density often 'jumped' over the large particles of high density, which may be due to the much higher rotational velocity of the particle of high density and diameter (Saffman lift force) which, associated with the possible friction between the two particles will promote the leapfrog.

Comparing Figs 5a and b, it may be seen that the acceleration effect of the high density particles is more important for the small particles. These high density particles always move slower than the low density particles and their accelerating effect is therefore due to the leapfrog they promote on the others. It can be easily understood that this leapfrog will be more likely to occur if the particles that are being overtaken are smaller. In addition, in these situations the other particle moves to the centre of the tube, given the particles and tube diameter, where fluid velocity is higher.

Comparing Figs 5c and d, an opposite effect of diameter may be observed for the low density particles. These particles are faster than the high density particles and thus in these situations acceleration is caused by the 'pushing effect' that may be expected to be more important for the larger particles. However it is curious to note that the small low density particles may delay the large high density particles.

CONCLUSIONS

The residence time distribution of particles in particulate fluids cannot be assessed properly by determining residence time distributions with single particles, since the interactions between particles affect the residence time distribution even at low concentrations (1% v/v).
When different types of particles are mixed, the residence time distribution of each type is affected, and in general a reduction of mean and minimum residence was observed in this study. However, opposite effects were found at some conditions. This shows that when different types of particles are present in a particulate fluid, RTD studies obtained from suspensions of a single type of particle should not be applied; experiments should be conducted for the mixture in question, and for each type of particle.

Fig. 5. Influence of different particles in the mean residence time of each type of particle in a solution of 0.30% of CMC at a flow rate of 360 litre h⁻¹: (a) \(d_p = 6.35\) mm; \(\rho_p = 1.065\) g cm⁻³ (○); (b) \(d_p = 9.52\) mm; \(\rho_p = 1.065\) g cm⁻³ (○); (c) \(d_p = 6.35\) mm; \(\rho_p = 1.185\) g cm⁻³ (●); (d) \(d_p = 9.52\) mm; \(\rho_p = 1.185\) g cm⁻³ (●).
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