The response of watercress (Nasturtium officinale) to vacuum impregnation: Effect of an antifreeze protein type I
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Abstract

The setting up of methodologies that reduce the size of ice crystals and reduce or inhibit the recrystallisation phenomena could have an extraordinary significance in the final quality of frozen products and consequently bring out new market opportunities. In this work, the effect of an antifreeze protein type I (AFP-I), by vacuum impregnation (VI), on frozen watercress was studied. The VI pressure, samples' weight, Hunter Lab colour, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and a wilting test were analysed in this work.

The water intake of watercress samples augmented with vacuum pressure increase. The results also showed that, independently from the vacuum pressure used, the Lab colour parameters between raw and impregnated samples were maintained, showing no significant differences (P > 0.05).

A VI of 58 kPa, during 5 min, allowed impregnating the AFP-I solution (0.01 mg ml⁻¹) into the watercress samples. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis showed the AFP-I impregnated frozen samples with better cell wall definition and rounded cell shape with smaller ice crystals compared with the control samples.

The wilting test results corroborated that AFP-I is a valuable additive, since the leaves impregnated with AFP-I showed higher turgidity compared to the control samples.

The present findings will help to better understand the effect of AFP-I, particularly, on frozen watercress microstructure and its importance as valuable food additive in frozen foods and mainly in leafy vegetables.

Introduction

The major objective of the freezing process is to extend the shelf-life of foodstuffs. Moreover, frozen products are able to reach the consumer in different parts of the World with a good quality, being this feature one of the most valuable for producers, food retailers and consumers. Nevertheless, if the temperature of the freezing process and the storage conditions for product preservation are not appropriate, problems can arise. In frozen food production, the ice formation should be as fast as possible to minimise structural damages. Also, the temperature fluctuations that may occur during distribution and storage should be minimal, since they can lead to product deterioration and reduce drastically its quality and consequently its commercial value.

The ice formation and recrystallisation phenomena, due to temperatures fluctuations along the cold chain, induce changes, not only in the size and number of ice crystals, but also in their shape and orientation. Recrystallisation corresponds to water migration as a result of local water motions allowing molecular diffusion from one ice crystal to another, more often without change in ice content (Blond and Le Meste, 2004).

The development of innovative and more efficient pre-treatments in the freezing process are always in research in order to achieve better food materials, and consequently, higher quality frozen products, satisfying the consumer demands and market requirements.

Setting up methodologies that could reduce the size of ice crystals and reduce or inhibit the recrystallisation phenomenon could have an extraordinary significance in the product final quality and consequently bring out new market opportunities.

Antifreeze proteins (AFPs) or thermal hysteresis proteins (THPs) are able to depress the freezing point of aqueous solutions below the melting point, inhibit ice recrystallisation, and suppress or modify ice crystal growth. The difference between the freezing and the melting point is termed thermal hysteresis. AFPs are found in a large number of organisms, such as fish, bacteria, insects and plants (Yang and Sharp, 2004). These proteins help to protect these organisms in very cold environments by lowering the temperature...
at which ice crystals grow and changing the size and shape of the ice crystals (Atıcı and Nalbantoğlu, 2003; Baardsnes et al., 1999; Banasiak, 2006; Breton et al., 2000; Carpenter and Hansen, 1992; Chapsky and Rubinsky, 1997; Deng and Laursen, 1998; Evans and Fletcher 2001, 2004; Feeney and Yeh, 1998; Fletcher et al., 2001; Gómez and Sjöholm, 2004; Graether et al., 2001; Grandum et al., 1999; Griffith and Ewart, 1995; Griffith et al., 2005; Jarząbek et al., 2009; Kristiansen and Zachariassen, 2005; Kuiper et al., 2003; Li and Sun, 2002; Lu et al., 2002; Robles et al., 2007; Scotter et al., 2006; Smallwood et al., 1999; Strom et al., 2005; Tomczak et al., 2003; Wathen and Jia, 2005; Wu et al., 2001; Yang and Sharp, 2004; Yu and Griffith, 1999; Zhang et al., 2008).

It is thought that AFPs inhibit the development and recrystallisation of intercellular ice by adsorbing onto the surface of ice crystals via van der Waals interactions and/or hydrogen bonds (Yeh et al., 2000). The basis for adsorption specificity lies in a hydrogen-bonding match between groups on the ice-binding site of the AFP and oxygen atoms on the ice lattice. In the winter flounder α-helical AFP type I, the regularly spaced hydrophilic threonines (Thr) have been suggested to be the principal ice binding residues (Deluca et al., 1998; DeVries and Lin, 1977; Sicheri and Yang, 1995; Wen and Laursen, 1992). On the other hand, other studies (Chao et al., 1997; Haynet et al., 1998, 1999; Zhang and Laursen, 1998) in which the AFP-I hydrophilic amino acids were replaced with hydrophobic ones, showed that hydrogen bonding is not necessary for the antifreeze effect. Others authors (Davies et al., 2002; Sonnichsen et al., 1996; Yang et al., 1998) reported that the binding mechanism is principally due to the entropic effects of docking a relatively hydrophobic, flat protein surface to ice, as well as van der Waals contacts and the formation of some hydrogen bonds. Wierzbicki et al. (2007) proposed that the AFPs interactions with the ice–water interfacial region “poisons” it and thus stops the ice from growth, and do not bind to ice, but accumulate at the ice–water interface. Therefore, the AFPs ice-binding mechanism details are not yet well established and more studies are still required (Ewart et al., 1999; Wathen and Jia, 2005).

AFPs application in frozen foods may inhibit recrystallisation during freezing, storage, transport and thawing, thus preserving food texture by reducing cellular damage and, by reducing drip also minimise the loss of nutrients (Griffith and Ewart, 1995).

The application of antifreeze proteins in food are reported in the literature. Boonsupthip and Lee (2003) showed the ability of antifreeze proteins to preserve gel-forming functionality of food muscle proteins in frozen conditions. They also conclude that AFP still provides better protection than a conventional cryoprotectant sucrose–sorbitol mixture. Other studies concerning antifreeze proteins have been reported, in frozen meat (Payne et al., 1994; Payne and Young, 1995) and ice cream (Regand and Goff, 2006). Moreover, Khanna and Daggard (2006) showed that the antifreeze proteins can be effective even at low concentrations such as 0.6 µg ml⁻¹. Holmberg et al. (2001) also reported antifreeze activity in applications with very low concentrations of AFPs. Wang et al. (2008) reported that in order to transfer antifreeze protection to a plant, it is crucial to introduce AFPs into the apoplast space to confer an optimal antifreeze effect. This phenomenon occurs since ice forms preferentially in the apoplast where the solute concentration is the lowest. Furthermore, the cellular dehydration and disruption of cell integrity occurs since intracellular water is lost and extracellular ice grows.

Nevertheless, very few studies were found reporting the effect of antifreeze proteins in vegetables (Cutler et al., 1989), and none at all in watercress.

Vacuum impregnation (VI) is a useful process that allows the introduction of valuable additives directly into foodstuffs throughout its pores, protecting natural tissue composition, thus improving texture quality and lowering drip loss, and, in some cases, reduces the need for heat treatment, preserving the product characteristics and heat labile elements. VI, as a pre-treatment step, has been widely used in processes such as freezing, drying and canning, due to its ability in quality improvement (Bolin and Huxsoll, 1993).

In this process (VI), the penetration of external liquid is caused by the combined effect of capillary action and a pressure gradient (i.e., the hydrodynamic mechanism, HDM) (Fito, 1994; Fito and Pastor, 1994). After product immersion in a closed tank containing the liquid phase, VI is carried out in a two steps procedure: first, the vacuum pressure (p₁) is imposed on the system for a short time (t₁), promoting the expansion and outflow of internal gas in the product (the product pore native liquid is released due to the internal gas outflow); second, the atmospheric pressure (p₂) is restored for a certain time (usually t₂ = t₁) with compression leading to a great reduction in the pores’ remaining gas, and subsequent influx of the external liquid into the porous structure (Fito et al., 2001; Gras et al., 2002, 2003).

Fruits and vegetables are suitable for developing high quality vacuum impregnated products, since their porous structure, containing a gas or liquid phase, is susceptible for impregnation with an external solution (Zhao and Xie, 2004).

In view of the fact that there are only a few studies on the AFPs applications in foodstuffs, the objectives of this work were to optimise the VI process in order to mechanically introduce an AFP-I on watercress and test its benefits on the quality of this frozen leafy vegetable microstructure and texture.

Materials and methods

Raw material

Raw watercress (Nasturtium officinale) was kindly supplied from a local producer. The leaves were selected (dia = 1.4 cm), washed thoroughly and analyzed within 24 h.

Vacuum impregnation experiments

The vacuum impregnation experiments were run in order to select the vacuum pressure value that could guarantee AFP-I solution influx with uniform distribution, and on the other hand preserve watercress initial characteristics.

![Fig. 1. Vacuum impregnation apparatus.](Image 136x75 to 199x89)
The vacuum pressure was firstly tested in order to guarantee minimum water entrance with uniform distribution. The watercress leaves were vacuum impregnated at 20 °C with ultrapure water at 51, 58, 68, 85 and 101 kPa. Each group was treated during 5 min, and the same time was applied after the atmosphere pressure was restored. The vacuum impregnation system was composed by a vacuum pump (Marvac E-L-2, Concord, USA), a vacuum glass desiccator (90 mm dia, Wheaton, USA), a silica flask and a high density polyethylene net in order to maintain the leaves submerged (Fig. 1).

After vacuum pressure selection, the leaves were vacuum impregnated with a winter flounder AFP-I (A/F Protein, Waltham, USA) solution (1 mg AFP-I 100 ml⁻¹ ultra pure water). Other group of watercress leaves was vacuum impregnated with ultra pure water (control). The experiments were run in six replicates with six watercress leaves each.

Samples' weight determination

After vacuum treatment superficial water was removed, and each group was weighed in an analytical balance (Shimadzu Aux.
Series 220, Kyoto, Japan) in order to test the effect of each vacuum pressure level on watercress water intake.

**Colour evaluation**

Colour was evaluated with a tristimulus Dr. Lange Spectro-colour colorimeter (Berlin, Germany) in the Hunter system (Hunter Lab, 2000). The colorimeter (d/8° geometry, illuminant D65, 10° observer) was calibrated against a standard ceramic white tile \((X = 84.60, Y = 89.46, Z = 93.85)\) and a standard ceramic black tile \((X = 4.12, Y = 4.38, Z = 4.71)\). Measurements were taken with six replicates.

To minimise the variability between different raw samples, the individual \(L\), \(a\) and \(b\) values were normalised \((\ast)\), dividing the parameters by the corresponding initial values.

The objective of this methodology was to detect possible differences between the colour of the raw and the impregnated samples.

**Microstructure quality evaluation**

After vacuum impregnation treatment and superficial water removal, the leaves were frozen in a vertical freezer at \(-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}\) (Snijders Scientific, Tilburg, Netherlands). Afterwards, based on the method reported by Fonseca et al. (2005), the samples were transversally cut with a surgery thin blade and observed in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (JEOL JSM-5600 LV, Tokyo, Japan) at low vacuum with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and a cryo-chamber set at \(-25\,^{\circ}\text{C}\). The experiments were run with six replicates.

**Wilt test**

Based on the method reported by Phoon et al. (2008), raw, AFP-I vacuum impregnated samples and control samples (vacuum impregnated with ultrapure water) were frozen at \(-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}\) (Snijders Scientific, Tilburg, Netherlands).
Scientific, Tilburg, Netherlands). Afterwards, the turgidity was tested by holding the centre of the leaves using a small pincer and observing the bendiness of the samples. The experiments were run with six replicates thawed at room temperature.

**Statistical analysis**

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the results was carried out to determine if there were significant differences between each vacuum treatment. Calculations were performed using the Microsoft EXCEL 2003 data analysis tool package. Evaluations were based on a significance level of 5%.

**Results and discussion**

Watercress samples water intake increased with vacuum pressure values rise (Fig. 2), since the external liquid influx is directly related with vacuum pressure increase. Watercress samples treated at 51 kPa showed some water gain, however after each vacuum treatment and by mere eye observation it was noticed that the samples were not totally impregnated, being the water gain randomly distributed (Fig. 3a and a’). Moreover, fresh appearance was showed in various watercress areas. Vacuum treatment at 58 kPa was selected since it gathered the imposed conditions, assuring water influx with uniform distribution (Fig. 3b and b’). Thus, higher vacuum pressure treatments that could lead to cellular disruption were not assessed.

The watercress colour was also evaluated in order to detect differences between vacuum treated and raw samples. The results showed that, independently from the vacuum pressure used, the Lab colour parameters were maintained (Fig. 4), showing no significant differences ($P > 0.05$).

In what concerns the microstructure analysis, the control sample showed the parenchyma cells covered with an ice layer and with poor cell wall definition (Fig. 5b and b’). The watercress samples, impregnated with AFP-I, showed better cell wall definition and rounded cell shape with smaller ice crystals (Fig. 5c and c’). These results showed that the AFP-I interfered in the ice crystals formed during water freezing. The formation of small ice crystals was probably due to the hydrogen bonds between water molecules and AFP-I, hence disturbing the normal ice crystals net generation. According to Yang et al. (1988) the AFP-I threonine residues are responsible to bind to the oxygen atoms. The AFP-I interferes in the ice crystals structure and reduces the size of the ice crystal net, since oxygen and hydrogen bonds are in less number.

The regularly-spaced threonine residues along one face of this protein are thought to play an important role in AFP-ice binding, particularly because their spacing, once suitably aligned, matches the oxygen atom spacing of the presumed AFP-binding ice plane. Moreover, threonine residues are hydrophilic, so the type I AFP face containing these residues appears to be a natural choice for the ice-binding surface of this protein, particularly since alanine residues, which make up the majority of the rest of the protein surface, are hydrophobic (water-avoiding) (Wathen and Jia, 2005). Nevertheless, as previously reported, this adsorption mechanism is not yet well established.

The microstructure of the samples with AFP-I also presented the parenchyma cells more similar to the raw samples microstructure (Fig. 5a and a’). Thus, these results establish, once more, the capa-

![Fig. 6. Wilting test effect and colour observation on thawed watercress leaves.](image)
bility of AFP-I generating small ice crystals, and in further ice crys-
tals size regulation, regarding temperature fluctuations. Previous
studies on frozen meat (Payne et al., 1994; Payne and Young,
1995) also reported the formation of small ice crystals due to the
presence of AFPs. Also, according to Hansen and Carpenter (1993),
solutions of hydroxyethyl starch with AFPs showed smaller
ice crystals at −10 °C and 30 min.

The wilting test results corroborate the AFPs use as a valuable
additive, since the leaves impregnated with AFP-I showed higher
turgidity compared to the control samples (Fig. 6c and d), which
simply collapsed. The lack of turgidity showed by the control is
related to the formation of ice crystals of larger dimensions that oc-
curred during the freezing process and consequently inflicted
higher cellular disruption and drip loss after thawing. Moreover,
the AFP-I impregnated samples and raw samples showed similar
turgidity and higher mechanical strength (Fig. 6a, b and d). This
turgidity and better watercress texture was due to the formation
of small ice crystals resulting in a higher water-holding capacity
and cellular integrity. These outcomes may also result in a higher
nutrient content retention. In another research study (Payne and
Wilson, 1994), frozen and thawed Antarctic cod, naturally contain-
ing AFPs, also revealed a lower drip loss resulting in a better flesh
nutrient content retention. In another research study (Payne and
Wilson, 1994), frozen and thawed Antarctic cod, naturally contain-
ing AFPs, also revealed a lower drip loss resulting in a better flesh
quality. According to Cutler et al. (1989), leaves of potato, canola,
and Arabidopsis thaliana plants vacuum-infiltrated with AFPs and
exposed to freezing conditions were found to be more cold hardy
than the controls (water infiltrated). Also, Ralfs et al. (2003) re-
ported that carrot tissue infiltrated with AFPs, had a significantly
greater stiffness and mechanical strength than the tissue infiltrated
with ultrapure water.

In this study, the AFP-I watercress thawed samples also pre-
sented a more uniform green colour compared with the raw
thawed samples (Fig. 6e-1 and e-2), confirming this treatment as a
suitable process, in colour improvement, before the freezing
operation.

**Conclusion**

This study revealed that AFP-I application, as a food additive,
can have a major impact in frozen watercress quality since it re-
duced the size of the ice crystals, avoiding less damage to the
microstructure, and thus improving its texture after thawing.
Also the applied vacuum impregnation methodology allowed
introducing successfully the AFPs into the watercress apoplastic
space, revealing to be an efficient methodology to incorporate valu-
able compounds in order to increase the quality of the final product.

The present findings help to understand the effect of AFP-I on
frozen watercress microstructure and its important role as a valu-
able food additive, preserving food quality and probably the nutri-
tional value in foods that undergo cold storage.

Introducing this type of proteins in frozen foodstuffs could help,
in a near future, to reduce the issues that occur in the freezing pro-
cess and cold chain due to temperature fluctuations. The frozen
products may become more stable since ice crystals will remain
smaller and thus preventing further deteriorations.

Although the application of these proteins, from the original
source or produced by genetically modified organisms is still a con-
 troversial issue, AFPs have not been related with any known toxic
effect of fish consumption (Crevel et al., 2000). Moreover, they are
already being applied by some major ice cream producers. Thus,
future research is mandatory for other type of food products
applications in order to demystify its possible safety drawbacks.
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**Symbols**

- **a**: Colour co-ordinate, represents red to green
- **b**: Colour co-ordinate, represents blue to yellow
- **L**: Colour co-ordinate, represents black to white